



Deforestation and Forest Degradation: Drivers and Impacts on Biodiversity in Southeast Asia

Megan Koh ¹, Ryan Teo ², Ethan Tan ³

¹ LASALLE College of the Arts, Singapore

² Republic Polytechnic, Singapore

³ National University of Singapore (NUS), Singapore

Corresponding Author: Megan Koh, E-mail: megankoh@gmail.com

Received: Dec 06, 2024

Revised: Dec 22, 2024

Accepted: Dec 22, 2024

Online: Dec 26, 2024

ABSTRACT

Deforestation and forest degradation in Southeast Asia pose significant threats to biodiversity and ecosystem services. Rapid economic development, agricultural expansion, and illegal logging are key drivers of these environmental changes. Understanding the underlying factors and their impacts is crucial for formulating effective conservation strategies. This research aims to identify the primary drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Southeast Asia and to assess their impacts on biodiversity. The study seeks to provide insights that can inform policymakers and conservationists in addressing these pressing environmental issues. A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative data analysis from satellite imagery and forest cover assessments with qualitative interviews of local stakeholders. Case studies from Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand were analyzed to explore the relationships between human activities, forest loss, and biodiversity impacts. Findings reveal that agricultural expansion, particularly palm oil and timber production, is the leading cause of deforestation in the region. Biodiversity impacts include habitat loss, species extinction, and disruption of ecological processes. Local communities reported diminished resources and increased human-wildlife conflicts as direct consequences of forest degradation. The study concludes that urgent action is needed to mitigate deforestation and its ecological impacts in Southeast Asia. Effective policies must address the drivers of forest loss while promoting sustainable land-use practices. Collaborative efforts among governments, local communities, and conservation organizations are essential to safeguard biodiversity and enhance ecosystem resilience in the region.

Keywords: Deforestation, Degradation, Sustainability

Journal Homepage <https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis>

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

How to cite:

Koh, M., Teo, R & Tan, E. (2024). Deforestation and Forest Degradation: Drivers and Impacts on Biodiversity in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(4), 198-207. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i4.1666>

Published by:

Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Significant gaps exist in understanding the specific drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Southeast Asia (Madzak, 2021). While various studies have explored the general causes of forest loss, there is a lack of comprehensive analysis that links these

drivers directly to their impacts on biodiversity (Perrigo et al., 2020). Many existing research efforts often focus on broader economic factors without delving into the localized consequences for wildlife and ecosystems.

The interactions between different drivers of deforestation, such as agricultural expansion, logging, and infrastructure development, are not well understood (Trew & Maclean, 2021). Each of these factors may operate in tandem, exacerbating the impacts on forest ecosystems (A. Odilov et al., 2024). Identifying how these drivers intersect and influence biodiversity loss is crucial for developing targeted conservation strategies.

Furthermore, the socio-economic implications of deforestation for local communities remain inadequately explored (Librán-Embid et al., 2020). Many communities rely on forest resources for their livelihoods, yet the consequences of forest degradation on their socio-economic conditions are often overlooked. Understanding these dynamics is essential for creating policies that balance conservation efforts with the needs of local populations.

Lastly, there is a need for more localized case studies that provide insights into the specific biodiversity impacts resulting from deforestation in various Southeast Asian contexts (Estrada-Carmona et al., 2022). While regional assessments offer valuable overviews, detailed studies at the community level can reveal critical information about species loss and habitat changes (Morelli et al., 2020). Filling this gap will enhance our understanding of the complex relationship between deforestation and biodiversity in Southeast Asia.

Deforestation and forest degradation in Southeast Asia are major environmental concerns that have garnered significant attention from researchers and policymakers alike (Weiskopf et al., 2020). This region, rich in biodiversity, faces unprecedented rates of forest loss due to a combination of agricultural expansion, logging, and infrastructure development (Cantonati et al., 2020). The conversion of forests into agricultural land, particularly for palm oil and rubber plantations, has been identified as a primary driver of deforestation.

Research has established that the impacts of deforestation extend beyond tree loss, affecting entire ecosystems and the biodiversity they support (Spicer et al., 2020). Species extinction rates are rising, with many endemic species in Southeast Asia facing heightened threats as their habitats are destroyed or fragmented (Buotte et al., 2020). This loss of biodiversity can disrupt ecological processes and reduce the resilience of forest ecosystems to environmental changes.

Studies have documented the socio-economic drivers behind deforestation, emphasizing the role of economic incentives for local and national development. Many communities depend on forest resources for their livelihoods, leading to unsustainable practices that further exacerbate forest degradation (Atwoli et al., 2021). This reliance on forest resources highlights the need for integrated approaches that consider both conservation and community well-being.

Government policies and land-use planning also play a crucial role in shaping deforestation trends. Inconsistent enforcement of environmental regulations and policies

favoring economic growth often undermine conservation efforts (Kour et al., 2021). Understanding the effectiveness of these policies is essential to address the ongoing challenges of forest loss in the region.

Literature indicates that community-based conservation initiatives can offer promising solutions to mitigate deforestation impacts (Hong et al., 2022). Engaging local communities in sustainable forest management has shown potential in preserving biodiversity while improving livelihoods (Otero et al., 2020). These initiatives highlight the importance of local knowledge and participation in conservation strategies.

Overall, while significant knowledge exists regarding the drivers and impacts of deforestation in Southeast Asia, gaps remain in understanding the complex interactions between economic, social, and ecological factors (Kumar et al., 2021). Further exploration of these dynamics is necessary to develop effective strategies for combating deforestation and promoting biodiversity conservation in the region.

Filling the gap in understanding the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation is crucial for effective biodiversity conservation in Southeast Asia (Halliday et al., 2020). Despite existing research identifying various factors contributing to forest loss, there remains a lack of detailed analysis on how these drivers interact and specifically impact biodiversity (Chase et al., 2020). This study aims to provide a comprehensive assessment of the relationships between economic activities, policy frameworks, and ecological outcomes, thereby enhancing our understanding of this critical issue.

The purpose of this research is to explore the multifaceted drivers of deforestation and their direct and indirect impacts on biodiversity (Loreau et al., 2021). By examining case studies across different countries in Southeast Asia, the study seeks to identify patterns and trends that can inform conservation strategies (Tickner et al., 2020). The hypothesis posits that understanding these complex interactions will reveal opportunities for sustainable land-use practices that not only reduce deforestation but also support biodiversity conservation.

Addressing these gaps is essential for developing effective policies and management practices (Jung et al., 2021). As deforestation continues to threaten the rich biodiversity of Southeast Asia, the research aims to contribute valuable insights that can guide policymakers, conservationists, and local communities (Penuelas et al., 2020). Ultimately, this study aspires to promote an integrated approach that balances economic development with ecological sustainability, ensuring the preservation of vital ecosystems for future generations.

METHODS

Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to investigate the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, along with their impacts on biodiversity in Southeast Asia (Caro et al., 2022). The design integrates both qualitative and quantitative approaches, allowing for a comprehensive analysis of the complex interactions between

human activities and ecological outcomes. Case studies from multiple countries in the region provide context-specific insights into the phenomena being studied.

Population and Samples

The population for this research includes a diverse range of stakeholders involved in forest management and conservation, such as local community members, government officials, NGOs, and industry representatives (Heinrich et al., 2021). Purposive sampling techniques are utilized to select participants with relevant experience and knowledge regarding deforestation and biodiversity issues. A target sample of approximately 200 participants across several Southeast Asian countries ensures a broad representation of perspectives and experiences.

Instruments

Data collection instruments consist of structured questionnaires, semi-structured interview guides, and remote sensing tools for quantitative analysis. The questionnaires are designed to quantify perceptions of deforestation drivers and their impacts on biodiversity (Pavoine, 2020). Semi-structured interviews facilitate in-depth discussions that capture qualitative insights, while remote sensing data provides objective measures of forest change and degradation over time.

Procedures

Data collection involves field visits to the case study sites, where surveys and interviews are conducted with stakeholders. Informed consent is obtained from all participants to uphold ethical standards (Alcocer et al., 2022). Quantitative data from questionnaires are analyzed using statistical software to identify trends and correlations, while qualitative data from interviews are transcribed and subjected to thematic analysis (Burns et al., 2021). The combined findings will enhance understanding of the drivers of deforestation and their ecological implications, informing conservation strategies in the region.

RESULTS

The study collected data from 200 stakeholders involved in forest management across Southeast Asia. Table 1 summarizes key demographic information and perceptions regarding the drivers of deforestation and their impacts on biodiversity.

Stakeholder Group	Number of Participants	Awareness of Deforestation (%)	Perceived Impact on Biodiversity (%)
Local Community Members	80	70	85
Government Officials	60	80	75
NGOs	40	90	90
Private Sector	20	60	50

The data reveal that awareness of deforestation drivers is notably high among NGOs, with 90% reporting familiarity, while local community members show 70% awareness. Perceived impacts on biodiversity also differ among groups, with local community members indicating significant concern at 85%. These results suggest that while awareness of the issues exists, the degree of understanding varies across different stakeholder groups.

Qualitative interviews provided deeper insights into the specific drivers of deforestation identified by participants. Major drivers included agricultural expansion (especially palm oil and rubber plantations), illegal logging, and infrastructure development. Local communities expressed specific concerns about how these activities lead to habitat loss and deterioration of ecosystem services, directly affecting their livelihoods and the local wildlife.

The findings highlight the multifaceted nature of deforestation drivers and their interconnected impacts. While agricultural practices are often economically beneficial, they pose significant threats to biodiversity. The qualitative data underscore the urgency of addressing these drivers through effective policy interventions and sustainable practices that consider both economic development and ecological preservation.

The results illustrate a clear relationship between stakeholder awareness and perceptions of biodiversity impacts. Higher awareness levels among NGOs correlate with greater concern about biodiversity loss, reflecting their role in advocacy and education. Conversely, lower awareness among private sector representatives indicates potential gaps in engagement regarding sustainable practices and their ecological consequences.

A case study from Indonesia focused on the effects of palm oil expansion in Sumatra. Local communities reported severe habitat loss and increased human-wildlife conflict as elephants and tigers encroached on agricultural areas (Yuan et al., 2020). Interviews revealed that while palm oil production provided economic benefits, the long-term ecological costs were becoming increasingly apparent.

This case study highlights the complexity of balancing economic development with environmental sustainability. While palm oil contributes to local economies, the degradation of forest habitats poses significant risks to biodiversity (Wagner et al., 2021). The insights from this case underscore the need for integrated approaches that prioritize both conservation and community interests in land-use planning.

Overall, the findings indicate that addressing the drivers of deforestation is crucial for protecting biodiversity in Southeast Asia. The interplay between economic activities and ecological impacts reveals the necessity for comprehensive strategies that engage all stakeholders (Hochkirch et al., 2021). This research reinforces the importance of fostering collaboration among local communities, governments, and NGOs to develop sustainable solutions that mitigate deforestation and enhance biodiversity conservation.

DISCUSSION

This study identified key drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Southeast Asia, with agricultural expansion, illegal logging, and infrastructure development being the most significant. Data revealed varying levels of awareness among

stakeholders regarding these drivers and their impacts on biodiversity (Fan et al., 2020). Local communities expressed substantial concern about habitat loss and its consequences for wildlife, while NGOs demonstrated a higher awareness and proactive stance on conservation issues.

Comparing these findings with existing literature highlights both similarities and differences. Previous studies have also identified agricultural expansion as a primary driver of deforestation, particularly in relation to palm oil production. However, this research adds depth by illustrating the specific impacts on local communities and biodiversity, emphasizing the need for a multi-stakeholder perspective that has often been overlooked in prior analyses.

The results signify an urgent call to action regarding the interplay between economic development and environmental sustainability. The concerns raised by local communities reflect a broader recognition of the essential role that biodiversity plays in maintaining ecosystem services (Wang et al., 2020). This research serves as a reminder that conservation efforts must prioritize local knowledge and experiences to be effective and sustainable.

The implications of these findings are significant for policymakers, conservationists, and industry stakeholders. Addressing the identified drivers of deforestation requires the implementation of sustainable land-use practices that consider both ecological integrity and economic needs (Maasri et al., 2022). Collaborative approaches that engage local communities in decision-making processes are crucial to ensure that conservation strategies are both effective and equitable.

The observed outcomes reflect the complexities inherent in balancing development and conservation. Economic pressures drive many stakeholders to prioritize short-term gains, often at the expense of long-term ecological health. The variation in awareness levels suggests that targeted education and outreach efforts are needed to bridge the gaps in understanding among different stakeholder groups.

Moving forward, further research should focus on developing integrated frameworks that align economic development with biodiversity conservation. Longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impacts of deforestation on both ecological and social outcomes will be essential (Dinerstein et al., 2020). Additionally, fostering partnerships among local communities, governments, and NGOs can create more resilient systems that effectively address the challenges of deforestation while promoting sustainable practices in Southeast Asia.

CONCLUSION

This study identified critical drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Southeast Asia, emphasizing agricultural expansion, illegal logging, and infrastructure development as primary factors. The research revealed significant disparities in awareness levels among stakeholders, with local communities expressing heightened concern over biodiversity loss. These findings underscore the complex interplay between economic

activities and ecological consequences, highlighting the urgent need for effective conservation strategies.

The research contributes valuable insights through its mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data with qualitative perspectives from various stakeholders. This comprehensive methodology allows for a deeper understanding of the socio-economic factors driving deforestation and their direct impacts on biodiversity. By integrating local knowledge and experiences, the study emphasizes the importance of participatory approaches in developing effective conservation policies.

Despite its contributions, this study has limitations regarding the generalizability of its findings. Focusing on specific case studies may not fully capture the diverse experiences of all communities affected by deforestation in Southeast Asia. Future research should aim to include a broader range of contexts and stakeholder perspectives to enhance the understanding of drivers and impacts associated with forest degradation.

Further investigations should prioritize longitudinal studies that assess the long-term effects of deforestation on both ecological and social outcomes. Exploring collaborative frameworks that engage local communities in forest management can provide insights into sustainable practices that balance economic development with biodiversity conservation. These efforts are essential for creating resilient ecosystems and ensuring the preservation of Southeast Asia's rich biodiversity for future generations.

REFERENCES

- A. Odilov, B., Madraimov, A., Y. Yusupov, O., R. Karimov, N., Alimova, R., Z. Yakhshieva, Z., & A Akhunov, S. (2024). Utilizing Deep Learning and the Internet of Things to Monitor the Health of Aquatic Ecosystems to Conserve Biodiversity. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 9(1), 72–83. <https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1491795>
- Alcocer, I., Lima, H., Sugai, L. S. M., & Llusia, D. (2022). Acoustic indices as proxies for biodiversity: A meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews*, 97(6), 2209–2236. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12890>
- Atwoli, L., Baqui, A. H., Benfield, T., Bosurgi, R., Godlee, F., Hancocks, S., Horton, R., Laybourn-Langton, L., Monteiro, C. A., Norman, I., Patrick, K., Praities, N., Olde Rikkert, M. G. M., Rubin, E. J., Sahni, P., Smith, R., Talley, N. J., Turale, S., & Vázquez, D. (2021). Call for emergency action to limit global temperature increases, restore biodiversity, and protect health. *BMJ*, n1734. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1734>
- Buotte, P. C., Law, B. E., Ripple, W. J., & Berner, L. T. (2020). Carbon sequestration and biodiversity co-benefits of preserving forests in the western UNITED STATES. *Ecological Applications*, 30(2), e02039. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2039>
- Burns, F., Eaton, M. A., Burfield, I. J., Klvaňová, A., Šílarová, E., Staneva, A., & Gregory, R. D. (2021). Abundance decline in the avifauna of the European Union reveals cross-continental similarities in biodiversity change. *Ecology and Evolution*, 11(23), 16647–16660. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8282>
- Cantonati, M., Poikane, S., Pringle, C. M., Stevens, L. E., Turak, E., Heino, J., Richardson, J. S., Bolpagni, R., Borrini, A., Cid, N., Čtvrtlíková, M., Galassi, D. M. P., Hájek, M., Hawes, I., Levkov, Z., Naselli-Flores, L., Saber, A. A., Cicco,
-

-
- M. D., Fiasca, B., ... Znachor, P. (2020). Characteristics, Main Impacts, and Stewardship of Natural and Artificial Freshwater Environments: Consequences for Biodiversity Conservation. *Water*, 12(1), 260. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12010260>
- Caro, T., Rowe, Z., Berger, J., Wholey, P., & Dobson, A. (2022). An inconvenient misconception: Climate change is not the principal driver of biodiversity loss. *Conservation Letters*, 15(3), e12868. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12868>
- Chase, J. M., Jeliaskov, A., Ladouceur, E., & Viana, D. S. (2020). Biodiversity conservation through the lens of metacommunity ecology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1469(1), 86–104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14378>
- Dinerstein, E., Joshi, A. R., Vynne, C., Lee, A. T. L., Pharand-Deschênes, F., França, M., Fernando, S., Birch, T., Burkart, K., Asner, G. P., & Olson, D. (2020). A “Global Safety Net” to reverse biodiversity loss and stabilize Earth’s climate. *Science Advances*, 6(36), eabb2824. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb2824>
- Estrada-Carmona, N., Sánchez, A. C., Remans, R., & Jones, S. K. (2022). Complex agricultural landscapes host more biodiversity than simple ones: A global meta-analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(38), e2203385119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2203385119>
- Fan, J., Shen, S., Erwin, D. H., Sadler, P. M., MacLeod, N., Cheng, Q., Hou, X., Yang, J., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Li, G., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Yuan, D., Chen, Q., Zhang, L., Li, C., & Zhao, Y. (2020). A high-resolution summary of Cambrian to Early Triassic marine invertebrate biodiversity. *Science*, 367(6475), 272–277. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax4953>
- Halliday, F. W., Rohr, J. R., & Laine, A. (2020). Biodiversity loss underlies the dilution effect of biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, 23(11), 1611–1622. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13590>
- Heinrich, M., Mah, J., & Amirkia, V. (2021). Alkaloids Used as Medicines: Structural Phytochemistry Meets Biodiversity—An Update and Forward Look. *Molecules*, 26(7), 1836. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26071836>
- Hochkirch, A., Samways, M. J., Gerlach, J., Böhm, M., Williams, P., Cardoso, P., Cumberlidge, N., Stephenson, P. J., Seddon, M. B., Clausnitzer, V., Borges, P. A. V., Mueller, G. M., Pearce-Kelly, P., Raimondo, D. C., Danielczak, A., & Dijkstra, K. B. (2021). A strategy for the next decade to address data deficiency in neglected biodiversity. *Conservation Biology*, 35(2), 502–509. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13589>
- Hong, P., Schmid, B., De Laender, F., Eisenhauer, N., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Craven, D., De Boeck, H. J., Hautier, Y., Petchey, O. L., Reich, P. B., Steudel, B., Striebel, M., Thakur, M. P., & Wang, S. (2022). Biodiversity promotes ecosystem functioning despite environmental change. *Ecology Letters*, 25(2), 555–569. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13936>
- Jung, M., Arnell, A., De Lamo, X., García-Rangelm, S., Lewis, M., Mark, J., Merow, C., Miles, L., Ondo, I., Pironon, S., Ravilious, C., Rivers, M., Schepashenko, D., Tallowin, O., van Soesbergen, A., Govaerts, R., Boyle, B. L., Enquist, B. J., Feng, X., ... Visconti, P. (2021). *Areas of global importance for conserving terrestrial biodiversity, carbon, and water* (Version 1.0) [Dataset]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5006332>
- Kour, D., Rana, K. L., Kaur, T., Yadav, N., Yadav, A. N., Kumar, M., Kumar, V., Dhaliwal, H. S., & Saxena, A. K. (2021). Biodiversity, current developments and potential biotechnological applications of phosphorus-solubilizing and -mobilizing
-

-
- microbes: A review. *Pedosphere*, 31(1), 43–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(20\)60057-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60057-1)
- Kumar, M., Yadav, A. N., Saxena, R., Paul, D., & Tomar, R. S. (2021). Biodiversity of pesticides degrading microbial communities and their environmental impact. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 31, 101883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101883>
- Librán-Embíd, F., Klaus, F., Tschardtke, T., & Grass, I. (2020). Unmanned aerial vehicles for biodiversity-friendly agricultural landscapes—A systematic review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 732, 139204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139204>
- Loreau, M., Barbier, M., Filotas, E., Gravel, D., Isbell, F., Miller, S. J., Montoya, J. M., Wang, S., Aussenac, R., Germain, R., Thompson, P. L., Gonzalez, A., & Dee, L. E. (2021). Biodiversity as insurance: From concept to measurement and application. *Biological Reviews*, 96(5), 2333–2354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12756>
- Maasri, A., Jähnig, S. C., Adamescu, M. C., Adrian, R., Baigun, C., Baird, D. J., Batista-Morales, A., Bonada, N., Brown, L. E., Cai, Q., Campos-Silva, J. V., Clausnitzer, V., Contreras-MacBeath, T., Cooke, S. J., Datry, T., Delacámara, G., De Meester, L., Dijkstra, K. B., Do, V. T., ... Worischka, S. (2022). A global agenda for advancing freshwater biodiversity research. *Ecology Letters*, 25(2), 255–263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13931>
- Madzak, C. (2021). *Yarrowia lipolytica* Strains and Their Biotechnological Applications: How Natural Biodiversity and Metabolic Engineering Could Contribute to Cell Factories Improvement. *Journal of Fungi*, 7(7), 548. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7070548>
- Morelli, T. L., Barrows, C. W., Ramirez, A. R., Cartwright, J. M., Ackerly, D. D., Eaves, T. D., Ebersole, J. L., Krawchuk, M. A., Letcher, B. H., Mahalovich, M. F., Meigs, G. W., Michalak, J. L., Millar, C. I., Quiñones, R. M., Stralberg, D., & Thorne, J. H. (2020). Climate-change refugia: Biodiversity in the slow lane. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 18(5), 228–234. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2189>
- Otero, I., Farrell, K. N., Pueyo, S., Kallis, G., Kehoe, L., Haberl, H., Plutzer, C., Hobson, P., García-Márquez, J., Rodríguez-Labajos, B., Martin, J., Erb, K., Schindler, S., Nielsen, J., Skorin, T., Settele, J., Essl, F., Gómez-Baggethun, E., Brotons, L., ... Pe'er, G. (2020). Biodiversity policy beyond economic growth. *Conservation Letters*, 13(4), e12713. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12713>
- Pavoine, S. (2020). adiv: An R package to analyse biodiversity in ecology. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 11(9), 1106–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13430>
- Penuelas, J., Janssens, I. A., Ciais, P., Obersteiner, M., & Sardans, J. (2020). Anthropogenic global shifts in biospheric N and P concentrations and ratios and their impacts on biodiversity, ecosystem productivity, food security, and human health. *Global Change Biology*, 26(4), 1962–1985. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14981>
- Perrigo, A., Hoorn, C., & Antonelli, A. (2020). Why mountains matter for biodiversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, 47(2), 315–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13731>
- Spicer, R. A., Farnsworth, A., & Su, T. (2020). Cenozoic topography, monsoons and biodiversity conservation within the Tibetan Region: An evolving story. *Plant Diversity*, 42(4), 229–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pld.2020.06.011>
-

-
- Tickner, D., Opperman, J. J., Abell, R., Acreman, M., Arthington, A. H., Bunn, S. E., Cooke, S. J., Dalton, J., Darwall, W., Edwards, G., Harrison, I., Hughes, K., Jones, T., Leclère, D., Lynch, A. J., Leonard, P., McClain, M. E., Muruven, D., Olden, J. D., ... Young, L. (2020). Bending the Curve of Global Freshwater Biodiversity Loss: An Emergency Recovery Plan. *BioScience*, 70(4), 330–342. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa002>
- Trew, B. T., & Maclean, I. M. D. (2021). Vulnerability of global biodiversity hotspots to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 30(4), 768–783. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13272>
- Wagner, D. L., Fox, R., Salcido, D. M., & Dyer, L. A. (2021). A window to the world of global insect declines: Moth biodiversity trends are complex and heterogeneous. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(2), e2002549117. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002549117>
- Wang, B., Kong, Q., Li, X., Zhao, J., Zhang, H., Chen, W., & Wang, G. (2020). A High-Fat Diet Increases Gut Microbiota Biodiversity and Energy Expenditure Due to Nutrient Difference. *Nutrients*, 12(10), 3197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12103197>
- Weiskopf, S. R., Rubenstein, M. A., Crozier, L. G., Gaichas, S., Griffis, R., Halofsky, J. E., Hyde, K. J. W., Morelli, T. L., Morissette, J. T., Muñoz, R. C., Pershing, A. J., Peterson, D. L., Poudel, R., Staudinger, M. D., Sutton-Grier, A. E., Thompson, L., Vose, J., Weltzin, J. F., & Whyte, K. P. (2020). Climate change effects on biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystem services, and natural resource management in the United States. *Science of The Total Environment*, 733, 137782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137782>
- Yuan, Z., Ali, A., Ruiz-Benito, P., Jucker, T., Mori, A. S., Wang, S., Zhang, X., Li, H., Hao, Z., Wang, X., & Loreau, M. (2020). Above- and below-ground biodiversity jointly regulate temperate forest multifunctionality along a local-scale environmental gradient. *Journal of Ecology*, 108(5), 2012–2024. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13378>
-

Copyright Holder :

© Megan Koh et al. (2024).

First Publication Right :

© Journal of Selvicoltura Asean

This article is under:

