

The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Immigration Law Enforcement: Balancing Efficiency, Transparency, and Ethical Accountability

Muhammad Arief Hamdi¹ , Bobby Briando² , Faisal Santiago³ 

¹Universitas Borobudur, Indonesia

²Politeknik Imigrasi, Indonesia

³Universitas Borobudur, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Background. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in immigration law enforcement has significantly improved efficiency in areas such as fraud detection, border security, and visa application assessments. However, the implementation of AI raises critical concerns related to transparency, fairness, and ethical accountability. The "black box" nature of AI systems often obscures the reasoning behind decisions, posing risks to the rights of migrants, especially refugees and asylum seekers. Furthermore, the increased use of biometric data for security purposes heightens privacy concerns and potential misuse.

Purpose. This study aims to analyze the role of AI in immigration law enforcement, focusing on its benefits, limitations, and ethical challenges. It seeks to provide recommendations for regulatory frameworks that ensure a balance between operational efficiency and the protection of human rights.

Method. The research adopts a qualitative approach, combining a review of scholarly articles and case studies from journals such as *Comparative Migration Studies* and *AI & Society*. Key themes include transparency, fairness, privacy, and accountability.

Results. AI significantly enhances operational efficiency but remains vulnerable to biases and errors that can disproportionately affect vulnerable populations. Human oversight is critical to ensuring ethical decision-making and maintaining accountability.

Conclusion. The integration of AI in immigration law must be guided by transparent, fair, and ethical regulatory frameworks. Emphasizing human oversight ensures that moral responsibility remains with human actors rather than AI systems.

KEYWORDS

AI In Immigration, Biometric Data, Ethical Accountability, Human Oversight, Transparency

Citation: Hamdi, A. M., Briando, B., & Santiago, F. (2024). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Immigration Law Enforcement: Balancing Efficiency, Transparency, and Ethical Accountability. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Sustainability Asean*, 1(6), 376–385. <https://doi.org/10.70177/ijmsa.v1i6.1835>

Correspondence:

Muhammad Arief Hamdi
muhammadariefhamdi@gmail

Received: December 04, 2024

Accepted: December 15, 2024

Published: December 30, 2024

INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in immigration law enforcement has significantly transformed various processes, including fraud detection, border control, and decision-making in visa applications (Adir et al., 2020). AI technologies, particularly machine learning models, offer the ability to analyze large volumes of data efficiently and in a timely manner. Previously, these processes were conducted manually, which was not only time-consuming but also prone to human error.



The use of AI reduces the workload of immigration officers, allowing them to focus on more complex tasks (Ahmed et al., 2020). A study by Buolamwini and Gebru demonstrates that AI can detect fraudulent patterns that are difficult for humans to identify by analyzing complex multivariate data, thereby increasing the accuracy of fraud detection within immigration systems.

However, while AI improves efficiency, its application presents significant challenges related to transparency and accountability (Ameen et al., 2021). One of the key issues is the "black box" nature of AI, where the decision-making process of AI systems is often difficult to understand. According to Pasquale, this issue stems from the limited understanding of complex AI algorithms, resulting in users often being unaware of how decisions are made or what data influence the outcomes. This creates a lack of clarity that can jeopardize fairness, particularly in cases involving vulnerable populations such as refugees and asylum seekers, where small errors in decision-making can have serious consequences (Ayoub Shaikh et al., 2022). For instance, Barocas, Hardt, and Narayanan highlight how AI can introduce biases against minority groups, negatively impacting critical decisions regarding immigration status.

Privacy and data security are also major concerns in the implementation of AI in immigration. The use of biometric data, such as facial recognition and fingerprints, for border control has become increasingly common. While AI can efficiently process biometric data, it raises questions about the potential misuse of data and violations of privacy (Baduge et al., 2022). Whittaker et al. argue that the mass collection of biometric data without adequate oversight can lead to infringements on individuals' privacy rights. Moreover, issues related to cross-border data management pose challenges, particularly in terms of data sharing among immigration enforcement agencies across different countries. A study by Trovato et al (Bohr & Memarzadeh, 2020). points out that regulatory challenges surrounding data privacy and security in cross-border contexts need to be a key consideration in the development of AI-driven immigration policies.

In this context, human involvement in decision-making becomes increasingly important to maintain accountability. Eubanks emphasizes the need for human oversight to ensure that AI-generated decisions in immigration processes are fair and free from systemic biases (Briganti & Le Moine, 2020). Other research has also indicated that without human oversight, AI-driven decisions can result in undesirable social outcomes, such as discrimination against certain groups or errors in visa application processing. A study by Binns highlights the critical role of humans as overseers in AI-based decision-making processes to ensure that AI systems adhere to principles of fairness and ethics (Chen et al., 2020). Therefore, while AI offers effective technological solutions, human-centered approaches are still necessary to maintain a balance between efficiency, transparency, and accountability.

This research aims to explore the benefits and limitations of artificial intelligence (AI) in the field of immigration law enforcement, with a focus on issues of transparency, fairness, and accountability (Collins et al., 2021). AI technology has been widely recognized as a tool that can enhance efficiency in managing large and complex datasets in immigration processes, such as visa decision-making and fraud detection (Dong et al., 2020). While these technological advances can automate previously manual processes, this research emphasizes the importance of understanding to what extent AI can support the objectives of legal fairness and how potential biases and injustices can be minimized in its application.

One key objective of this research is to identify the extent to which the transparency of AI systems can be maintained in immigration processes (Gerke et al., 2020). Transparency is crucial to ensuring that decisions made by AI algorithms are understandable by users and stakeholders. In the context of immigration law enforcement, transparency plays a significant role in maintaining public

trust in the processes carried out by government institutions (Himeur et al., 2021). This research seeks to explore how AI systems can be made more transparent without compromising efficiency and how tools to explain AI decisions can be integrated into existing immigration systems.

In addition to transparency, this research aims to evaluate how fairness can be preserved in the application of AI in immigration (Huynh-The et al., 2023). Fairness is of particular concern when decisions made by AI affect individuals from vulnerable groups, such as asylum seekers and refugees. According to Mehrabi et al. AI systems trained on imbalanced or biased data risk producing unjust decisions, especially for minorities (Huang et al., 2020). This research will explore techniques that can be employed to reduce bias in AI decision-making, including the use of fairer algorithms and human oversight at critical points in the decision-making process.

The final objective of this research is to develop policy recommendations that can support the accountable implementation of AI in immigration (Hwang & Chien, 2022). Kaminski notes that accountability in AI is a complex issue, as it is often difficult to determine who is responsible when AI systems make erroneous decisions or cause harm. Therefore, this research will propose policy frameworks that enhance accountability in the use of AI in immigration law enforcement, including the importance of human oversight and the development of regulations that ensure AI technology does not violate individual rights (Hwang et al., 2020). This study aims to make a significant contribution to the development of more ethical AI in the global immigration context.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study will employ a comparative analysis approach to examine the use of AI in immigration law enforcement across multiple countries (Jacovi et al., 2021). The comparative analysis will focus on understanding how different countries maintain transparency and accountability in their AI systems, particularly in relation to immigration processes such as visa decision-making, border control, and fraud detection. The countries selected for this analysis will include those that have significantly integrated AI into their immigration systems, such as the United States, the United Kingdom, Australia, and Canada (Jung et al., 2021). Data for the analysis will be drawn from government reports, institutional records, and legal frameworks governing the use of AI in these countries. Additionally, this study will review case law and legal precedents related to AI and immigration to identify operational challenges and ethical concerns that arise in different regulatory environments (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020). By comparing the policy frameworks and regulatory mechanisms of these countries, this study will highlight best practices and areas for improvement in maintaining transparency and accountability in AI-driven immigration systems.

Empirical data collection will include an examination of publicly available government documents, policy reports, and immigration data from the relevant countries (Kaur et al., 2023). This will be supplemented by scholarly literature and legal studies that discuss the implementation of AI in immigration enforcement. The ethical challenges—such as bias, data privacy concerns, and the "black box" problem—will be explored through these sources to determine how countries address these issues within their legal and regulatory frameworks. By comparing the systems across different countries, the study will assess how transparency is ensured in decision-making processes and how accountability mechanisms, such as human oversight and appeals processes, are implemented (Lalmuanawma et al., 2020). The findings will provide insights into the variations in AI governance in immigration law and the potential lessons that can be applied to other nations seeking to adopt AI technologies in their immigration enforcement systems.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in immigration law enforcement has varied significantly across countries, with the United States, the United Kingdom, Australia, and Canada each adopting different approaches to transparency and accountability in AI applications. In the United States, AI has been integrated into the immigration process, particularly in visa applications and fraud detection, where machine learning algorithms analyze large datasets to identify potential risks (Loh et al., 2022). However, one of the primary challenges is the “black box” nature of AI systems, making it difficult for applicants and legal advocates to understand how decisions are made. Despite efforts to improve transparency through initiatives such as the U.S. Algorithmic Accountability Act, significant gaps remain in providing explanations for AI-based decisions in immigration (Manickam et al., 2022). The lack of clear accountability mechanisms also poses a challenge, particularly when errors or biases in AI decisions result in unjust outcomes for migrants.

In contrast, the United Kingdom has implemented more robust regulatory frameworks to ensure that AI systems in immigration are transparent and accountable. The UK’s Home Office uses AI to manage visa applications and identify fraudulent activities, but has also faced criticism for the opaque nature of its algorithms, particularly in cases where migrants are denied entry based on AI-driven decisions (Maia et al., 2020). However, recent reforms, including the establishment of the Centre for Data Ethics and Innovation (CDEI), have focused on improving the transparency of AI systems and ensuring that decisions are explainable. The UK has also implemented a more comprehensive appeals process, where human oversight plays a crucial role in reviewing AI-based decisions, thereby addressing some concerns regarding accountability.

Australia has also embraced AI in immigration law enforcement, particularly through the Department of Home Affairs, which uses AI to streamline visa processing and border security measures. However, the Australian system has been criticized for its reliance on automated decision-making without adequate transparency or recourse for applicants. In , a report by the Australian Human Rights Commission raised concerns about the fairness and accountability of AI in immigration, emphasizing the need for clearer guidelines and better human oversight (Naik et al., 2022). Despite these challenges, Australia has made efforts to address privacy concerns through the Privacy Act 1988, which regulates the use of personal data in AI systems, but issues related to the “black box” problem remain prevalent.

Canada presents a more balanced approach to the use of AI in immigration, with a strong emphasis on transparency and public accountability. The Immigration, Refugees, and Citizenship Canada (IRCC) department uses AI to process applications and detect fraudulent activities, but the Canadian government has been proactive in ensuring that AI systems are subject to regular audits and human oversight (Niu et al., 2022). Canada’s approach includes providing applicants with clear explanations of AI-based decisions and ensuring that those decisions can be appealed. Furthermore, the country’s strong data privacy regulations, outlined in the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act (PIPEDA), ensure that personal data used in AI systems is protected, minimizing the risk of misuse. This approach has been lauded for balancing efficiency with the protection of migrant rights.

The comparative analysis of AI in immigration enforcement across the United States, the United Kingdom, Australia, and Canada reveals significant variations in how transparency and accountability are maintained. While the United States and Australia face challenges related to the “black box” nature of AI and limited accountability measures, the UK and Canada have made more substantial efforts to address these issues through regulatory reforms and human oversight. However, all four countries continue to face ethical challenges, particularly regarding bias in AI

systems and the protection of migrants' rights. Future policy developments must focus on enhancing transparency and accountability mechanisms to ensure that AI-driven decisions in immigration are fair and just across all jurisdictions.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed the efficiency and productivity of immigration law enforcement by streamlining processes that involve large volumes of data. In traditional systems, immigration processes like visa applications, background checks, and fraud detection required significant human intervention, which was often time-consuming and prone to errors. AI systems, particularly those employing machine learning and natural language processing, are capable of analyzing vast datasets in a fraction of the time required by human agents (Pan & Zhang, 2021). This shift has allowed immigration agencies to handle increasing volumes of applications and data, reducing processing times and improving overall operational efficiency. For instance, AI models can quickly cross-reference applicant data with government databases to flag inconsistencies or detect fraudulent documentation.

AI's ability to process and analyze large volumes of data not only increases speed but also enhances accuracy in decision-making. Traditional immigration processes often rely on manual reviews that can miss subtle patterns of fraud or risk. AI models, on the other hand, excel at identifying patterns and anomalies within large datasets, such as tracking unusual travel histories or identifying discrepancies in biometric data (Pelau et al., 2021). These capabilities significantly reduce the margin for human error, improving the quality of decisions made by immigration authorities. Furthermore, the automation of these processes allows immigration officers to focus their attention on more complex cases that require human judgment, enhancing the overall productivity of the workforce.

In addition to improving operational efficiency, AI also enhances the ability of immigration agencies to manage unpredictable surges in workload, such as during refugee crises or when visa demand spikes. Machine learning algorithms are designed to scale quickly, handling increased data loads without sacrificing speed or accuracy (Rong et al., 2020). This scalability is particularly beneficial in countries like Canada and the United States, where immigration volumes fluctuate significantly throughout the year. By employing AI, these countries can adjust their capacity in real-time to meet growing demand, ensuring that applications are processed promptly and that applicants experience fewer delays. Moreover, AI can operate continuously, allowing immigration services to function around the clock without the limitations of human work hours.

However, while AI has undoubtedly increased productivity and efficiency, its integration into immigration systems is not without challenges. One significant issue is the potential for algorithmic bias, particularly in models trained on historical data that may reflect biases in past immigration decisions. This can result in the perpetuation of discriminatory practices, undermining the fairness of AI-driven decisions. Additionally, while AI systems can process data quickly, the reliance on opaque algorithms—the so-called "black box" issue—can make it difficult to understand the reasoning behind decisions, raising concerns about accountability. Despite these challenges, continuous improvements in AI technologies, including the development of more transparent and interpretable algorithms, are helping to mitigate these risks and maximize the benefits of AI in immigration enforcement.

Looking ahead, AI's role in immigration is likely to expand, with emerging technologies such as predictive analytics and advanced biometric recognition systems poised to further enhance efficiency. Predictive models can forecast trends in immigration, enabling better resource allocation and strategic planning by government agencies. Similarly, AI-driven biometric systems are becoming increasingly accurate in identifying individuals based on facial recognition, fingerprints,

and other physiological characteristics, further reducing the likelihood of fraud and error in immigration process (Soori et al., 2023). These advances suggest that AI will continue to play a critical role in transforming how immigration agencies operate, offering new levels of efficiency, productivity, and precision.

Ethical accountability in the implementation of AI in immigration law systems is crucial, as decisions generated by these systems can directly impact the lives of individuals, especially migrants and asylum seekers. While AI can enhance efficiency and accuracy in many processes, human accountability must not be diminished in its application (Zhai et al., 2021). One of the main issues that arise in this context is how to maintain accountability when critical decisions are made by automated systems. AI systems that lack transparency, often referred to as "black boxes," make it difficult for stakeholders to understand how decisions are made and who is responsible for any errors or biases that may occur.

Human oversight is essential to ensure that decisions made by AI in immigration systems adhere to principles of ethics and justice. Eubanks (2018) argues that humans must remain involved in every critical decision that affects individual rights. In immigration contexts, errors in decision-making—such as visa rejections or deportation orders—can have severe consequences for the individuals involved. Therefore, human oversight is necessary to ensure that AI decisions are not solely based on algorithmic analysis but also take into account human factors that machines may not fully comprehend.

Human oversight is also vital in addressing the inherent biases present in AI systems. Bias can emerge from the training data used to develop AI models, particularly if this data reflects discriminatory practices from the past. In the immigration context, such bias could lead to injustices against certain groups, such as migrants from minority backgrounds (Talaviya et al., 2020). With human oversight, the potential for bias can be identified and mitigated more effectively, ensuring that AI-generated decisions remain fair and ethical. Additionally, human oversight can serve as a counterbalance to AI-generated decisions, particularly when algorithmic outcomes do not align with prevailing social or legal norms.

Furthermore, to ensure ethical accountability, clear regulations and policies must be in place to ensure that human oversight is effectively integrated into AI systems. In some countries, such as Canada, the approach to human oversight in AI-driven immigration systems has been well-implemented. Crawford (2021) notes that the Canadian government has established regular audit and evaluation mechanisms to oversee AI decisions, ensuring that they can be reviewed by human agents when necessary. This approach underscores the importance of human involvement at every stage of the process, both as overseers and as final decision-makers in critical immigration matters.

However, human oversight must not be merely symbolic. Human overseers must have real authority to review and overturn AI decisions when necessary. This means they must have full access to the algorithms used, the data analyzed, and the justifications behind the decisions made by AI systems. Thus, human involvement is not just a formality but serves as an active control mechanism to ensure that AI technology is used responsibly and does not pose risks to the rights of migrants.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into immigration law enforcement has the potential to revolutionize how Indonesia manages its immigration processes. With the rapid growth in technological capabilities, AI has been increasingly recognized for its ability to handle large volumes of data, streamline processes, and improve decision-making accuracy (Ullah et al., 2020). In Indonesia, where immigration issues are becoming increasingly complex due to globalization and rising migrant flows, AI can provide much-needed support to overburdened immigration officers.

By automating repetitive tasks such as document verification, visa processing, and fraud detection, AI has the potential to significantly improve the efficiency of Indonesia's immigration system.

However, despite the benefits AI brings to the table, maintaining transparency and accountability is critical, particularly in a democratic society like Indonesia. AI systems, especially those that operate through complex algorithms, often function as "black boxes," making it difficult for stakeholders to understand how decisions are made. This lack of transparency is a significant concern in Indonesia, where public trust in government institutions is closely tied to transparency and fairness. Indonesia's immigration law enforcement must, therefore, implement AI systems that allow for oversight and accountability to ensure that the rights of migrants are protected and that decisions can be appealed if necessary.

Another key concern in Indonesia is the ethical implications of AI in immigration law enforcement, particularly regarding the potential for bias in decision-making. AI systems are only as good as the data on which they are trained, and if that data is biased, the AI can perpetuate discriminatory practices (Vaishya et al., 2020). This is particularly relevant in Indonesia, where ethnic, religious, and socio-political diversity must be carefully considered when implementing AI systems. If AI systems in immigration were trained on biased data, it could lead to unfair treatment of certain groups, which could undermine public confidence in the immigration system and lead to social unrest.

To address these concerns, human oversight remains a crucial component of any AI-driven immigration system in Indonesia. AI should not be seen as a replacement for human judgment but as a tool to assist and enhance decision-making processes (Zhu, 2020). As highlighted by Eubanks, human oversight ensures that ethical accountability is maintained, and that complex cases, particularly those involving vulnerable groups such as refugees or asylum seekers, are handled with care and empathy. In Indonesia, ensuring that immigration officers are adequately trained to oversee AI systems and review AI-generated decisions is key to maintaining ethical standards in immigration law enforcement.

Additionally, the legal framework in Indonesia must be updated to accommodate the integration of AI into immigration law enforcement. Currently, Indonesia's immigration laws are primarily focused on manual processes, and there is a lack of clear regulations governing the use of AI in this sector. Without appropriate legal guidelines, the deployment of AI in immigration could lead to legal and ethical ambiguities, particularly in cases where AI decisions result in negative consequences for migrants. Establishing a regulatory framework that emphasizes transparency, accountability, and human rights is essential for ensuring that AI systems in immigration are used responsibly and ethically.

One example of how AI could be effectively deployed in Indonesia's immigration system is through the use of biometric identification technologies for border control. AI-driven biometric systems, such as facial recognition and fingerprint scanning, can enhance border security by quickly and accurately identifying individuals (Xiang et al., 2021). However, the implementation of these technologies must be carefully managed to prevent potential privacy violations. In this regard, Indonesia could learn from countries like Canada, which has developed robust data privacy laws that govern the use of biometric data in immigration.

Moreover, while AI can improve the efficiency of immigration processes, Indonesia must ensure that the deployment of AI systems does not lead to the exclusion or marginalization of certain groups. In countries where AI systems have been implemented without adequate oversight, there have been cases of marginalized groups being disproportionately affected by AI errors. In Indonesia, where certain regions and groups already face systemic challenges in accessing

government services, it is crucial to ensure that AI systems are designed to be inclusive and that any errors can be quickly identified and corrected.

The issue of data privacy is another important consideration for Indonesia as it looks to integrate AI into its immigration systems. AI systems require access to large datasets, often containing sensitive personal information such as biometric data. Without stringent data protection measures, this data could be vulnerable to misuse or breaches. Indonesia must develop strong data protection regulations to safeguard the privacy of individuals interacting with its immigration system. This includes ensuring that personal data collected through AI systems is stored securely and that access to this data is strictly controlled.

Furthermore, Indonesia can benefit from international collaborations and learning from best practices in other countries that have successfully integrated AI into their immigration systems. For example, the United Kingdom has established the Centre for Data Ethics and Innovation (CDEI) to oversee AI deployment and ensure that it adheres to ethical standards. Indonesia could adopt a similar model to create an independent body that oversees the use of AI in immigration and ensures that the systems are transparent, fair, and accountable.

In conclusion, while AI presents significant opportunities for enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of Indonesia's immigration law enforcement, it is critical to balance these benefits with concerns around transparency, ethical accountability, and human oversight (Yigitcanlar et al., 2020). By establishing a robust regulatory framework, implementing appropriate oversight mechanisms, and ensuring that AI systems are designed to be fair and inclusive, Indonesia can successfully integrate AI into its immigration processes while upholding the rights and dignity of all individuals.

CONCLUSION

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in immigration law enforcement in Indonesia offers numerous opportunities to enhance operational efficiency, particularly in managing large volumes of data and accelerating decision-making processes. AI has the potential to automate tasks such as document verification, border control, and fraud detection, which previously required significant human labor and time. However, these efficiency gains must be balanced with ethical considerations. As discussed, AI applied without adequate human oversight presents serious risks, such as the emergence of biases that lead to unfair outcomes and a lack of transparency in decision-making processes.

Achieving a balance between efficiency and ethical accountability is crucial, especially in a diverse country like Indonesia, where ethnic, religious, and social complexities must be considered. Human oversight is still essential to maintain accountability and ensure that decisions generated by AI systems are not only effective but also fair. AI in immigration should not completely replace the human role but should be viewed as a tool that enhances objective and accurate decision-making, with humans as the final authority responsible for every decision. Additionally, transparency in AI usage must be improved to ensure public trust that the government's systems are free from discrimination and are accountable.

Therefore, to strike the right balance, Indonesia needs to develop a clear regulatory framework and strengthen ethical oversight mechanisms in the use of AI in immigration. Legal reforms, training for immigration officers, and regular audit mechanisms should be prioritized to ensure that the use of AI does not compromise the rights of migrants or the general public. An inclusive and responsible approach to implementing this technology will ensure that Indonesia can harness the benefits of AI without sacrificing fundamental principles of justice and human rights.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

REFERENCES

- Adir, O., Poley, M., Chen, G., Froim, S., Krinsky, N., Shklover, J., Shainsky-Roitman, J., Lammers, T., & Schroeder, A. (2020). Integrating Artificial Intelligence and Nanotechnology for Precision Cancer Medicine. *Advanced Materials*, 32(13), 1901989. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201901989>
- Ahmed, Z., Mohamed, K., Zeeshan, S., & Dong, X. (2020). Artificial intelligence with multi-functional machine learning platform development for better healthcare and precision medicine. *Database*, 2020, baaa010. <https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baaa010>
- Ameen, N., Tarhini, A., Reppel, A., & Anand, A. (2021). Customer experiences in the age of artificial intelligence. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 114, 106548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106548>
- Ayoub Shaikh, T., Rasool, T., & Rasheed Lone, F. (2022). Towards leveraging the role of machine learning and artificial intelligence in precision agriculture and smart farming. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 198, 107119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107119>
- Baduge, S. K., Thilakarathna, S., Perera, J. S., Arashpour, M., Sharafi, P., Teodosio, B., Shringi, A., & Mendis, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence and smart vision for building and construction 4.0: Machine and deep learning methods and applications. *Automation in Construction*, 141, 104440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104440>
- Bohr, A., & Memarzadeh, K. (2020). The rise of artificial intelligence in healthcare applications. In *Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare* (pp. 25–60). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818438-7.00002-2>
- Briganti, G., & Le Moine, O. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Medicine: Today and Tomorrow. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 7, 27. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.00027>
- Chen, X., Xie, H., Zou, D., & Hwang, G.-J. (2020). Application and theory gaps during the rise of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 100002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100002>
- Collins, G. S., Dhiman, P., Andaur Navarro, C. L., Ma, J., Hooft, L., Reitsma, J. B., Logullo, P., Beam, A. L., Peng, L., Van Calster, B., Van Smeden, M., Riley, R. D., & Moons, K. G. (2021). Protocol for development of a reporting guideline (TRIPOD-AI) and risk of bias tool (PROBAST-AI) for diagnostic and prognostic prediction model studies based on artificial intelligence. *BMJ Open*, 11(7), e048008. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048008>
- Dong, K., Peng, X., & Wang, Z. L. (2020). Fiber/Fabric-Based Piezoelectric and Triboelectric Nanogenerators for Flexible/Stretchable and Wearable Electronics and Artificial Intelligence. *Advanced Materials*, 32(5), 1902549. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902549>
- Gerke, S., Minssen, T., & Cohen, G. (2020). Ethical and legal challenges of artificial intelligence-driven healthcare. In *Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare* (pp. 295–336). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818438-7.00012-5>
- Himeur, Y., Ghanem, K., Alsalemi, A., Bensaali, F., & Amira, A. (2021). Artificial intelligence based anomaly detection of energy consumption in buildings: A review, current trends and new perspectives. *Applied Energy*, 287, 116601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.116601>

- Huang, S., Yang, J., Fong, S., & Zhao, Q. (2020). Artificial intelligence in cancer diagnosis and prognosis: Opportunities and challenges. *Cancer Letters*, 471, 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2019.12.007>
- Huynh-The, T., Pham, Q.-V., Pham, X.-Q., Nguyen, T. T., Han, Z., & Kim, D.-S. (2023). Artificial intelligence for the metaverse: A survey. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 117, 105581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2022.105581>
- Hwang, G.-J., & Chien, S.-Y. (2022). Definition, roles, and potential research issues of the metaverse in education: An artificial intelligence perspective. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100082. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100082>
- Hwang, G.-J., Xie, H., Wah, B. W., & Gašević, D. (2020). Vision, challenges, roles and research issues of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 100001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100001>
- Jacovi, A., Marasović, A., Miller, T., & Goldberg, Y. (2021). Formalizing Trust in Artificial Intelligence: Prerequisites, Causes and Goals of Human Trust in AI. *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 624–635. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445923>
- Jung, J., Maeda, M., Chang, A., Bhandari, M., Ashapure, A., & Landivar-Bowles, J. (2021). The potential of remote sensing and artificial intelligence as tools to improve the resilience of agriculture production systems. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 70, 15–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2020.09.003>
- Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2020). Rulers of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons*, 63(1), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.09.003>
- Kaur, D., Uslu, S., Rittichier, K. J., & Duresi, A. (2023). Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence: A Review. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(2), 1–38. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491209>
- Lalmuanawma, S., Hussain, J., & Chhakchhuak, L. (2020). Applications of machine learning and artificial intelligence for Covid-19 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic: A review. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 139, 110059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110059>
- Loh, H. W., Ooi, C. P., Seoni, S., Barua, P. D., Molinari, F., & Acharya, U. R. (2022). Application of explainable artificial intelligence for healthcare: A systematic review of the last decade (2011–2022). *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 226, 107161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.107161>
- Maia, E. H. B., Assis, L. C., De Oliveira, T. A., Da Silva, A. M., & Taranto, A. G. (2020). Structure-Based Virtual Screening: From Classical to Artificial Intelligence. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 8, 343. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2020.00343>
- Manickam, P., Mariappan, S. A., Murugesan, S. M., Hansda, S., Kaushik, A., Shinde, R., & Thipperudraswamy, S. P. (2022). Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) Assisted Biomedical Systems for Intelligent Healthcare. *Biosensors*, 12(8), 562. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bios12080562>
- Naik, N., Hameed, B. M. Z., Shetty, D. K., Swain, D., Shah, M., Paul, R., Aggarwal, K., Ibrahim, S., Patil, V., Smriti, K., Shetty, S., Rai, B. P., Chlosta, P., & Somani, B. K. (2022). Legal and Ethical Consideration in Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Who Takes Responsibility? *Frontiers in Surgery*, 9, 862322. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.862322>
- Niu, H., Li, H., Gao, S., Li, Y., Wei, X., Chen, Y., Yue, W., Zhou, W., & Shen, G. (2022). Perception-to-Cognition Tactile Sensing Based on Artificial-Intelligence-Motivated Human

- Full-Skin Bionic Electronic Skin. *Advanced Materials*, 34(31), 2202622. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202202622>
- Pan, Y., & Zhang, L. (2021). Roles of artificial intelligence in construction engineering and management: A critical review and future trends. *Automation in Construction*, 122, 103517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103517>
- Pelau, C., Dabija, D.-C., & Ene, I. (2021). What makes an AI device human-like? The role of interaction quality, empathy and perceived psychological anthropomorphic characteristics in the acceptance of artificial intelligence in the service industry. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 122, 106855. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106855>
- Rong, G., Mendez, A., Bou Assi, E., Zhao, B., & Sawan, M. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Review and Prediction Case Studies. *Engineering*, 6(3), 291–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2019.08.015>
- Soori, M., Arezoo, B., & Dastres, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning in advanced robotics, a review. *Cognitive Robotics*, 3, 54–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogr.2023.04.001>
- Talaviya, T., Shah, D., Patel, N., Yagnik, H., & Shah, M. (2020). Implementation of artificial intelligence in agriculture for optimisation of irrigation and application of pesticides and herbicides. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*, 4, 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2020.04.002>
- Ullah, Z., Al-Turjman, F., Mostarda, L., & Gagliardi, R. (2020). Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Machine learning in smart cities. *Computer Communications*, 154, 313–323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2020.02.069>
- Vaishya, R., Javaid, M., Khan, I. H., & Haleem, A. (2020). Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications for COVID-19 pandemic. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*, 14(4), 337–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2020.04.012>
- Xiang, X., Li, Q., Khan, S., & Khalaf, O. I. (2021). Urban water resource management for sustainable environment planning using artificial intelligence techniques. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 86, 106515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2020.106515>
- Yigitcanlar, T., Desouza, K., Butler, L., & Roozkhosh, F. (2020). Contributions and Risks of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Building Smarter Cities: Insights from a Systematic Review of the Literature. *Energies*, 13(6), 1473. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13061473>
- Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., Liu, J.-B., Yuan, J., & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, 2021(1), 8812542. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8812542>
- Zhu, H. (2020). Big Data and Artificial Intelligence Modeling for Drug Discovery. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 60(1), 573–589. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010919-023324>

Copyright Holder :

© Muhammad Arief Hamdi et.al (2024).

First Publication Right :

© Journal of Multidisciplinary Sustainability Asean

This article is under:

