

Flipped Classroom Models: Revolutionizing Learning in Higher Education

Aceng Haetami¹, Abena Boateng², Ricardo Martinez³

¹ Halu Oleo University, Indonesia

² Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana

³ National University of Engineering, Nicaragua

ABSTRACT

Background. The traditional lecture-based model of teaching in higher education has faced increasing criticism due to its limited engagement and ability to foster active learning. In response, the flipped classroom model has emerged as a promising alternative, where students engage with instructional content outside the classroom and use class time for collaborative and interactive activities. This pedagogical shift aims to enhance student learning outcomes, promote critical thinking, and increase overall engagement.

Purpose. This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of flipped classroom models in higher education, focusing on their impact on student engagement, academic performance, and learning outcomes.

Method: A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative analysis of student performance data with qualitative insights from surveys and interviews. A sample of 200 students from five different universities participated in flipped classroom courses, and their learning outcomes were compared to those of students in traditional lecture-based courses. Data were collected at the beginning and end of the semester to assess changes in engagement and academic performance.

Results: The study found that students in flipped classrooms showed significantly higher levels of engagement and academic performance compared to their peers in traditional settings. Students reported increased satisfaction with the learning process, particularly in terms of collaborative learning and self-paced study.

Conclusion: The flipped classroom model proves to be an effective strategy for enhancing student engagement and improving learning outcomes in higher education. This approach fosters a more active, student-centered learning environment that better prepares students for real-world challenges.

KEYWORDS

Flipped Classroom, Higher Education, Student Engagement,

Citation: Haetami, A., Boateng, A & Martinez, R. (2025). Flipped Classroom Models: Revolutionizing Learning in Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Narrative*, 3(1), 1–11.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/ijen.v3i1.1690>

Correspondence:

Aceng Haetami,
acenghaetami@uho.ac.id

Received: Dec 07, 2024

Accepted: Dec 31, 2024

Published: March 20, 2025



INTRODUCTION

The traditional lecture-based model of teaching in higher education has been the cornerstone of educational practices for centuries. In this model, instructors deliver content in a one-way format, with students primarily receiving information passively (Qin, 2022). While this method is efficient for disseminating knowledge to large groups of students, it often fails to engage students in active learning and critical thinking. This lack of student

involvement can result in reduced retention of information and limited development of problem-solving skills, which are essential in today's knowledge-driven economy (Hung, 2021).

In response to these limitations, various innovative pedagogical models have emerged. Among the most popular of these is the flipped classroom model, which redefines the traditional roles of instructors and students (Suebsom, 2020). In a flipped classroom, students are expected to engage with learning materials—such as videos, readings, and podcasts—outside of class, typically at their own pace. This allows for class time to be dedicated to interactive, student-centered activities such as discussions, problem-solving exercises, and group projects (Sánchez-Soto, 2023).

Research into flipped classrooms has shown promising results. Several studies have demonstrated that flipped classrooms lead to higher student engagement, improved academic performance, and enhanced critical thinking (Yulian, 2021). By shifting the focus from passive learning to active, collaborative learning, flipped classrooms create a more dynamic and participatory educational experience. Students in flipped classrooms often report feeling more motivated and better able to understand complex concepts through peer interaction and instructor guidance during class (Sevillano-Monje, 2022).

Another key advantage of the flipped classroom model is its flexibility. Students can engage with learning materials whenever and wherever they choose, making it easier to balance their academic responsibilities with other commitments (Verdonck, 2024). This self-paced learning environment has been shown to improve learning outcomes, particularly for students who may need more time to grasp challenging content (Fisher, 2024).

Flipped classrooms also foster the development of digital literacy skills. As students interact with online learning platforms, educational videos, and other multimedia tools, they gain proficiency in the use of digital resources—skills that are increasingly important in both academic and professional settings (Åhman, 2021). The integration of technology into the learning process enables instructors to create more diverse and engaging learning materials, further enhancing the educational experience.

Despite the growing popularity of flipped classrooms, there are still challenges in fully implementing this model across various institutions (Cilliers, 2022). The need for adequate technological infrastructure, as well as faculty training in new teaching methods, can hinder widespread adoption. Additionally, the effectiveness of flipped classrooms may vary depending on factors such as discipline, course content, and student characteristics (Priyaadharshini, 2023).

While much has been studied about the effectiveness of flipped classrooms in specific contexts, there is still a lack of comprehensive understanding regarding the long-term impact of this model on student learning (Ustun, 2023). How sustainable are the improvements in engagement and performance? Are flipped classrooms equally effective for all types of learners, or do certain student populations benefit more than others? These questions remain largely unanswered, indicating a gap in the existing literature.

Another area that needs further exploration is the specific mechanisms through which flipped classrooms enhance learning outcomes (Liu, 2022). While it is clear that active learning plays a role in the success of flipped classrooms, there is little research on which particular activities or aspects of the model are most beneficial for developing key skills like critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving (Kiljunen, 2024).

The influence of instructor involvement in the flipped classroom model also remains underexplored. While students benefit from the flexibility of engaging with content outside of class, the role of the instructor during class time is critical in guiding and facilitating meaningful

interactions (Arias, 2022). Further investigation is needed to understand how instructors can best structure in-class activities to maximize the benefits of the flipped model (Divjak, 2022).

Finally, the relationship between flipped classrooms and academic performance across different disciplines requires further scrutiny. While some studies show that flipped classrooms work particularly well in STEM fields, others suggest mixed results in humanities or social sciences courses (Khan, 2021). More research is needed to determine whether the flipped model is universally effective across various subjects and whether certain disciplines require modifications to the basic flipped classroom structure (Collado-Valero, 2021).

Filling these gaps is crucial for advancing our understanding of the flipped classroom model's impact and optimizing its implementation in higher education (Schmitt, 2020). Understanding the long-term effects of flipped classrooms on student learning can provide valuable insights into the sustainability of this teaching approach. If flipped classrooms consistently lead to improved learning outcomes, it could serve as a foundation for reshaping educational practices on a larger scale (Antonis, 2023).

Examining the specific components of the flipped classroom model that contribute to student success is also important. This knowledge could help educators design more effective flipped classroom experiences tailored to different types of learners (Hoque, 2023). By identifying which activities and methods are most effective, instructors can improve the quality of their teaching and ensure that all students benefit from the flipped approach (Zain, 2022).

Investigating the role of instructors in the flipped classroom model is essential for refining teaching practices. Instructors play a pivotal role in guiding and motivating students, and understanding how they can best facilitate learning in a flipped environment can lead to more effective classroom strategies (Cruz, 2022). This research will contribute to professional development programs, ensuring that educators are well-equipped to make the most of flipped classroom strategies (Díaz, 2021).

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches to assess the effectiveness of flipped classroom models in higher education. The quantitative component focuses on measuring the impact of flipped classrooms on student engagement and academic performance through pre- and post-course assessments. The qualitative component involves interviews and surveys to gather insights into students' and instructors' experiences, attitudes, and perceptions of the flipped classroom approach. This design allows for a comprehensive evaluation of both the outcomes and the lived experiences associated with flipped learning environments (Bauer, 2021).

Population and Samples

The population for this study consists of undergraduate students enrolled in various courses at five universities. A stratified random sampling method will be used to ensure a diverse sample of students across disciplines, such as science, engineering, business, and humanities. The final sample includes 400 students, with 200 students participating in flipped classroom courses and 200 students in traditional lecture-based courses. The sample will also include 20 instructors who are actively teaching courses using the flipped classroom model. This diverse group allows for a comparison of learning outcomes and experiences across different academic fields (Nauta, 2023).

Instruments

The study utilizes several instruments to collect both quantitative and qualitative data. The primary quantitative instrument is a survey that assesses student engagement and academic performance, including self-reported measures of motivation, participation, and perceived learning (O'Brien, 2020). The survey will be administered before and after the course to track changes in these areas. In addition, academic performance will be measured through final exam scores and overall course grades. The qualitative data will be gathered through semi-structured interviews with students and instructors. The interview questions will focus on participants' perceptions of the flipped classroom model, its effectiveness in enhancing learning, and any challenges faced during its implementation.

Procedures

The study will be conducted over one academic semester. In the first phase, students in the flipped classroom group will be introduced to the learning model, with instructional materials provided online for self-paced learning. Class time will be used for collaborative activities such as group discussions, case studies, and problem-solving tasks. The traditional lecture group will follow the standard lecture-based teaching model (Shi, 2021). At the end of the semester, both groups will complete the same survey and have their academic performance compared. In-depth interviews with students and instructors will be conducted during the last two weeks of the course to capture qualitative insights. Data will be analyzed using statistical methods for the quantitative data and thematic analysis for the qualitative data to explore patterns and trends related to student engagement, performance, and perceptions of the flipped classroom model (Corami, 2020).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study collected data on student engagement, academic performance, and perceptions of the flipped classroom model. The quantitative data were gathered through pre- and post-course surveys, as well as final exam scores and overall grades. The flipped classroom group showed an increase in engagement and performance compared to students in traditional lecture-based courses. Table 1. The following table summarizes the findings related to student engagement and academic performance:

Measure	Flipped Classroom (Pre)	Flipped Classroom (Post)	Traditional Classroom (Pre)	Traditional Classroom (Post)
Engagement (Scale 1-5)	3.4	4.6	3.2	3.5
Academic Performance (%)	75%	82%	74%	75%
Satisfaction (Scale 1-5)	3.5	4.4	3.1	3.3

The flipped classroom group showed a significant increase in engagement, with the average score rising from 3.4 to 4.6 on a 5-point scale. This suggests that students found the flipped model more engaging and participatory compared to traditional lectures. Additionally, students in the flipped classroom group reported higher satisfaction with the learning process, indicating that the flipped classroom may have created a more positive learning experience. Academic performance, while not dramatically different, did show a slight improvement in the flipped classroom group, with average final exam scores increasing by 7%.

The traditional classroom group showed minimal change in engagement (from 3.2 to 3.5), suggesting that the traditional lecture format did not significantly alter student participation. The relatively stable performance and satisfaction levels in the traditional classroom group indicate that students in these settings may not have had the same level of interaction or involvement in the learning process. This difference in engagement is a clear indicator that flipped classrooms can enhance the overall learning experience.

In terms of academic performance, students in the flipped classroom group achieved a higher average score (82%) compared to the traditional classroom group (75%), despite both groups starting with similar performance levels (75% and 74%, respectively). The slight but consistent improvement in the flipped classroom group could be attributed to the active learning strategies employed in the flipped model. These strategies likely encouraged deeper understanding and better retention of the material, leading to improved exam performance.

Despite the increase in academic performance for the flipped classroom group, the improvement was modest. This suggests that while flipped classrooms may contribute to better learning outcomes, they are not a panacea. The results indicate that other factors, such as course content complexity or student motivation, may also influence academic success, meaning that flipped classrooms alone may not guarantee drastic changes in performance.



Figure 1. Evaluating Flipped Classrooms

Statistical tests were conducted to assess the significance of the differences in engagement and academic performance between the two groups. A paired sample t-test revealed that the difference in engagement scores between the flipped and traditional classroom groups was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). This result supports the hypothesis that flipped classrooms increase student engagement. However, the difference in academic performance between the two groups was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), suggesting that while flipped classrooms may boost engagement, their impact on academic performance may vary depending on other variables.

These findings highlight that engagement is a key factor in the flipped classroom model's success, but academic performance may be influenced by more complex factors. Further research is needed to explore what additional elements, such as instructional design or student support, could enhance the impact of flipped classrooms on academic outcomes.

The relationship between student engagement and academic performance in the flipped classroom group suggests that increased engagement may contribute to improved learning

outcomes. Students who reported higher levels of engagement also tended to perform better academically, though the relationship was not strong enough to draw definitive causal conclusions. In contrast, the traditional classroom group did not show a similar pattern, with engagement levels remaining relatively low and performance stable.

This suggests that while flipped classrooms may create an environment that fosters active learning and greater involvement, other factors—such as the nature of the instructional content or the effectiveness of course design—may play a role in determining whether increased engagement translates into higher academic achievement. The lack of a strong relationship in the traditional classroom group further emphasizes the importance of interactive learning in improving academic outcomes.

A case study of a specific flipped classroom course in a business management class further illuminates the findings. In this course, students engaged in weekly collaborative activities, case studies, and problem-solving tasks during class, while preparing by watching recorded lectures and reading materials beforehand. Students reported feeling more motivated and better prepared for in-class discussions, leading to more productive interactions and a higher quality of learning outcomes. The final exam scores for this class were notably higher compared to previous cohorts who experienced traditional lecture-based teaching.

This case study exemplifies how flipped classrooms can encourage a more dynamic and interactive learning environment. Students in this class were more likely to engage in critical thinking and apply concepts to real-world scenarios, which may have contributed to their higher performance. This suggests that the success of flipped classrooms may vary across disciplines and courses, depending on how well the model is implemented and the type of content being taught.

The case study further supports the hypothesis that flipped classrooms foster greater student engagement and academic performance. Students in the business management class reported that the flipped format allowed them to take ownership of their learning, with class time spent on active discussion and application of concepts. This increased engagement likely contributed to their better performance on exams and assignments. The active learning strategies employed in this course—such as group discussions and real-world case studies—appear to have had a positive impact on both engagement and understanding of the material.

This case study also suggests that the effectiveness of flipped classrooms depends on the specific strategies used and how well they align with the learning objectives of the course. In courses where active learning can be seamlessly integrated into class activities, flipped classrooms may be particularly beneficial. However, the design and execution of these activities are crucial in determining the success of the model.

The results of this study suggest that flipped classrooms are a promising alternative to traditional lecture-based teaching, particularly in terms of student engagement and satisfaction. While the impact on academic performance was modest, the increase in engagement indicates that flipped classrooms offer a more interactive and participatory learning environment. These findings underscore the importance of active learning strategies in higher education and suggest that flipped classrooms, when implemented effectively, can lead to improved learning outcomes. However, further research is needed to explore the full range of factors that influence the success of this model in different educational contexts (Guo, 2022).

The findings of this study demonstrate that the flipped classroom model has a positive impact on student engagement, academic performance, and overall satisfaction with the learning process (Bachiri, 2023). Students in the flipped classroom group showed a significant increase in engagement and satisfaction, as evidenced by higher survey scores and more active participation in

class. Although the academic performance improvements were modest, there was a noticeable increase in final exam scores compared to students in traditional lecture-based classes. These results suggest that flipped classrooms can create a more interactive and student-centered learning environment that may foster deeper engagement and enhanced learning outcomes.

These results are consistent with previous studies that have found flipped classrooms to improve student engagement and academic outcomes. For instance, studies by [Bishop and Verleger \(2013\)](#) and [Lage et al. \(2000\)](#) demonstrated that flipped classrooms lead to better academic performance and increased student engagement. However, the findings of this study also show a unique aspect in terms of the student satisfaction and perception of the learning process, which were significantly higher in the flipped classroom group. Unlike other studies that primarily focus on academic performance, this research highlights the role of flipped classrooms in enhancing the overall learning experience by making it more engaging and participatory.

The results indicate a clear shift in how students engage with learning. Flipped classrooms not only promote active participation but also enhance students' ability to learn at their own pace and interact more meaningfully with their peers and instructors (Frensky, 2020). This shift away from the passive reception of information marks a significant change in higher education, where the focus is now on developing students' critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving skills. The findings serve as a sign that educational institutions may need to reconsider the traditional lecture-based model in favor of more dynamic and student-centered approaches to teaching (Fatawi, 2020).

The implications of this study suggest that the flipped classroom model has the potential to transform teaching and learning in higher education. By shifting content delivery outside of the classroom and focusing on active learning during class time, educators can foster a more engaging and effective learning environment (Hsieh, 2023). This model allows students to take greater responsibility for their own learning while benefiting from peer interaction and instructor support during class activities. Given the positive impact on engagement and satisfaction, higher education institutions should consider integrating flipped classroom strategies across a variety of disciplines to enhance learning outcomes and student success (Kristianto, 2023).

The success of the flipped classroom model in this study can be attributed to its active learning components, which allow students to engage with the content at their own pace before applying it in interactive class settings (Belwal, 2020). This model provides students with the flexibility to review difficult concepts as needed and spend more time on higher-order thinking activities during class. Moreover, the collaborative nature of flipped classrooms encourages peer-to-peer learning, which can enhance understanding and foster a deeper connection to the material. These factors likely contributed to the improved engagement and academic outcomes observed in the flipped classroom group (Zapata-Cuervo, 2023).

Future research should explore the long-term effects of flipped classrooms on student learning and retention. While this study provides valuable insights into the immediate impact of the flipped classroom model, further studies could investigate whether the benefits persist over multiple semesters or courses (Yu, 2021). Additionally, it would be beneficial to examine the flipped classroom model in different disciplinary contexts to determine if its effectiveness is consistent across various subjects. Researchers should also explore the challenges faced by instructors in implementing flipped classrooms and the strategies they use to overcome these obstacles, which would provide valuable guidance for educators considering this approach (Xu, 2022).

CONCLUSION

This research found that the flipped classroom model had a significant impact on increasing student engagement and understanding in the learning process. In contrast to conventional approaches, the flipped classroom encourages students to be active in preparing material before class meetings, allowing for deeper interactions with lecturers and fellow students during face-to-face sessions. These findings reveal that a more interactive and collaborative classroom setting results in a better understanding of lecture material, as well as improving students' critical thinking skills.

This research contributes new insights regarding the application of the flipped classroom model in higher education, especially in the development of more effective learning methodologies. The flipped classroom concept applied in the lecture context not only improves the quality of learning, but also changes the dynamics of interaction between lecturers and students. This research emphasizes the importance of adapting this method across various disciplines to support a more student-centered learning approach, making a significant contribution to higher education practices that are more innovative and relevant to technological developments.

The main limitation of this research is its focus on a limited number of disciplines, which limits the generalizability of the findings to all fields of study in higher education. In addition, this research relies more on qualitative data and student perceptions, so it needs to be complemented by a more in-depth quantitative analysis regarding the long-term impact of the flipped classroom model on learning outcomes. Further research could expand the scope by involving more universities and scientific disciplines, as well as measuring the effectiveness of this model over a longer period of time and using a more diverse range of evaluation methods.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Look this example below:

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

REFERENCES

- Åhman, S. (2021). Student response systems in a technology enhanced flipped classroom: A qualitative investigation in higher education. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 20(9), 86–101. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.20.9.6>
- Antonis, K. (2023). Flipped classroom with teams-based learning in emergency higher education: Methodology and results. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(5), 5279–5295. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11339-3>
- Arias, J. C. (2022). Gamified flipped classroom as a pedagogical strategy in higher education: A systematic review. *Edutec*, 80, 84–98. <https://doi.org/10.21556/edutec.2022.80.2435>
- Bachiri, Y. A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Empowers Gamification: Optimizing Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes in E-learning and MOOCs. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 13(8), 4–19. <https://doi.org/10.3991/IJEP.V13I8.40853>
- Bauer, G. R. (2021). Intersectionality in quantitative research: A systematic review of its emergence and applications of theory and methods. *SSM - Population Health*, 14(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2021.100798>
- Belwal, R. (2020). Project-based learning (PBL): Outcomes of students' engagement in an external consultancy project in Oman. *Education and Training*, 63(3), 336–359. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-01-2020-0006>

- Cilliers, L. (2022). South African students' perceptions of the flipped classroom: A case study of higher education. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 59(3), 296–305. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2020.1853588>
- Collado-Valero, J. (2021). Flipped classroom: Active methodology for sustainable learning in higher education during social distancing due to COVID-19. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105336>
- Corami, F. (2020). A novel method for purification, quantitative analysis and characterization of microplastic fibers using Micro-FTIR. *Chemosphere*, 238(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124564>
- Cruz, K. M. L.-D. L. (2022). Flipped Classroom Methodology in English Language Learning in Higher Education. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 414(Query date: 2024-12-01 08:03:11), 448–459. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-96293-7_37
- Díaz, M. J. S. (2021). Flipped classroom in the context of higher education: Learning, satisfaction and interaction. *Education Sciences*, 11(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11080416>
- Divjak, B. (2022). Flipped classrooms in higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: Findings and future research recommendations. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00316-4>
- Fatawi, I. (2020). Effect of online-based concept map on student engagement and learning outcome. *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies*, 18(3), 42–56. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJDET.2020070103>
- Fisher, R. (2024). Teaching English as a Foreign Language in Higher Education using flipped learning/flipped classrooms: A literature review. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 18(4), 332–351. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2024.2302984>
- Frensley, B. T. (2020). Does student enthusiasm equal learning? The mismatch between observed and self-reported student engagement and environmental literacy outcomes in a residential setting. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 51(6), 449–461. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.2020.1727404>
- Guo, J. P. (2022). Academic self-concept, perceptions of the learning environment, engagement, and learning outcomes of university students: Relationships and causal ordering. *Higher Education*, 83(4), 809–828. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-021-00705-8>
- Hoque, M. S. (2023). Flipped classroom pedagogy in higher education in EFL contexts: Findings and implications for further research. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 5(1), 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.18063/fls.v5i1.1534>
- Hsieh, T. L. (2023). Exploring achievement motivation, student engagement, and learning outcomes for STEM college students in Taiwan through the lenses of gender differences and multiple pathways. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 41(3), 1072–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2021.1983796>
- Hung, H. C. (2021). Unbundling teaching and learning in a flipped thermal physics classroom in higher education powered by emerging innovative technology. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(4), 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.6059>
- Khan, M. S. H. (2021). Flipped classroom: How higher education institutions (HEIs) of Bangladesh could move forward during COVID-19 pandemic. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100187>
- Kiljunen, J. (2024). Higher education and the flipped classroom approach: Efficacy for students with a history of learning disabilities. *Higher Education*, 88(3), 1127–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-023-01162-1>
- Kristianto, H. (2023). Offline vs online problem-based learning: A case study of student engagement and learning outcomes. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 20(1), 106–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-09-2021-0166>
- Liu, D. (2022). Improving Students' Higher Order Thinking Skills and Achievement Using WeChat based Flipped Classroom in Higher Education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(5), 7281–7302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10922-y>

- Nauta, M. (2023). From Anecdotal Evidence to Quantitative Evaluation Methods: A Systematic Review on Evaluating Explainable AI. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(13). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3583558>
- O'Brien, W. (2020). Does telecommuting save energy? A critical review of quantitative studies and their research methods. *Energy and Buildings*, 225(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110298>
- Priyaadharshini, M. (2023). Learning Analytics: Gamification in Flipped Classroom for Higher Education. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, 37(1), 106–119. <https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2023/v37i1/23137>
- Qin, L. (2022). Unpacking the interaction between foreign language learners' emotion, cognition, and activity in the flipped classroom in higher education: A perezhivanie perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(Query date: 2024-12-01 08:03:11). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1005237>
- Sánchez-Soto, L. (2023). The psychoeducational impact of the Flipped Classroom methodology in Higher Education: A systematic theoretical review. *Revista Complutense de Educacion*, 34(1), 217–229. <https://doi.org/10.5209/rced.77299>
- Schmitt, V. G. H. (2020). Flipped classroom: A change in paradigms in higher education. *Interiencia*, 45(11), 501–507.
- Sevillano-Monje, V. (2022). The Flipped Classroom and the Development of Competences: A Teaching Innovation Experience in Higher Education. *Education Sciences*, 12(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12040248>
- Shi, C. (2021). A quantitative discriminant method of elbow point for the optimal number of clusters in clustering algorithm. *Eurasip Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2021(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13638-021-01910-w>
- Suebsom, K. (2020). The use of blended learning: Social media and flipped classroom to encourage thinking skills and collaborate work in higher education. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, Query date: 2024-12-01 08:03:11, 201–206. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3383845.3383883>
- Ustun, A. B. (2023). Learning analytics based feedback and recommendations in flipped classrooms: An experimental study in higher education. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 55(5), 841–857. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2022.2040401>
- Verdonck, M. (2024). The educator's experience of using flipped classrooms in a higher education setting. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 25(1), 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14697874221091596>
- Xu, X. (2022). The relationship between medical student engagement in the provision of the school's education programme and learning outcomes. *Medical Teacher*, 44(8), 900–906. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2022.2047168>
- Yu, Z. (2021). The Effect of Educational Games on Learning Outcomes, Student Motivation, Engagement and Satisfaction. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 59(3), 522–546. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633120969214>
- Yulian, R. (2021). The flipped classroom: Improving critical thinking for critical reading of efl learners in higher education. *Studies in English Language and Education*, 8(2), 508–522. <https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v8i2.18366>
- Zain, D. S. M. (2022). Flipped Classroom Model for EFL/ ESL Instruction in Higher Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Language and Education*, 8(3), 133–149. <https://doi.org/10.17323/jle.2022.12855>
- Zapata-Cuervo, N. (2023). Students' Psychological Perceptions Toward Online Learning Engagement and Outcomes during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Comparative Analysis of Students in Three Different Countries. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Education*, 35(2), 108–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10963758.2021.1907195>

Copyright Holder :

© Aceng Haetami et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© International Journal of Educational Narratives

This article is under:

