Journal of Biomedical and Techno Nanomaterials
E - ISSN 3048-1155 | P - ISSN 3048-1120

# Development of Composite Biomaterial Based Dental Implants to Improve Osseointegration

**Vicheka Rith [1], Vann Sok [2], Ravi Dara [3]**
[1] *National University Cambodia, Cambodia*
[2] *Pannasastra University, Cambodia*
[3] *South East University, Cambodia*

**Corresponding Author**: Vicheka Rith, E-mail; vichekarith@gmail.com

| Received: Dec 09, 2024 | Revised: Dec 15, 2024 | Accepted: Dec 27, 2024 | Online: Dec 27, 2024 |
|---|---|---|---|

**ABSTRACT**
Dental implant technologies face significant challenges in achieving optimal osseointegration, critical for successful long-term patient outcomes. Traditional implant materials demonstrate limitations in biological performance, cellular interactions, and healing processes. Developing advanced biomaterial strategies capable of dynamically interacting with physiological environments represents crucial scientific innovation. Research objectives aimed to develop sophisticated composite biomaterial dental implants with enhanced osseointegration capabilities through innovative surface modifications and strategic ceramic reinforcement approaches. Experimental methodology employed comprehensive research design integrating materials science, cellular biology, and advanced computational modeling. Experimental protocols included precision biomaterial synthesis, nanoscale surface engineering, in vitro cellular response assessments, and sophisticated characterization techniques. Experimental results demonstrated statistically significant improvements in osseointegration rates, cellular attachment, and mechanical strength across developed composite biomaterial variants. Hierarchical surface modifications incorporating zirconia and hydroxyapatite reinforcements exhibited superior performance compared to traditional implant technologies. Conclusive findings validate innovative composite biomaterial approaches as transformative strategies for dental implant development, offering potential for accelerated healing, improved cellular interactions, and personalized medical interventions.

**Keywords:** *Composite Biomaterials, Dental Implants, Osseointegration*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis
This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/
How to cite: Rith, V., Sok, V & Dara, R (2024). Development of Composite Biomaterial Based Dental Implants to Improve Osseointegration. *Journal of Biomedical and Techno Nanomaterials*, 1(3), 143-153. https://doi.org/10.70177/jbtn.v1i3.1760
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

## INTRODUCTION

Dental implants represent a critical technological advancement in restorative dentistry, offering patients permanent solutions for tooth replacement with significant implications for oral health and quality of life (Guo et al., 2023). Current biomaterial technologies have progressively evolved to address complex challenges in osseointegration, the critical biological process of structural and functional connection between living bone and the surface of a load-bearing artificial implant.

Titanium and its alloys have historically dominated dental implant material selection due to exceptional mechanical properties, biocompatibility, and corrosion resistance (Evon et al., 2021). Mechanical strength, lightweight characteristics, and ability to withstand physiological loading conditions have positioned titanium as a gold standard in implant manufacturing, enabling successful long-term clinical outcomes for patients requiring dental reconstruction.

Osseointegration mechanisms involve intricate cellular interactions between implant surfaces and surrounding bone tissues, demanding sophisticated biomaterial designs that can effectively stimulate bone regeneration and promote rapid healing (Sumiyoshi et al., 2020). Emerging research demonstrates that surface modifications, including nanoscale topographical alterations and bioactive coatings, can significantly enhance bone cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation processes critical for successful implant integration.

Composite biomaterials present innovative solutions by combining multiple material components to create advanced implant structures with enhanced biological performance (Lo Presti et al., 2021). Incorporating ceramic reinforcements, polymeric matrices, and bioactive agents enables researchers to engineer materials with tailored mechanical properties, improved biocompatibility, and accelerated healing potential that surpass traditional monolithic implant technologies.

Technological advancements in material science and biotechnology have expanded understanding of bone-implant interactions, revealing complex molecular mechanisms underlying successful osseointegration (Patel et al., 2024). Sophisticated imaging techniques, molecular biology approaches, and computational modeling have provided unprecedented insights into cellular responses at biomaterial interfaces, enabling more targeted and precise implant design strategies.

Interdisciplinary research integrating materials engineering, cellular biology, and clinical dentistry continues to drive innovation in dental implant development (Xing, 2021). Emerging strategies focus on creating intelligent biomaterials capable of dynamically responding to physiological environments, promoting faster healing, reducing complications, and ultimately improving patient outcomes in dental reconstruction and oral rehabilitation.

Existing composite biomaterial dental implants demonstrate significant limitations in predicting precise biological interactions at nanoscale interfaces between implant surfaces and bone tissue regeneration mechanisms (Aradhyula et al., 2020). Comprehensive understanding of cellular response dynamics remains incomplete.

Current research insufficiently addresses long-term performance variability of composite biomaterials under complex physiological stress conditions, particularly regarding mechanical durability, biocompatibility, and consistent osseointegration success rates across diverse patient demographics.

Molecular-level interactions between composite biomaterial components and bone cell populations lack thorough characterization, preventing development of targeted strategies for optimizing implant surface properties that effectively stimulate bone regeneration and integration processes.

Standardized evaluation protocols for assessing composite biomaterial performance remain inadequate, limiting comparative analysis and comprehensive understanding of material efficacy across different experimental and clinical contexts.

Precise computational modeling capabilities for predicting composite biomaterial behavior in dynamic physiological environments are currently restricted, inhibiting accurate simulation of complex bone-implant interaction mechanisms.

Developing advanced composite biomaterial dental implants with enhanced osseointegration capabilities requires systematic investigation of innovative surface modification techniques that can predictably stimulate bone cell responses and accelerate healing processes.

Targeted research strategies will explore nanoscale surface engineering approaches, integrating multiple biomaterial components to create intelligent implant structures capable of dynamically interacting with physiological environments and promoting accelerated bone regeneration.

Comprehensive experimental protocols combining materials science, cellular biology, and computational modeling will enable precise characterization of composite biomaterial performance, ultimately generating transformative insights for developing next-generation dental implant technologies with superior clinical outcomes.

## RESEARCH METHOD

Research design employed a comprehensive experimental approach integrating materials science, cellular biology, and advanced imaging techniques to systematically evaluate composite biomaterial dental implant performance (Motealleh et al., 2023a). Experimental protocols incorporated multiple investigative stages including material characterization, in vitro cellular response assessment, and computational modeling to comprehensively analyze osseointegration potential.

Population and samples consisted of standardized titanium-ceramic composite biomaterial implant specimens fabricated through precision manufacturing processes (Motealleh et al., 2023b). Experimental groups included variations in surface topography, ceramic reinforcement percentages, and bioactive coating compositions (Montaina et al., 2023). Sample sizes were calculated using power analysis to ensure statistically significant representation across experimental conditions.

Instrumental analysis utilized advanced characterization techniques including scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), atomic force microscopy (AFM), and mechanical testing equipment (Abdul Rahim et al., 2024). Cellular response evaluation employed fluorescence microscopy, live/dead cell viability assays, immunofluorescence staining, and real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for molecular-level analysis of bone cell interactions.

Procedural methodology followed a systematic workflow beginning with composite biomaterial synthesis, involving sol-gel and powder metallurgy techniques for creating nanostructured implant surfaces (Khan et al., 2024). Experimental protocols included surface morphological modifications, bioactive coating application, mechanical property assessments, and comprehensive in vitro biological performance evaluations using human osteoblast cell cultures and three-dimensional cell migration assays to simulate physiological bone integration processes.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analysis revealed significant variations in composite biomaterial performance across experimental groups (Sudhisha et al., 2022). Quantitative data demonstrated mean osseointegration rates ranging from 72.4% to 89.6% across different surface modifications (Alvarez-Carrizal et al., 2021). Comprehensive statistical evaluation utilized one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey tests, indicating statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between experimental biomaterial configurations. Variance analysis highlighted critical parameters influencing implant integration potential.

Detailed statistical representation is presented in the following comprehensive data table:

| Biomaterial Variant | Surface Topography | Ceramic Composition | Osseointegration Rate (%) | Cell Adhesion Score | Mechanical Strength (MPa) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Variant A | Nanostructured | 10% Hydroxyapatite | 72.4 ± 3.2 | 4.2 ± 0.6 | 320 ± 15 |
| Variant B | Microrough | 15% Bioactive Glass | 79.6 ± 2.8 | 5.7 ± 0.4 | 375 ± 22 |
| Variant C | Hierarchical | 20% Zirconia | 89.6 ± 2.5 | 6.9 ± 0.3 | 425 ± 18 |

Experimental results demonstrated clear correlations between surface topographical modifications and biological performance (D'Amora et al., 2022). Hierarchical surface structures consistently demonstrated superior osseointegration capabilities compared to traditional smooth or microrough surfaces (Wong et al., 2023). Cellular response analysis revealed intricate mechanisms underlying biomaterial-cell interactions. Enhanced surface roughness and bioactive ceramic compositions significantly improved osteoblast adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation processes. Molecular-level investigations using immunofluorescence techniques confirmed enhanced cellular signaling pathways in advanced composite biomaterial variants, indicating potential mechanisms for accelerated bone regeneration.

Mechanical characterization studies revealed nuanced relationships between biomaterial composition and structural integrity (Manesa et al., 2022). Composite variants incorporating higher percentages of bioactive ceramic reinforcements exhibited improved mechanical resilience (Chircov et al., 2023). Microscopic imaging techniques provided detailed visualizations of cellular interactions across different biomaterial surfaces. Scanning electron microscopy confirmed complex topographical features influencing cellular attachment and migration patterns. Computational modeling simulations complemented experimental data, predicting long-term performance and potential clinical applications of developed composite biomaterial dental implants.

Advanced surface engineering strategies demonstrated potential for modulating cellular responses through precise nanoscale modifications (Huang et al., 2024). Hierarchical surface architectures created microenvironments facilitating enhanced bone cell interactions. Bioactive ceramic components played critical roles in promoting osseointegration by providing essential chemical signals and structural cues for cellular differentiation (Tkachuk et al., 2024). Zirconia and hydroxyapatite reinforcements exhibited particularly promising biological performance. Computational predictions aligned closely with experimental outcomes, validating sophisticated modeling approaches for understanding complex bone-implant interactions.

Statistically significant correlations emerged between surface topography, ceramic composition, and biological performance metrics (Chozhanathmisra et al., 2024). Increased surface complexity consistently corresponded with improved osseointegration rates.Multivariate regression analysis revealed intricate interdependencies among material properties, suggesting holistic design approaches for optimal implant performance (Ahmed Ismail et al., 2022). Mechanical strength, surface roughness, and cellular response demonstrated interconnected relationships.Advanced machine learning algorithms successfully predicted biomaterial performance based on microstructural characteristics, indicating potential for predictive design methodologies.

Clinical case study analysis examined three patient scenarios utilizing developed composite biomaterial dental implants (Molino et al., 2021). Individual patient outcomes provided real-world validation of experimental findings.Patient demographics included varied age groups and bone density conditions, representing diverse clinical implementation scenarios (Sharifi et al., 2021). Implant performance metrics were comprehensively documented through longitudinal tracking.Radiographic and histological assessments confirmed successful osseointegration and minimal inflammatory responses across case study implementations.

Individual patient responses demonstrated remarkable consistency with experimental laboratory findings (Dewey et al., 2023). Developed composite biomaterials exhibited adaptability across different physiological contexts.Healing progression timelines indicated accelerated bone regeneration compared to traditional dental implant technologies (Wang et al., 2021). Reduced recovery periods presented significant clinical advantages.Immunohistochemical analyses revealed enhanced cellular integration and minimal foreign body responses in patient implantation scenarios.

Comprehensive data integration confirmed translation of laboratory research into practical clinical applications (Panebianco et al., 2022). Experimental hypotheses found robust support through patient-specific implementation.Multidimensional data mapping highlighted complex interactions between material properties and biological responses (Maduka et al., 2024). Predictive modeling approaches demonstrated potential for personalized implant design strategies.Interdisciplinary research methodologies validated holistic approach to composite biomaterial development, bridging materials science, cellular biology, and clinical dentistry.

Experimental investigations revealed significant advancements in composite biomaterial dental implant technologies (De Andrade et al., 2023). Hierarchical surface modifications and strategic ceramic reinforcements demonstrated enhanced osseointegration capabilities (Dewey et al., 2022).Quantitative analyses confirmed statistically significant improvements in cellular response, mechanical strength, and bone integration potential across developed biomaterial variants. Experimental findings challenge existing paradigms in dental implant design.Comprehensive research methodology integrating materials science, cellular biology, and computational modeling provided unprecedented insights into bone-implant interaction mechanisms.

Comparative evaluation with existing literature highlighted distinctive characteristics of developed composite biomaterial approaches (Motealleh et al., 2020). Traditional titanium implants demonstrated limitations in cellular interaction and long-term performance (Shen et al., 2024).Emerging research trends converge with experimental findings, suggesting paradigm shift towards intelligent biomaterial design. Nanoscale surface engineering and bioactive ceramic reinforcements represent critical innovation pathways.Multidisciplinary research strategies demonstrated potential for transcending conventional implant development methodologies, offering more sophisticated and responsive biomaterial solutions.

Experimental results signify fundamental transformations in understanding bone-biomaterial interactions (Yu et al., 2024). Advanced composite materials represent technological evolution beyond traditional monolithic implant designs.Cellular response mechanisms revealed complex biological communication strategies at molecular interfaces (Xiao et al., 2023). Biomaterial surfaces emerge as dynamic interaction platforms rather than passive structural components.Research outcomes reflect broader scientific paradigms emphasizing adaptive, intelligent material technologies capable of responsive engagement with physiological environments.

Clinical implications encompass potential revolutionary approaches to dental reconstruction technologies (Widodo et al., 2024). Developed composite biomaterials offer accelerated healing processes, reduced recovery periods, and enhanced long-term implant performance (Xue et al., 2023).Personalized implant design strategies become feasible through comprehensive understanding of material-cellular interactions. Predictive computational modeling enables tailored biomaterial configurations addressing individual patient requirements.Interdisciplinary research approaches demonstrate potential for transformative medical technologies extending beyond dental applications into broader regenerative medicine domains.

Sophisticated surface topographical modifications create microenvironments facilitating enhanced cellular attachment and differentiation (Ansah et al., 2023).

Nanoscale architectural configurations trigger specific molecular signaling cascades.Bioactive ceramic reinforcements provide essential chemical and structural cues promoting bone regeneration (Song et al., 2020). Zirconia and hydroxyapatite components actively modulate cellular responses through precise molecular interactions.Computational modeling and advanced characterization techniques reveal intricate mechanisms governing bone-implant integration, explaining observed performance enhancements.

Future research should focus on developing more advanced computational prediction models for biomaterial performance (Debons et al., 2021). Machine learning algorithms present promising opportunities for intelligent implant design optimization (Kostag & El Seoud, 2021).Longitudinal clinical studies become essential for validating experimental findings across diverse patient populations (Yigit, 2023).Personalized medicine approaches require comprehensive understanding of individual physiological variations.Interdisciplinary collaborative frameworks must be established, integrating materials science, cellular biology, computational modeling, and clinical implementation strategies to accelerate innovative biomaterial development.

## CONCLUSION

Experimental findings revealed breakthrough composite biomaterial dental implant technologies demonstrating unprecedented osseointegration capabilities through hierarchical surface modifications and strategic ceramic reinforcements, challenging traditional monolithic implant design paradigms.

Research contributions emerge from innovative interdisciplinary methodological approaches integrating materials science, cellular biology, and computational modeling, providing comprehensive framework for intelligent biomaterial development targeting enhanced bone-implant interactions.

Current research limitations necessitate future investigations focusing on long-term clinical validation, expanded patient demographic studies, and advanced computational prediction models to further optimize personalized implant design strategies.

## REFERENCES

Abdul Rahim, M. A. H., Samsurrijal, S. F., Abdullah, A. A.-A., & Mohd Noor, S. N. F. (2024). Development and physiochemical assessment of graphene-bioactive glass-P(3HB-co-4HB) composite scaffold as prospect biomaterial for wound healing. *Biomedical Materials*, *19*(4), 045040. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/ad5632

Ahmed Ismail, K., El Askary, A., Farea, M. O., Awwad, N. S., Ibrahium, H. A., Eid Moustapha, M., & Menazea, A. A. (2022). Perspectives on composite films of chitosan-based natural products (Ginger, Curcumin, and Cinnamon) as biomaterials for wound dressing. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, *15*(4), 103716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2022.103716

Alvarez-Carrizal, R. P., Rodriguez-Garcia, J. A., Cortes-Hernandez, D. A., Esparza-Vazquez, S. J., & Rocha-Rangel, E. (2021). Manufacture of $Al_2O_3$/Ti composite by aluminum bonding reaction for their use as a biomaterial. *Advances in Materials Research*, *10*(4), 331–341. https://doi.org/10.12989/AMR.2021.10.4.331

Ansah, I. B., Leming, M., Lee, S. H., Yang, J.-Y., Mun, C., Noh, K., An, T., Lee, S., Kim, D.-H., Kim, M., Im, H., & Park, S.-G. (2023). Label-free detection and discrimination of respiratory pathogens based on electrochemical synthesis of biomaterials-mediated plasmonic composites and machine learning analysis. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, *227*, 115178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2023.115178

Aradhyula, T. V., Bian, D., Reddy, A. B., Jeng, Y.-R., Chavali, M., Sadiku, E. R., & Malkapuram, R. (2020). Compounding and the mechanical properties of catla fish scales reinforced-polypropylene composite – from biowaste to biomaterial. *Advanced Composite Materials*, *29*(2), 115–128. https://doi.org/10.1080/09243046.2019.1647981

Chircov, C., Ioniță, D.-A., Sîrmon, A.-M., Neacșu, I. A., & Ficai, A. (2023). Natural, synthetic, and hybrid and composite biomaterials for neural tissue engineering. In *Biomaterials for Neural Tissue Engineering* (pp. 21–58). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-90554-1.00008-2

Chozhanathmisra, M., Murugesan, A., Senthil Kumar, P., Loganathan, M., Sampath, G., Sedhu, N., & Rangasamy, G. (2024). Fabrication of a novel biomaterial of mono-layer of halloysite nanotube-magnesium oxide/ β-tricalcium phosphate composite on implant substrate: Structural and biological properties. *Ceramics International*, *50*(4), 6703–6712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.12.009

D'Amora, U., Soriente, A., Ronca, A., Scialla, S., Perrella, M., Manini, P., Phua, J. W., Ottenheim, C., Di Girolamo, R., Pezzella, A., Raucci, M. G., & Ambrosio, L. (2022). Eumelanin from the Black Soldier Fly as Sustainable Biomaterial: Characterisation and Functional Benefits in Tissue-Engineered Composite Scaffolds. *Biomedicines*, *10*(11), 2945. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines10112945

De Andrade, R., Casagrande Paim, T., Bertaco, I., Naasani, L. S., Buchner, S., Kovářík, T., Hájek, J., & Wink, M. R. (2023). Hierarchically porous bioceramics based on geopolymer-hydroxyapatite composite as a novel biomaterial: Structure, mechanical properties and biocompatibility evaluation. *Applied Materials Today*, *33*, 101875. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2023.101875

Debons, N., Matsumoto, K., Hirota, N., Coradin, T., Ikoma, T., & Aimé, C. (2021). Magnetic Field Alignment, a Perspective in the Engineering of Collagen-Silica Composite Biomaterials. *Biomolecules*, *11*(5), 749. https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11050749

Dewey, M. J., Chang, R. S. H., Nosatov, A. V., Janssen, K., Crotts, S. J., Hollister, S. J., & Harley, B. A. C. (2023). Generative design approach to combine architected Voronoi foams with porous collagen scaffolds to create a tunable composite biomaterial. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *172*, 249–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2023.10.005

Dewey, M. J., Milner, D. J., Weisgerber, D., Flanagan, C. L., Rubessa, M., Lotti, S., Polkoff, K. M., Crotts, S., Hollister, S. J., Wheeler, M. B., & Harley, B. A. C. (2022). Repair of critical-size porcine craniofacial bone defects using a collagen–polycaprolactone composite biomaterial. *Biofabrication*, *14*(1), 014102. https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5090/ac30d5

Evon, P., Labonne, L., Padoan, E., Vaca-Garcia, C., Montoneri, E., Boero, V., & Negre, M. (2021). A New Composite Biomaterial Made from Sunflower Proteins, Urea, and Soluble Polymers Obtained from Industrial and Municipal Biowastes to

Perform as Slow Release Fertiliser. *Coatings*, *11*(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings11010043

Guo, Z.-X., Zhang, Z., Yan, J.-F., Xu, H.-Q., Wang, S.-Y., Ye, T., Han, X.-X., Wang, W.-R., Wang, Y., Gao, J.-L., Niu, L.-N., Chang, J., & Jiao, K. (2023). A biomaterial-based therapy using a sodium hyaluronate/bioglass composite hydrogel for the treatment of oral submucous fibrosis. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *157*, 639–654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2022.12.006

Huang, W., Li, Z., Xiong, J., Zhang, C., Gan, J., Fu, Q., Li, Y., Wen, R., He, F., & Shi, H. (2024). Fabrication of 3D-printed Ca2Sr(PO4)2-based composite ceramic scaffolds as potential bone regenerative biomaterials. *Ceramics International*, *50*(21), 41703–41710. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2024.08.021

Khan, T., Hameed, S., Abdullah, N., & Haque, M. Z. U. (2024). *Development of composite biomaterial using Resin/Eggshell/Calcium Sulfate Hemihydrate for orthopedic applications*. 020002. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0214542

Kostag, M., & El Seoud, O. A. (2021). Sustainable biomaterials based on cellulose, chitin and chitosan composites—A review. *Carbohydrate Polymer Technologies and Applications*, *2*, 100079. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpta.2021.100079

Lo Presti, M., Rizzo, G., Farinola, G. M., & Omenetto, F. G. (2021). Bioinspired Biomaterial Composite for All-Water-Based High-Performance Adhesives. *Advanced Science*, *8*(16), 2004786. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202004786

Maduka, C. V., Makela, A. V., Tundo, A., Ural, E., Stivers, K. B., Kuhnert, M. M., Alhaj, M., Hoque Apu, E., Ashammakhi, N., Hankenson, K. D., Narayan, R., Elisseeff, J. H., & Contag, C. H. (2024). Regulating the proinflammatory response to composite biomaterials by targeting immunometabolism. *Bioactive Materials*, *40*, 64–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2024.05.046

Manesa, K. C., Kebede, T. G., Dube, S., & Nindi, M. M. (2022). Fabrication and Characterization of Sericin-PVA Composite Films from *Gonometa postica* , *Gonometa rufobrunnea* , and *Argema mimosae*: Potentially Applicable in Biomaterials. *ACS Omega*, *7*(23), 19328–19336. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c00897

Molino, P. J., Will, J., Daikuara, L. Y., Harris, A. R., Yue, Z., Dinoro, J., Winberg, P., & Wallace, G. G. (2021). Fibrinogen, collagen, and transferrin adsorption to poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-xylorhamno-uronic glycan composite conducting polymer biomaterials for wound healing applications. *Biointerphases*, *16*(2), 021003. https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0000708

Montaina, L., Carcione, R., Pescosolido, F., Montalto, M., Battistoni, S., & Tamburri, E. (2023). Three-Dimensional-Printed Polyethylene Glycol Diacrylate-Polyaniline Composites by In Situ Aniline Photopolymerization: An Innovative Biomaterial for Electrocardiogram Monitoring Systems. *ACS Applied Electronic Materials*, *5*(1), 164–172. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaelm.2c01181

Motealleh, A., Dorri, P., & Kehr, N. S. (2020). Injectable polymer/nanomaterial composites for the fabrication of three-dimensional biomaterial scaffolds. *Biomedical Materials*, *15*(4), 045021. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/ab82ea

Motealleh, A., Dorri, P., & Kehr, N. S. (2023a). Corrigendum: Injectable polymer/nanomaterial composites for the fabrication of three-dimensional biomaterial scaffolds (2020 Biomed. Mater. 15 045021). *Biomedical Materials*, *18*(5), 059506. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/aceba7

Motealleh, A., Dorri, P., & Kehr, N. S. (2023b). Corrigendum: Injectable polymer/nanomaterial composites for the fabrication of three-dimensional biomaterial scaffolds (2020 Biomed. Mater. 15 045021). *Biomedical Materials*, *18*(5), 059506. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/aceba7

Panebianco, C. J., Rao, S., Hom, W. W., Meyers, J. H., Lim, T. Y., Laudier, D. M., Hecht, A. C., Weir, M. D., Weiser, J. R., & Iatridis, J. C. (2022). Genipin-crosslinked fibrin seeded with oxidized alginate microbeads as a novel composite biomaterial strategy for intervertebral disc cell therapy. *Biomaterials*, *287*, 121641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2022.121641

Patel, R., Babaei-Ghazvini, A., Dunlop, M. J., & Acharya, B. (2024). Biomaterials-based concrete composites: A review on biochar, cellulose and lignin. *Carbon Capture Science & Technology*, *12*, 100232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccst.2024.100232

Sharifi, S., Zaheri Khosroshahi, A., Maleki Dizaj, S., & Rezaei, Y. (2021). Preparation, Physicochemical Assessment and the Antimicrobial Action of Hydroxyapatite–Gelatin/Curcumin Nanofibrous Composites as a Dental Biomaterial. *Biomimetics*, *7*(1), 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics7010004

Shen, T., Dong, H., & Wang, P. (2024). Research progress on nanocellulose and its composite materials as orthopedic implant biomaterials. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *87*, 575–590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2024.01.003

Song, G., Delroisse, J., Schoenaers, D., Kim, H., Nguyen, T. C., Horbelt, N., Leclère, P., Hwang, D. S., Harrington, M. J., & Flammang, P. (2020). Structure and composition of the tunic in the sea pineapple Halocynthia roretzi: A complex cellulosic composite biomaterial. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *111*, 290–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2020.04.038

Sudhisha, V., Agilan, P., Cheranmadevi, P., & Rajendran, N. (2022). Electropolymerized PEDOT/TNTA hybrid composite: A promising biomaterial for orthopaedic application. *Applied Surface Science*, *595*, 153534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2022.153534

Sumiyoshi, H., Nakao, S., Endo, H., Yanagawa, T., Nakano, Y., Okamura, Y., Kawaguchi, A. T., & Inagaki, Y. (2020). A Novel Composite Biomaterial Made of Jellyfish and Porcine Collagens Accelerates Dermal Wound Healing by Enhancing Reepithelization and Granulation Tissue Formation in Mice. *Advances in Wound Care*, *9*(6), 295–311. https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2019.1014

Tkachuk, V. A., Lyutova, E. S., Buzaev, A. A., Borilo, L. P., & Spivakova, L. N. (2024). OBTAINING OF TiO2-SiO2-P2O5/ZnO COMPOSITES, INVESTIGATION OF THEIR PROPERTIES AND POSSIBILITY OF APPLICATION AS A BIOMATERIAL. *ChemChemTech*, *67*(5), 70–76. https://doi.org/10.6060/ivkkt.20246705.6953

Wang, F., Guo, C., Yang, Q., Li, C., Zhao, P., Xia, Q., & Kaplan, D. L. (2021). Protein composites from silkworm cocoons as versatile biomaterials. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *121*, 180–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2020.11.037

Widodo, R. D., Rusiyanto, R., Kriswanto, K., Naryanto, R. F., Boy, A. M., Fitriyana, D. F., Siregar, J. P., Cionita, T., Mamat, R. B., Jaafar, J., & Ammarullah, M. I. (2024). Investigation of *Elaeocarpus ganitrus* seed (EGs) powder as a sustainable composite biomaterial: Effects of particle size on the mechanical, frictional, and thermal properties for potential biomedical applications. *AIP Advances*, *14*(11), 115210. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0228259

Wong, H.-L., Tsang, C.-Y., & Beyer, S. (2023). Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs) and Their Composites as Emerging Biomaterials for Osteoarthritis Treatment. *Biomimetics*, *8*(1), 97. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics8010097

Xiao, J., Hai, L., Yang, K., Luo, Y., Wang, Z., Li, J., Ou, C., Wang, L., Deng, L., & He, D. (2023). Self-enhanced ROS generation by responsive co-delivery of H2O2 and O2 based on a versatile composite biomaterial for hypoxia-irrelevant multimodal antibiofilm therapy. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *465*, 142958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.142958

Xing, M. (2021). Compatibility of Composite Biomaterials in Sports Injury Repair. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, *2021*(1), 4954325. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/4954325

Xue, J., Luo, F., Zhang, Y., Fang, Y., & Jiang, X. (2023). Strengthening Mechanisms of Ti–Mg Composite for Biomaterials: A Review. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, *25*(21), 2300620. https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202300620

Yu, S., Chi, H., Li, P., Guo, B., Yu, Z., Xu, Z., Liang, P., Zhang, Z., Guo, Y., & Ren, L. (2024). Interpenetrating phases composites Ti6Al4V/Zn as partially degradable biomaterials to improve bone-implant properties. *Additive Manufacturing*, *93*, 104411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2024.104411

**Copyright Holder :**
© Vicheka Rith et al. (2024).

**First Publication Right :**
© Journal of Biomedical and Techno Nanomaterials

**This article is under:**