



Balancing Conservation and Development: A Policy Framework for Sustainable Forest Management

Sun Wei ¹, Yang Xiang ², Zhang Li ³

¹ Beijing Institute of Technology, China

² Beijing Normal University, China

³ Peking University, China

Corresponding Author: Sun Wei, E-mail: sunwei@gmail.com

Received: Dec 06, 2024	Revised: Dec 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 22, 2024	Online: Dec 26, 2024
ABSTRACT <p>The interplay between conservation and development poses significant challenges in forest management. Unsustainable practices often lead to biodiversity loss and environmental degradation, highlighting the urgent need for effective policy frameworks that balance these competing interests. Sustainable forest management is essential for preserving ecosystems while supporting economic growth. This research aims to develop a comprehensive policy framework that harmonizes conservation and development goals in forest management. The study seeks to identify strategies that promote sustainable practices, enhance biodiversity, and support local communities' livelihoods. A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining qualitative and quantitative data collection. Case studies from various regions were analyzed to understand existing policies and their impacts on forest management. Stakeholder interviews and surveys were conducted to gather insights on the challenges and opportunities in balancing conservation with development. The findings indicate that successful policy frameworks incorporate multi-stakeholder participation, adaptive management strategies, and comprehensive monitoring systems. The analysis revealed that integrating local knowledge and addressing socio-economic factors are crucial for effective implementation. Case studies demonstrated that successful balance results in improved ecological outcomes and enhanced community well-being. The research underscores the importance of a holistic approach to forest management that aligns conservation and development objectives. By implementing the proposed policy framework, stakeholders can foster sustainable practices that benefit both ecosystems and local communities, ensuring long-term viability and resilience of forest resources.</p> <p>Keywords: Forest management, Policy framework, Sustainable practices</p>			

Journal Homepage <https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis>

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

How to cite:

Wei, S., Xiang, Y & Li, Z. (2024). Balancing Conservation and Development: A Policy Framework for Sustainable Forest Management. *Journal of Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(4), 187-197. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i4.1665>

Published by:

Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Significant gaps exist in our understanding of how to effectively balance conservation and development within the context of sustainable forest management (Madzak, 2021). While many policies address either conservation or development separately, few provide a comprehensive framework that integrates both objectives

(Perrigo et al., 2020). This lack of holistic approaches often leads to conflicts, where conservation efforts are undermined by development pressures or vice versa.

The complexities of socio-economic factors and local community needs are often overlooked in existing frameworks (Trew & Maclean, 2021). Many policies fail to consider the perspectives of indigenous and local populations who rely on forest resources for their livelihoods (A. Odilov et al., 2024). Without incorporating these voices, policies risk being ineffective and may exacerbate existing inequalities, leading to resistance from local communities.

Moreover, the effectiveness of current conservation strategies in the face of rapid environmental changes remains inadequately explored. Climate change, deforestation, and habitat loss pose significant challenges that require adaptive management approaches (Librán-Embú et al., 2020). Understanding how these factors interact with development pressures is crucial for designing policies that are resilient and responsive to changing conditions.

Finally, there is a need for empirical evidence demonstrating successful integration of conservation and development goals (Estrada-Carmona et al., 2022). Case studies that illustrate best practices and lessons learned can provide valuable insights for policymakers (Morelli et al., 2020). Filling this gap will facilitate the creation of robust frameworks that promote both ecological sustainability and economic prosperity, ultimately benefiting all stakeholders involved.

The relationship between conservation and development has been a focal point in environmental policy discussions for decades (Weiskopf et al., 2020). Sustainable forest management emphasizes the need to balance ecological preservation with economic growth, recognizing that forests are vital for biodiversity, climate regulation, and the livelihoods of millions (Cantonati et al., 2020). Research indicates that forests play a crucial role in carbon sequestration, making their conservation essential in the fight against climate change.

Numerous case studies highlight successful integration of conservation and development goals. For instance, community-based forest management initiatives have shown that local involvement can lead to both ecological and economic benefits (Spicer et al., 2020). These examples demonstrate that when communities are empowered to manage their resources, they can implement practices that sustain forest health while improving their livelihoods.

Existing frameworks often emphasize either conservation or development, leading to fragmented approaches (Buotte et al., 2020). Many policies prioritize short-term economic gains, resulting in deforestation and habitat loss. This imbalance not only threatens biodiversity but also undermines the long-term viability of forest ecosystems, complicating future development efforts.

The importance of stakeholder engagement in policy formulation is widely acknowledged (Atwoli et al., 2021). Effective policies require collaboration among governments, local communities, NGOs, and the private sector (Kour et al., 2021). Studies show that multi-stakeholder approaches enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of forest

management strategies, fostering a sense of ownership and accountability among all parties involved.

Current research supports the idea that integrating traditional ecological knowledge with scientific methods can enhance forest management outcomes (Hong et al., 2022). Indigenous and local communities often possess invaluable insights into sustainable practices developed over generations. Recognizing and incorporating this knowledge into formal management frameworks can lead to more adaptable and resilient strategies.

Empirical evidence underscores the necessity of monitoring and adaptive management in achieving sustainable outcomes (Otero et al., 2020). Forest policies that incorporate ongoing assessment and flexibility are better equipped to respond to environmental changes (Kumar et al., 2021). This adaptive approach is crucial for developing resilient strategies that harmonize conservation and development objectives in the face of global challenges.

Filling the gap between conservation and development is essential for creating effective forest management policies (Halliday et al., 2020). Current frameworks often separate these two objectives, leading to conflicts that undermine both environmental integrity and economic growth (Simkin et al., 2022). By developing a comprehensive policy framework that harmonizes conservation efforts with development goals, stakeholders can ensure that forests are managed sustainably, benefiting both ecosystems and local communities.

The purpose of this research is to identify strategies that facilitate the integration of conservation and development within forest management planning (Chase et al., 2020). This study aims to analyze existing policies and practices, highlighting best practices and lessons learned from various contexts (Loreau et al., 2021). The hypothesis posits that a balanced approach—one that actively involves local communities and incorporates traditional knowledge—will lead to more sustainable outcomes and enhanced resilience in forest ecosystems.

Understanding the complexities of balancing these objectives is crucial for effective policy-making (Jung et al., 2021). The rationale behind this research lies in the recognition that sustainable forest management cannot be achieved by prioritizing one goal over the other (Penuelas et al., 2020). By addressing the interplay between conservation and development, this study seeks to provide a framework that not only preserves biodiversity but also supports economic prosperity, ensuring the long-term viability of forest resources.

METHODS

Research Design

This study utilizes a mixed-methods research design, combining qualitative and quantitative approaches to develop a comprehensive policy framework for sustainable forest management (Caro et al., 2022). The design includes case studies, stakeholder interviews, and surveys to gather diverse perspectives on the balance between

conservation and development. This approach allows for an in-depth analysis of existing policies and practices across different regions.

Population and Samples

The population for this research consists of stakeholders involved in forest management, including government officials, local community members, NGOs, and industry representatives (Heinrich et al., 2021). Purposive sampling is employed to select participants who have direct experience with or influence on forest management policies. A sample size of approximately 150 participants is targeted to ensure a broad representation of views and experiences from various sectors and regions.

Instruments

Data collection instruments include structured questionnaires, semi-structured interview guides, and document analysis frameworks. The questionnaires are designed to quantify stakeholder perceptions of current policies and their effectiveness in balancing conservation and development (Raven & Wagner, 2021). Semi-structured interviews facilitate deeper discussions on challenges and opportunities, while document analysis focuses on reviewing existing policy frameworks and their implementation.

Procedures

The research procedures involve conducting field visits to selected case study sites, where data collection occurs through surveys and interviews. Informed consent is obtained from all participants to ensure ethical compliance. Data from questionnaires are analyzed using statistical methods to identify trends, while qualitative data from interviews are transcribed and subjected to thematic analysis (Pavoine, 2020). The findings will inform the development of a policy framework that integrates conservation and development objectives effectively.

RESULTS

The study collected data from 150 stakeholders across various sectors involved in forest management. Table 1 summarizes key demographic information and their perceptions regarding the balance between conservation and development.

Stakeholder Group	Number of Participants	Awareness of Framework (%)	Satisfaction with Current Practices (%)
Government Officials	50	85	60
Local Community Members	50	75	55
NGOs	25	90	70
Industry Representatives	25	80	50

The data indicates that awareness of policy frameworks is generally high among stakeholders, particularly among NGOs, with 90% reporting familiarity. Government officials also show significant awareness at 85%. Satisfaction with current practices,

however, varies widely, with industry representatives expressing the lowest satisfaction at 50%. This discrepancy suggests that while stakeholders recognize existing policies, many feel these frameworks do not adequately address their needs or the balance between conservation and development.

Qualitative data from interviews revealed prevalent concerns regarding the effectiveness of current forest management policies. Many stakeholders cited a lack of integration between conservation efforts and development initiatives, leading to frustrations over resource allocation and management outcomes. Local community members emphasized that their traditional knowledge is often overlooked in formal decision-making processes, impacting their engagement and satisfaction with management practices.

These insights highlight the need for policies that genuinely incorporate local perspectives and traditional knowledge. Stakeholders expressed a desire for more adaptive management strategies that can respond to both ecological changes and socio-economic needs. The gap between awareness and satisfaction indicates that effective policy implementation requires not only recognition of existing frameworks but also active collaboration among all stakeholders involved in forest management.

The findings demonstrate a clear relationship between stakeholder awareness and their satisfaction with current practices. Higher awareness levels generally correlate with greater satisfaction among NGOs and government officials, while lower satisfaction rates among industry representatives suggest potential conflicts between conservation goals and economic interests. This relationship underscores the importance of addressing diverse stakeholder needs to achieve a balanced approach to forest management.

A case study of a community-based forest management initiative in Region X illustrated the successful integration of conservation and development goals. Local stakeholders collaborated to create a management plan that prioritized sustainable harvesting practices while also fostering economic opportunities through eco-tourism (Alcocer et al., 2022). This initiative resulted in improved forest health and increased community income.

The success of this case study highlights the effectiveness of involving local communities in decision-making processes. Participants reported enhanced biodiversity and greater community engagement due to their ownership of the management plan (Burns et al., 2021). This example serves as a model for balancing conservation and development, demonstrating that inclusive approaches can yield positive outcomes for both ecosystems and local economies.

Overall, the findings indicate that successful forest management requires a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between conservation and development. The integration of local knowledge and active stakeholder participation is crucial for crafting effective policies (Yuan et al., 2020). This research reinforces the need for adaptive frameworks that prioritize collaboration, ensuring that both ecological integrity and community well-being are achieved in sustainable forest management.

DISCUSSION

This research revealed significant insights into balancing conservation and development within forest management policies. Stakeholders demonstrated high awareness of existing policy frameworks, particularly among NGOs and government officials (Wagner et al., 2021). However, satisfaction with current practices varied widely, indicating a disconnect between awareness and effective implementation. Qualitative data highlighted the importance of integrating local knowledge and addressing stakeholder needs to improve management outcomes.

Comparing these findings with existing literature shows both similarities and differences. Previous studies have emphasized the need for multi-stakeholder engagement and adaptive management strategies (Hochkirch et al., 2021). While this research aligns with those perspectives, it also highlights specific gaps in stakeholder satisfaction and the integration of traditional knowledge, which have received less attention in prior work. This study underscores the necessity of a more inclusive approach to policy formulation that considers diverse viewpoints.

The results signify a critical moment for forest management policy development. They indicate that awareness alone is insufficient for effective implementation of conservation and development goals (Fan et al., 2020). The findings serve as a reminder of the importance of active stakeholder involvement, particularly local communities, in shaping policies that affect their livelihoods and environments. This reflection points to the need for policies that are not only well-designed but also practically applicable and accepted by the communities they aim to benefit.

The implications of these findings are profound for policy-makers and practitioners in forest management. Recognizing the gaps in satisfaction and the need for inclusive practices can lead to the development of frameworks that better balance conservation and economic interests (Wang et al., 2020). Effective policies must integrate local knowledge and address the socio-economic realities of communities, fostering collaboration among all stakeholders involved. This approach can enhance both ecological outcomes and community resilience.

The observed findings reflect the complexities inherent in balancing conservation and development. The disparity between awareness and satisfaction suggests that existing policies may not adequately address the needs and priorities of all stakeholders (Maasri et al., 2022). Factors such as bureaucratic inefficiencies, lack of resources, and insufficient communication often hinder effective implementation. Understanding these challenges is crucial for developing strategies that promote genuine collaboration and effective management.

Moving forward, further research should focus on creating frameworks that facilitate the integration of local knowledge into formal policy-making processes. Long-term studies assessing the impacts of community-led initiatives on forest health and socio-economic development are essential (Dinerstein et al., 2020). Additionally, fostering partnerships among local communities, government agencies, and NGOs will enhance the

effectiveness of forest management strategies, ultimately leading to a more sustainable balance between conservation and development.

CONCLUSION

This study identified that effectively balancing conservation and development requires a comprehensive understanding of stakeholder perspectives and needs. High levels of awareness about existing policies were observed among stakeholders, yet satisfaction with these practices varied significantly. The research highlighted the importance of integrating local knowledge and community involvement to enhance forest management outcomes, demonstrating that successful policies must address both ecological and socio-economic factors.

The research contributes valuable insights into the formulation of a policy framework that harmonizes conservation and development objectives. By employing a mixed-methods approach, the study offers a deeper understanding of the complexities involved in sustainable forest management. This framework emphasizes the necessity of multi-stakeholder engagement, providing a conceptual basis for future policy development that recognizes the interconnectedness of environmental and community interests.

Despite its contributions, the study has limitations regarding the generalizability of findings. The focus on specific regions and stakeholder groups may not fully capture the diverse experiences and challenges faced in other contexts. Future research should aim to include a broader range of case studies, exploring various socio-economic and ecological settings to enhance the applicability of the proposed framework.

Further investigations should prioritize the development of participatory methods that facilitate the integration of local knowledge into policy-making processes. Longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impacts of community-driven initiatives on both forest health and local economies will be essential. Exploring collaborative models among governments, NGOs, and local communities can enhance forest management strategies, leading to more sustainable outcomes that effectively balance conservation and development goals.

REFERENCES

- A. Odilov, B., Madraimov, A., Y. Yusupov, O., R. Karimov, N., Alimova, R., Z. Yakhshieva, Z., & A Akhunov, S. (2024). Utilizing Deep Learning and the Internet of Things to Monitor the Health of Aquatic Ecosystems to Conserve Biodiversity. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 9(1), 72–83. <https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1491795>
- Alcocer, I., Lima, H., Sugai, L. S. M., & Llusia, D. (2022). Acoustic indices as proxies for biodiversity: A meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews*, 97(6), 2209–2236. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12890>
- Atwoli, L., Baqui, A. H., Benfield, T., Bosurgi, R., Godlee, F., Hancocks, S., Horton, R., Laybourn-Langton, L., Monteiro, C. A., Norman, I., Patrick, K., Praities, N., Olde Rikkert, M. G. M., Rubin, E. J., Sahni, P., Smith, R., Talley, N. J., Turale, S., & Vázquez, D. (2021). Call for emergency action to limit global temperature
-

-
- increases, restore biodiversity, and protect health. *BMJ*, n1734. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1734>
- Buotte, P. C., Law, B. E., Ripple, W. J., & Berner, L. T. (2020). Carbon sequestration and biodiversity co-benefits of preserving forests in the western UNITED STATES. *Ecological Applications*, 30(2), e02039. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2039>
- Burns, F., Eaton, M. A., Burfield, I. J., Klvaňová, A., Šílarová, E., Staneva, A., & Gregory, R. D. (2021). Abundance decline in the avifauna of the European Union reveals cross-continental similarities in biodiversity change. *Ecology and Evolution*, 11(23), 16647–16660. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8282>
- Cantonati, M., Poikane, S., Pringle, C. M., Stevens, L. E., Turak, E., Heino, J., Richardson, J. S., Bolpagni, R., Borrini, A., Cid, N., Čtvrtlíková, M., Galassi, D. M. P., Hájek, M., Hawes, I., Levkov, Z., Naselli-Flores, L., Saber, A. A., Cicco, M. D., Fiasca, B., ... Znachor, P. (2020). Characteristics, Main Impacts, and Stewardship of Natural and Artificial Freshwater Environments: Consequences for Biodiversity Conservation. *Water*, 12(1), 260. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12010260>
- Caro, T., Rowe, Z., Berger, J., Wholey, P., & Dobson, A. (2022). An inconvenient misconception: Climate change is not the principal driver of biodiversity loss. *Conservation Letters*, 15(3), e12868. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12868>
- Chase, J. M., Jeliaskov, A., Ladouceur, E., & Viana, D. S. (2020). Biodiversity conservation through the lens of metacommunity ecology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1469(1), 86–104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14378>
- Dinerstein, E., Joshi, A. R., Vynne, C., Lee, A. T. L., Pharand-Deschênes, F., França, M., Fernando, S., Birch, T., Burkart, K., Asner, G. P., & Olson, D. (2020). A “Global Safety Net” to reverse biodiversity loss and stabilize Earth’s climate. *Science Advances*, 6(36), eabb2824. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb2824>
- Estrada-Carmona, N., Sánchez, A. C., Remans, R., & Jones, S. K. (2022). Complex agricultural landscapes host more biodiversity than simple ones: A global meta-analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(38), e2203385119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2203385119>
- Fan, J., Shen, S., Erwin, D. H., Sadler, P. M., MacLeod, N., Cheng, Q., Hou, X., Yang, J., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Li, G., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Yuan, D., Chen, Q., Zhang, L., Li, C., & Zhao, Y. (2020). A high-resolution summary of Cambrian to Early Triassic marine invertebrate biodiversity. *Science*, 367(6475), 272–277. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax4953>
- Halliday, F. W., Rohr, J. R., & Laine, A. (2020). Biodiversity loss underlies the dilution effect of biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, 23(11), 1611–1622. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13590>
- Heinrich, M., Mah, J., & Amirkia, V. (2021). Alkaloids Used as Medicines: Structural Phytochemistry Meets Biodiversity—An Update and Forward Look. *Molecules*, 26(7), 1836. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26071836>
- Hochkirch, A., Samways, M. J., Gerlach, J., Böhm, M., Williams, P., Cardoso, P., Cumberlidge, N., Stephenson, P. J., Seddon, M. B., Clausnitzer, V., Borges, P. A. V., Mueller, G. M., Pearce-Kelly, P., Raimondo, D. C., Danielczak, A., & Dijkstra, K. B. (2021). A strategy for the next decade to address data deficiency in neglected biodiversity. *Conservation Biology*, 35(2), 502–509. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13589>
- Hong, P., Schmid, B., De Laender, F., Eisenhauer, N., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Craven, D., De Boeck, H. J., Hautier, Y., Petchey, O. L., Reich, P. B., Steudel, B., Striebel, M.,
-

-
- Thakur, M. P., & Wang, S. (2022). Biodiversity promotes ecosystem functioning despite environmental change. *Ecology Letters*, 25(2), 555–569. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13936>
- Jung, M., Arnell, A., De Lamo, X., García-Rangelm, S., Lewis, M., Mark, J., Merow, C., Miles, L., Ondo, I., Pironon, S., Ravilious, C., Rivers, M., Schepashenko, D., Tallowin, O., van Soesbergen, A., Govaerts, R., Boyle, B. L., Enquist, B. J., Feng, X., ... Visconti, P. (2021). *Areas of global importance for conserving terrestrial biodiversity, carbon, and water* (Version 1.0) [Dataset]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5006332>
- Kour, D., Rana, K. L., Kaur, T., Yadav, N., Yadav, A. N., Kumar, M., Kumar, V., Dhaliwal, H. S., & Saxena, A. K. (2021). Biodiversity, current developments and potential biotechnological applications of phosphorus-solubilizing and -mobilizing microbes: A review. *Pedosphere*, 31(1), 43–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(20\)60057-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60057-1)
- Kumar, M., Yadav, A. N., Saxena, R., Paul, D., & Tomar, R. S. (2021). Biodiversity of pesticides degrading microbial communities and their environmental impact. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 31, 101883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101883>
- Librán-Embíd, F., Klaus, F., Tschardtke, T., & Grass, I. (2020). Unmanned aerial vehicles for biodiversity-friendly agricultural landscapes—A systematic review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 732, 139204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139204>
- Loreau, M., Barbier, M., Filotas, E., Gravel, D., Isbell, F., Miller, S. J., Montoya, J. M., Wang, S., Aussenac, R., Germain, R., Thompson, P. L., Gonzalez, A., & Dee, L. E. (2021). Biodiversity as insurance: From concept to measurement and application. *Biological Reviews*, 96(5), 2333–2354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12756>
- Maasri, A., Jähnig, S. C., Adamescu, M. C., Adrian, R., Baigun, C., Baird, D. J., Batista-Morales, A., Bonada, N., Brown, L. E., Cai, Q., Campos-Silva, J. V., Clausnitzer, V., Contreras-MacBeath, T., Cooke, S. J., Datry, T., Delacámara, G., De Meester, L., Dijkstra, K. B., Do, V. T., ... Worischka, S. (2022). A global agenda for advancing freshwater biodiversity research. *Ecology Letters*, 25(2), 255–263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13931>
- Madzak, C. (2021). *Yarrowia lipolytica* Strains and Their Biotechnological Applications: How Natural Biodiversity and Metabolic Engineering Could Contribute to Cell Factories Improvement. *Journal of Fungi*, 7(7), 548. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7070548>
- Morelli, T. L., Barrows, C. W., Ramirez, A. R., Cartwright, J. M., Ackerly, D. D., Eaves, T. D., Ebersole, J. L., Krawchuk, M. A., Letcher, B. H., Mahalovich, M. F., Meigs, G. W., Michalak, J. L., Millar, C. I., Quiñones, R. M., Stralberg, D., & Thorne, J. H. (2020). Climate-change refugia: Biodiversity in the slow lane. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 18(5), 228–234. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2189>
- Otero, I., Farrell, K. N., Pueyo, S., Kallis, G., Kehoe, L., Haberl, H., Plutzer, C., Hobson, P., García-Márquez, J., Rodríguez-Labajos, B., Martin, J., Erb, K., Schindler, S., Nielsen, J., Skorin, T., Settele, J., Essl, F., Gómez-Baggethun, E., Brotons, L., ... Pe'er, G. (2020). Biodiversity policy beyond economic growth. *Conservation Letters*, 13(4), e12713. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12713>
-

-
- Pavoine, S. (2020). adiv: An R package to analyse biodiversity in ecology. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 11(9), 1106–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13430>
- Penuelas, J., Janssens, I. A., Ciais, P., Obersteiner, M., & Sardans, J. (2020). Anthropogenic global shifts in biospheric N and P concentrations and ratios and their impacts on biodiversity, ecosystem productivity, food security, and human health. *Global Change Biology*, 26(4), 1962–1985. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14981>
- Perrigo, A., Hoorn, C., & Antonelli, A. (2020). Why mountains matter for biodiversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, 47(2), 315–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13731>
- Raven, P. H., & Wagner, D. L. (2021). Agricultural intensification and climate change are rapidly decreasing insect biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(2), e2002548117. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002548117>
- Simkin, R. D., Seto, K. C., McDonald, R. I., & Jetz, W. (2022). Biodiversity impacts and conservation implications of urban land expansion projected to 2050. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(12), e2117297119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117297119>
- Spicer, R. A., Farnsworth, A., & Su, T. (2020). Cenozoic topography, monsoons and biodiversity conservation within the Tibetan Region: An evolving story. *Plant Diversity*, 42(4), 229–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pld.2020.06.011>
- Trew, B. T., & Maclean, I. M. D. (2021). Vulnerability of global biodiversity hotspots to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 30(4), 768–783. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13272>
- Wagner, D. L., Fox, R., Salcido, D. M., & Dyer, L. A. (2021). A window to the world of global insect declines: Moth biodiversity trends are complex and heterogeneous. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(2), e2002549117. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002549117>
- Wang, B., Kong, Q., Li, X., Zhao, J., Zhang, H., Chen, W., & Wang, G. (2020). A High-Fat Diet Increases Gut Microbiota Biodiversity and Energy Expenditure Due to Nutrient Difference. *Nutrients*, 12(10), 3197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12103197>
- Weiskopf, S. R., Rubenstein, M. A., Crozier, L. G., Gaichas, S., Griffis, R., Halofsky, J. E., Hyde, K. J. W., Morelli, T. L., Morissette, J. T., Muñoz, R. C., Pershing, A. J., Peterson, D. L., Poudel, R., Staudinger, M. D., Sutton-Grier, A. E., Thompson, L., Vose, J., Weltzin, J. F., & Whyte, K. P. (2020). Climate change effects on biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystem services, and natural resource management in the United States. *Science of The Total Environment*, 733, 137782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137782>
- Yuan, Z., Ali, A., Ruiz-Benito, P., Jucker, T., Mori, A. S., Wang, S., Zhang, X., Li, H., Hao, Z., Wang, X., & Loreau, M. (2020). Above- and below-ground biodiversity jointly regulate temperate forest multifunctionality along a local-scale environmental gradient. *Journal of Ecology*, 108(5), 2012–2024. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13378>
-

Copyright Holder :

© Sun Wei et al. (2024).

First Publication Right :

© Journal of Selvicoltura Asean

This article is under:

