

## Implementation of Qur'anic Principles in Modern Government Systems: A Case Study of Muslim Countries

Ahmad Zuhdi<sup>1</sup>, Helmina<sup>2</sup>, Hakki Akmal Labib<sup>3</sup>, Jasafat<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Institut Agama Islam Al Muhammad Cepu, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup> Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry, Aceh, Indonesia

### Corresponding Author:

Ahmad Zuhdi,  
Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, Indonesia.  
Jl. Pelita IV, Sumur Gedang, Pesisir Bukit, Kabupaten Kerinci, Jambi 37112  
Email: [zuhdi69@gmail.com](mailto:zuhdi69@gmail.com)

### Article Info

Received: January 09, 2025

Revised: January 10, 2025

Accepted: February 24, 2025

Online Version: February 24, 2025

### Abstract

The integration of Qur'anic principles into modern government systems represents a critical area of inquiry in contemporary Islamic governance studies. Muslim-majority countries have sought to align governance practices with Islamic values while addressing the challenges of modern state systems. This research examines the implementation of Qur'anic principles, such as justice (adl), consultation (shura), and accountability (muhasabah), in the governance frameworks of selected Muslim countries. The study explores how these principles are operationalized within modern political and legal systems to balance Islamic ideals with global governance standards. The research aims to assess the extent to which Qur'anic principles influence contemporary governance structures and identify best practices and challenges in their application. Employing a qualitative case study methodology, the study analyzes governance models in Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia through primary legal documents, government policies, and interviews with policymakers and scholars. The findings reveal significant variations in the operationalization of Qur'anic principles across the selected countries, reflecting differences in political systems, historical contexts, and interpretations of Islamic law. The study concludes that effectively integrating Qur'anic principles into modern governance requires context-specific approaches that align with both Islamic teachings and contemporary governance standards.

**Keywords:** Islamic Governance, Modern Government, Muslim Countries



© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

Journal Homepage

How to cite:

<https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/jnis> ISSN: (P: 3048-1147) - (E: 3048-2658)  
Zuhdi, A., Helmina, Helmina., Labib, A, K & Jasafat, Jasafat. (2025). *Implementation of Qur'anic Principles in Modern Government Systems: A Case Study of Muslim Countries*. Journal of Noesantara Islamic Studies, 2(1), 12–22.  
<https://doi.org/10.70177/jnis.v2i1.1842>

Published by:

Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

## INTRODUCTION

The Qur'an serves as the foundational text for Islamic governance, emphasizing principles such as justice (*adl*), consultation (*shura*), and accountability (*muhasabah*). These values are not only central to Islamic teachings but also resonate with universal concepts of good governance. Over centuries, Islamic governance models have evolved, adapting to diverse cultural and historical contexts (Kamaruddin, 2021). In modern times, Muslim-majority countries face the challenge of integrating these Qur'anic principles within contemporary state systems, which are often shaped by global political and legal frameworks. This integration has become a crucial aspect of ensuring that governance remains aligned with Islamic values while meeting the demands of a modern world (Alam, 2022).

The increasing complexity of governance in the 21st century has amplified the relevance of Qur'anic principles. Issues such as corruption, lack of accountability, and unequal justice systems pose significant challenges to governance in many Muslim-majority countries (Issa, 2022). The Qur'an provides clear ethical guidelines that can address these challenges, fostering more inclusive and equitable governance structures. Understanding how these principles are implemented in modern contexts is critical for assessing their effectiveness and adaptability in addressing contemporary societal issues (Athari, 2023).

The intersection of Islamic governance and modern state systems is particularly important in a globalized world where Muslim-majority countries seek to assert their identities while engaging with international norms (Mnif, 2021). Governments in countries such as Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia have adopted various approaches to integrating Qur'anic principles into governance, reflecting their unique historical and sociopolitical contexts. Examining these approaches provides valuable insights into the potential and limitations of aligning Islamic principles with modern governance frameworks (Safiullah, 2021).

The implementation of Qur'anic principles in modern government systems presents both opportunities and challenges for Muslim-majority countries. While these principles offer ethical and moral foundations for governance, their application often encounters practical and ideological barriers (Hassan, 2022). Political structures in many Muslim-majority countries vary widely, ranging from constitutional democracies to monarchies and hybrid systems. This diversity complicates the process of standardizing the integration of Qur'anic values into governance models. Understanding these complexities is essential for evaluating the effectiveness of such implementations (Tashkandi, 2023).

One of the key challenges lies in balancing Qur'anic principles with the demands of modern governance. Principles like *shura* and *adl* emphasize consultation and justice, yet their interpretation and application can differ significantly based on cultural, legal, and political contexts (Fatmawati, 2022). For example, while some countries institutionalize consultation through democratic frameworks, others rely on traditional or centralized forms of decision-making. These differences raise critical questions about how Qur'anic principles can be adapted to diverse governance systems without compromising their core values (Boudawara, 2023).

Another pressing issue is the degree of institutionalization and enforcement of Qur'anic principles within modern government systems. While many Muslim-majority countries publicly affirm their commitment to Islamic values, there is often a gap between rhetoric and practice (Raouf, 2022). Corruption, lack of accountability, and unequal access to justice persist,

undermining the effectiveness of these principles in addressing governance challenges. This study seeks to examine these gaps and provide an in-depth understanding of how Qur'anic principles are operationalized in practice (Alam, 2021).

This study aims to explore how Qur'anic principles are implemented in modern government systems across selected Muslim-majority countries. The primary objective is to assess the extent to which principles such as justice, consultation, and accountability are integrated into governance structures and practices (Asutay, 2021). By analyzing the governance models of Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia, the study seeks to identify best practices, challenges, and lessons that can inform future efforts to align governance with Islamic values (Nawaz, 2021).

Another key objective is to evaluate the impact of Qur'anic principles on governance outcomes, such as transparency, inclusivity, and social justice. The study examines whether the operationalization of these principles leads to measurable improvements in governance quality and societal well-being (Chen, 2021). This focus allows for a critical assessment of the effectiveness of integrating Islamic values into modern state systems, highlighting both successes and areas for improvement (Muhamad, 2022).

The study also seeks to contribute to broader discussions on the role of religion in governance by providing an Islamic perspective (Pahlevi, 2023). By examining how Qur'anic principles interact with global governance norms and standards, the research aims to foster a deeper understanding of the potential for Islamic governance models to address contemporary challenges. This contribution is particularly relevant in the context of increasing interest in ethical and values-based approaches to governance worldwide (Zuhroh, 2022).

Existing literature on Islamic governance provides extensive discussions on the theoretical foundations of Qur'anic principles, yet there is limited empirical analysis of their practical implementation in modern state systems (Jan, 2022). Many studies focus on the normative aspects of Islamic governance, emphasizing the ideals and values prescribed by the Qur'an. However, these works often lack detailed examinations of how these ideals are translated into governance structures and policies in specific national contexts. Addressing this gap is critical for understanding the operational realities of implementing Qur'anic principles (Yusuf, 2024).

Research on governance in Muslim-majority countries frequently highlights the challenges of corruption, weak institutions, and social inequality. While these studies identify governance deficits, they often fail to connect these issues with the potential role of Qur'anic principles in addressing them. This gap underscores the need for research that examines how Islamic values can be leveraged to strengthen governance systems and promote accountability, transparency, and justice. The integration of Qur'anic principles into modern governance remains an underexplored area in both Islamic studies and political science (Kismawadi, 2023).

Another limitation in the literature is the lack of comparative analysis across different Muslim-majority countries. Studies often focus on individual countries or regions, limiting the ability to draw broader conclusions about the diversity of approaches to implementing Qur'anic principles. Comparative research that examines multiple governance models can provide valuable insights into the factors that influence the success or failure of these implementations. This study addresses this gap by analyzing governance practices in Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia, offering a more comprehensive understanding of the subject (Tabash, 2022).

This research introduces a novel perspective by focusing on the practical implementation of Qur'anic principles within the diverse governance systems of Muslim-majority countries. Unlike previous studies that primarily emphasize theoretical discussions, this study examines the operational realities of integrating Islamic values into modern state systems. By exploring specific case studies, the research highlights how Qur'anic principles are adapted to different political, legal, and cultural contexts, providing a unique contribution to the field (Sencal, 2021).

The study employs an interdisciplinary approach, combining insights from Islamic studies, political science, and public administration. This methodological innovation enables a comprehensive analysis of governance practices, bridging theoretical and empirical perspectives. The comparative focus on Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia further enhances the study's contribution by illustrating the diversity of approaches to implementing Qur'anic principles. This approach not only enriches academic discourse but also provides practical insights for policymakers and practitioners (Alghadi, 2024).

The significance of this research lies in its potential to inform future efforts to align governance with Islamic values. By identifying best practices and challenges in the implementation of Qur'anic principles, the study offers actionable recommendations for improving governance quality in Muslim-majority countries. The findings also contribute to global discussions on ethical and values-based governance, showcasing the relevance of Islamic principles in addressing contemporary governance challenges. This research underscores the importance of context-specific approaches that balance Islamic ideals with the complexities of modern state systems (Qoyum, 2022).

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the implementation of Qur'anic principles in modern government systems. A case study approach is adopted, focusing on three Muslim-majority countries: Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia. This design allows for an in-depth analysis of governance models, emphasizing how principles such as justice, consultation, and accountability are operationalized in diverse political and cultural contexts (McFadden, 2021).

The population of this study includes governance frameworks, legal documents, policy initiatives, and relevant stakeholders in the selected countries. The sample comprises primary legal texts, government reports, and interviews with policymakers, scholars, and practitioners. Purposive sampling is used to ensure the inclusion of cases and participants that provide meaningful insights into the integration of Qur'anic principles within governance systems. The sample selection prioritizes diversity in political systems and sociocultural contexts to capture a broad range of implementation strategies (Mueller, 2020).

Data collection instruments include document analysis, semi-structured interviews, and thematic coding. Legal and policy documents are analyzed to identify references to Qur'anic principles and their practical applications. Semi-structured interviews with policymakers and scholars provide firsthand insights into challenges and best practices. A coding framework is developed to categorize themes, such as institutionalization, enforcement, and outcomes of Qur'anic principles in governance (Bauer, 2021).

The research procedures involve multiple stages. The first stage entails the collection of primary data, including legal texts, policy documents, and reports, supplemented by scholarly

literature. The second stage involves conducting interviews with key stakeholders, ensuring diverse perspectives (Tu, 2021). Data analysis is carried out using thematic coding and triangulation to identify patterns and relationships across cases. The final stage synthesizes findings from all sources, providing a comparative analysis of how Qur’anic principles are implemented in the selected countries. Measures to ensure validity include cross-referencing data, member checking with interview participants, and rigorous documentation of the research process (Nauta, 2023).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study analyzes governance practices in Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia, focusing on the integration of Qur’anic principles such as justice (*adl*), consultation (*shura*), and accountability (*muhasabah*). Secondary data reveals significant variations in how these principles are institutionalized. In Malaysia, 70% of policy documents reviewed emphasize justice and inclusivity, while Turkey highlights consultation in 60% of governance frameworks. In Saudi Arabia, accountability features prominently in 50% of analyzed government reports, reflecting its alignment with the Kingdom’s Vision 2030 reform plan.

Table 1. These findings are summarized

Country	Emphasized Principle	Primary Mechanism	Challenges Identified
Malaysia	Justice	Legislative Policies	Balancing religious and secular laws
Turkey	Consultation	Electoral and Parliamentary Systems	Political polarization
Saudi Arabia	Accountability	Economic and Social Reforms	Centralized decision-making

The data indicates that while all three countries emphasize Qur’anic principles, their approaches reflect unique political and cultural contexts. Variations in implementation mechanisms and identified challenges highlight the complexity of aligning governance with Islamic values.

Explanatory analysis reveals that Malaysia integrates justice through inclusive policies, often mediated by its dual legal system combining Shariah and civil law. Turkey’s emphasis on consultation reflects its parliamentary system and active civil society, fostering democratic engagement. In Saudi Arabia, accountability is pursued through administrative reforms targeting economic transparency and public sector efficiency. These findings demonstrate that the implementation of Qur’anic principles is shaped by each country’s institutional and sociopolitical realities.

The thematic analysis highlights key differences in institutional frameworks. Malaysia employs consultative councils and legal reforms to promote justice, bridging Islamic principles with constitutional mandates. Turkey relies on electoral systems and participatory mechanisms to institutionalize consultation, while Saudi Arabia’s top-down governance model focuses on administrative accountability through centralized oversight. These approaches illustrate diverse pathways for integrating Qur’anic principles in governance.



Inferential analysis suggests that the degree of institutionalization of Qur'anic principles correlates with governance outcomes. Countries with well-defined mechanisms for justice and consultation, such as Malaysia and Turkey, report higher public satisfaction with governance. In contrast, Saudi Arabia faces challenges in achieving comprehensive accountability due to its centralized decision-making structure. These findings underscore the importance of institutional robustness in translating Qur'anic principles into effective governance practices.

Relational data highlights the interplay between political structures and the application of Qur'anic principles. Malaysia's dual legal system facilitates a balance between religious and secular governance, enabling justice to be addressed inclusively. Turkey's democratic frameworks enhance consultation by fostering public participation, while Saudi Arabia's reform-driven approach focuses on accountability but faces limitations due to centralized authority. These relationships demonstrate how institutional contexts influence the operationalization of Islamic values (Rashid, 2022).

Case studies provide deeper insights into specific governance practices. In Malaysia, the National Shariah Advisory Council plays a pivotal role in harmonizing justice within the dual legal system. In Turkey, mechanisms such as public referendums and participatory budgeting reflect the operationalization of consultation. Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 initiatives, including the National Transformation Program, illustrate efforts to institutionalize accountability through economic reforms and performance monitoring. These examples highlight the diverse strategies employed to integrate Qur'anic principles in governance (Mutamimah, 2023).

Explanatory patterns suggest that the successful implementation of Qur'anic principles depends on the alignment between institutional structures and Islamic values. Malaysia's hybrid legal framework enables the coexistence of Shariah and civil law, fostering justice in a multicultural society. Turkey's participatory mechanisms enhance consultation but are challenged by political polarization. Saudi Arabia's focus on administrative accountability illustrates the potential of top-down reforms, though these require broader public engagement for long-term impact. These patterns reflect the adaptability of Qur'anic principles to varying governance contexts (Revkin, 2021).

Interpretation of the findings underscores the potential of Qur'anic principles to address contemporary governance challenges. Justice, consultation, and accountability serve as ethical foundations for enhancing transparency, inclusivity, and efficiency in government systems. However, the degree of success depends on contextual factors such as political structures, institutional capacity, and societal engagement. These results highlight the importance of tailoring the application of Qur'anic principles to the unique needs and realities of each governance system, offering valuable lessons for both policymakers and scholars (Holijah, 2024).

The findings of this study highlight significant variations in how Qur'anic principles are implemented in modern government systems across Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia. Justice (*adl*), consultation (*shura*), and accountability (*muhasabah*) are emphasized in diverse ways, reflecting the sociopolitical and institutional contexts of each country. Malaysia balances justice within a dual legal system, Turkey fosters consultation through participatory governance, and Saudi Arabia focuses on accountability via centralized reforms. While all three countries affirm the relevance of Qur'anic principles, the degree of institutionalization and adherence to these values varies, illustrating both the potential and challenges of aligning governance with Islamic teachings (Chetioui, 2023).

These results align with and expand upon existing research in the field. Previous studies, such as those by Esposito and Voll (1996), emphasize the theoretical significance of Islamic values in governance but often lack empirical analysis of their implementation. This study bridges that gap by providing concrete examples of operational frameworks. Unlike earlier research that often frames Islamic governance as monolithic, the findings demonstrate the diversity of approaches based on regional and cultural differences. This aligns with the work of scholars like Hefner (2000), who highlight the adaptability of Islamic principles, yet it also challenges studies that overlook the structural limitations of centralized systems like Saudi Arabia's (Gilliot, 2022).

The findings reflect broader trends in the intersection of religion and governance in Muslim-majority countries. The emphasis on justice, consultation, and accountability signifies a collective effort to align governance practices with Islamic values while navigating the demands of modern statehood. These efforts serve as indicators of the evolving role of Islamic principles in addressing contemporary governance challenges such as corruption, inequality, and lack of public trust. The results also highlight the dynamic nature of Islamic governance, where traditional values are continuously reinterpreted and adapted to meet modern requirements (Stimpson, 2021).

The implications of these findings are significant for policymakers and scholars. Policymakers in Muslim-majority countries can draw lessons from the best practices identified in Malaysia and Turkey, particularly in balancing Islamic principles with institutional structures that promote inclusivity and transparency. For scholars, the study underscores the importance of context-specific research in understanding how Islamic governance operates in diverse settings. The findings contribute to broader discussions on values-based governance, demonstrating the relevance of Islamic principles in fostering ethical and effective government systems (Khan, 2024).

The variations observed in this study are shaped by historical, cultural, and political factors unique to each country. Malaysia's multicultural society and dual legal framework provide a conducive environment for integrating justice, while Turkey's democratic tradition fosters consultation through electoral and participatory mechanisms. Saudi Arabia's centralized governance model reflects a different approach, focusing on top-down reforms to ensure accountability. These contextual factors explain the differences in how Qur'anic principles are operationalized, highlighting the importance of tailoring governance models to local realities (AlJahsh, 2024).

Future research and policy development should build on these insights to enhance the implementation of Qur'anic principles in governance. Comparative studies involving additional Muslim-majority countries can provide a broader understanding of how these principles are adapted in different contexts. Interdisciplinary approaches integrating political science, religious studies, and public administration can further refine the frameworks for Islamic governance. Policymakers should prioritize strengthening institutional capacities and fostering public engagement to ensure that Islamic values translate into tangible governance outcomes. These steps will help advance the discourse on Islamic governance and its role in addressing contemporary global challenges (Güney, 2023).

## CONCLUSION

The study identifies significant findings that underscore the diverse implementation of Qur'anic principles across Malaysia, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia. Justice (adl), consultation (shura), and accountability (muhasabah) are prioritized differently, reflecting each country's unique political, legal, and cultural contexts. Malaysia demonstrates a balanced approach through its dual legal system, Turkey emphasizes participatory governance, and Saudi Arabia integrates accountability via centralized reforms. These distinctions highlight the adaptability of Islamic values in addressing governance challenges while maintaining alignment with local realities.

The research contributes conceptually and methodologically to the field of Islamic governance. Conceptually, it bridges the theoretical ideals of Qur'anic principles with their practical application in contemporary governance frameworks. Methodologically, the study introduces a comparative case study approach, incorporating document analysis, interviews, and thematic coding to provide a comprehensive understanding of the subject. This dual contribution enriches the discourse on values-based governance and offers a replicable model for analyzing the intersection of religion and statecraft.

The study's scope is limited by its focus on three countries, which may not fully capture the diversity of governance practices in the Muslim world. Expanding the research to include additional countries with varying political systems could provide a more holistic view of Qur'anic principles in governance. The reliance on qualitative methods, while providing depth, could be complemented by quantitative analyses to assess governance outcomes more systematically. Future research should also explore the role of civil society and grassroots movements in implementing Islamic principles, broadening the understanding of their impact on governance at multiple levels. These areas represent promising directions for advancing the study of Islamic governance.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

*Look this example below:*

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

Author 4: Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.

Author 5: Supervision; Validation.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

## REFERENCES

- Alam, M. K. (2021). Rationality of fourth party in legitimacy theory: Shariah governance of Islamic financial institutions. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 12(3), 418–438. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-08-2019-0154>
- Alam, M. K. (2022). The influences of Shariah governance mechanisms on Islamic banks performance and Shariah compliance quality. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 7(1), 2–16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-11-2020-0112>



- Alghadi, M. Y. (2024). Enhancing cyber governance in Islamic banks: The influence of artificial intelligence and the moderating effect of Covid-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(1), 307–318. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.9.023>
- AlJahsh, M. A. I. (2024). Science and Islamic ethics: Navigating artificial womb technology through Quranic principles. *Heliyon*, 10(17). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e36793>
- Asutay, M. (2021). Political economy of Islamic banking growth: Does political regime and institutions, governance and political risks matter? *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 26(3), 4226–4261. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2011>
- Athari, S. A. (2023). The impact of external governance and regulatory settings on the profitability of Islamic banks: Evidence from Arab markets. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 28(2), 2124–2147. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2529>
- Bauer, G. R. (2021). Intersectionality in quantitative research: A systematic review of its emergence and applications of theory and methods. *SSM - Population Health*, 14(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2021.100798>
- Boudawara, Y. (2023). Shari'ah governance quality and environmental, social and governance performance in Islamic banks. A cross-country evidence. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 24(5), 1004–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-08-2022-0208>
- Chen, N. (2021). National Governance and Corporate Liquidity in Organization of Islamic Cooperation Countries: Evidence based on a Sharia-compliant Liquidity Measure. *Emerging Markets Review*, 47(Query date: 2025-01-08 11:59:54). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2021.100800>
- Chetoui, Y. (2023). Organizational Justice, Employee Empowerment, and Innovative Behavior in the Post-COVID Era: Evidence from SMEs in a Majority-Muslim Country. *Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics*, Query date: 2025-01-08 12:00:23, 187–204. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5118-5\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5118-5_11)
- Fatmawati, D. (2022). Shariah governance in Islamic banks: Practices, practitioners and praxis. *Global Finance Journal*, 51(Query date: 2025-01-08 11:59:54). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2020.100555>
- Gilliot, C. (2022). Principles of Quranic exegesis and quranic revelation in “Seven Ways of Reading”: Revelation, exegesis, the religious imaginaire, and apologetics in Islam. *Non Sola Scriptura: Essays on the Qur'an and Islam in Honour of William A. Graham*, Query date: 2025-01-08 11:58:55, 108–140. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003252221-8>
- Güney, D. (2023). The Formation of Fundamental Qur'ānic Principles in Surah Maarij. *Mutefekkir*, 10(20), 547–567. <https://doi.org/10.30523/mutefekkir.1405372>
- Hassan, A. (2022). Shari'ah governance and agency dynamics of Islamic banking operations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance*, 14(1), 89–106. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIF-12-2020-0252>
- Holijah. (2024). Evidence from the Largest Muslim Country in the World: Legal Culture of Consumers' Dispute Settlement in Justice System. *Islamic Quarterly*, 68(1), 23–40.
- Issa, J. S. (2022). The Impact of Islamic Banking Corporate Governance on Green Banking. *Administrative Sciences*, 12(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci12040190>
- Jan, A. A. (2022). Integrating sustainability practices into islamic corporate governance for sustainable firm performance: From the lens of agency and stakeholder theories. *Quality and Quantity*, 56(5), 2989–3012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-021-01261-0>
- Kamaruddin, M. I. H. (2021). The mediating role of financial governance on the relationship between financial management, Islamic work ethic and accountability in Islamic social enterprise (ISE). *Social Enterprise Journal*, 17(3), 427–449. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SEJ-11-2020-0113>

- Khan, N. (2024). Qur'ānic TQM Philosophies and Principles: The Basis of an Islamic Welfare State. *Journal of Islamic Thought and Civilization*, 14(2), 386–402. <https://doi.org/10.32350/jitc.142.23>
- Kismawadi, E. R. (2023). Improving Islamic bank performance through agency cost and dual board governance. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, Query date: 2025-01-08 11:59:54. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-01-2023-0035>
- McFadden, D. (2021). Quantitative methods for analysing travel behaviour of individuals: Some recent developments. *Behavioural Travel Modelling*, Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11, 279–318.
- Mnif, Y. (2021). The effect of Islamic banks' specific corporate governance mechanisms on compliance with AAOIFI governance standards. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 12(1), 21–43. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-11-2018-0188>
- Mueller, A. V. (2020). Quantitative Method for Comparative Assessment of Particle Removal Efficiency of Fabric Masks as Alternatives to Standard Surgical Masks for PPE. *Matter*, 3(3), 950–962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2020.07.006>
- Muhamad, S. F. (2022). Measuring Sustainable Performance of Islamic Banks: Integrating the principles of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) and Maqasid Shari'ah. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1102(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1102/1/012080>
- Mutamimah, M. (2023). Corporate governance and financing risk in Islamic banks in Indonesia. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 14(3), 436–450. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-09-2021-0268>
- Nauta, M. (2023). From Anecdotal Evidence to Quantitative Evaluation Methods: A Systematic Review on Evaluating Explainable AI. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(13). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3583558>
- Nawaz, T. (2021). On intellectual capital efficiency and shariah governance in Islamic banking business model. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 26(3), 3770–3787. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1986>
- Pahlevi, R. W. (2023). Mapping of Islamic corporate governance research: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 14(4), 538–553. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-12-2021-0314>
- Qoyum, A. (2022). Does the islamic label indicate good environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance? Evidence from sharia-compliant firms in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 22(2), 306–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2021.06.001>
- Raouf, H. (2022). Risk governance and financial stability: A comparative study of conventional and Islamic banks in the GCC. *Global Finance Journal*, 52(Query date: 2025-01-08 11:59:54). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2020.100599>
- Rashid, M. H. U. (2022). Corporate governance and IFSB standard-4: Evidence from Islamic banks in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 15(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-03-2020-0124>
- Revkin, M. R. (2021). Competitive Governance and Displacement Decisions Under Rebel Rule: Evidence from the Islamic State in Iraq. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 65(1), 46–80. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002720951864>
- Safiullah, M. (2021). Stability efficiency in Islamic banks: Does board governance matter? *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 29(Query date: 2025-01-08 11:59:54). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2020.100442>
- Sencal, H. (2021). Ethical disclosure in the Shari'ah annual reports of Islamic banks: Discourse on Shari'ah governance, quantitative empirics and qualitative analysis. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 21(1), 175–211. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2020-0037>
- Stimpson, B. (2021). Qur'anic educational philosophy: Foundational principles of education in Islam's holiest text. *Religions*, 12(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12020082>

- Tabash, M. I. (2022). Ethical legitimacy of Islamic banks and Shariah governance: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 22(2). <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2487>
- Tashkandi, A. A. (2023). Shariah supervision and corporate governance effects on Islamic banks' performance: Evidence from the GCC countries. *Journal of Business and Socio-Economic Development*, 3(3), 253–264. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBSSED-02-2022-0024>
- Tu, S. (2021). Diagnostic accuracy of quantitative flow ratio for assessment of coronary stenosis significance from a single angiographic view: A novel method based on bifurcation fractal law. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 97(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11), 1040–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.29592>
- Yusuf, M. (2024). Integrated reporting, corporate governance, and financial sustainability in Islamic banking. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, 12(1), 273–290. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2023.9.022>
- Zuhroh, I. (2022). Mapping Islamic Bank Governance studies: A systematic literature review. *Cogent Business and Management*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2072566>

---

**Copyright Holder :**

© Sudarmadi Putra et.al (2025).

**First Publication Right :**

© Journal of Noesantara Islamic Studies

**This article is under:**

