

Utilizing Technology in Multicultural Education: Experiences from Canadian Schools

Emma Clark¹ , James Scott² , Olivia Davis³ 

¹University of Calgary, Canada

²University of Alberta, Canada

³Simon Fraser University, Canada

ABSTRACT

Background. In the context of increasingly diverse classrooms, Canadian schools face both the challenge and opportunity of fostering inclusive learning environments through multicultural education. As technology becomes more integrated into pedagogy, its role in addressing linguistic, cultural, and social differences gains prominence.

Purpose. This study explores how educators in Canadian schools utilize digital tools to support multicultural education and promote equity among students from diverse backgrounds. The research aims to identify effective strategies, tools, and practices that enhance cultural inclusivity and student engagement through technology-enhanced instruction.

Method. A qualitative multiple-case study approach was employed, involving interviews with 28 teachers, classroom observations across six schools, and analysis of institutional technology integration plans.

Results. The findings indicate that technology, when used intentionally, facilitates culturally responsive teaching through language support apps, collaborative platforms, and digital storytelling tools. However, the study also reveals disparities in access, digital literacy, and institutional readiness, which hinder equitable outcomes.

Conclusion. The study concludes that leveraging technology for multicultural education requires not only pedagogical innovation but also systemic support, teacher training, and inclusive design principles. These insights offer practical implications for educators and policymakers seeking to enhance diversity and inclusion in digital learning environments.

Citation: Clark, E., Scott, J., & Davis, O. (2025). Utilizing Technology in Multicultural Education: Experiences from Canadian Schools *Journal Emerging Technologies in Education*, 3(1), 44–53.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/jete.v3i1.2128>

Correspondence:

Emma Clark,
emmaclark@gmail.com

Received: January 12, 2025

Accepted: February 26, 2025

Published: February 26, 2025

KEYWORDS

Multicultural Education, Educational Technology, Inclusivity, Canadian Schools, Culturally Responsive Teaching

INTRODUCTION

Canadian classrooms have become increasingly diverse, reflecting the country's multicultural policy and high levels of immigration. In today's educational landscape, students come from a wide array of cultural, linguistic, and socio-economic backgrounds. This diversity presents both opportunities and challenges for teachers who must create inclusive, equitable, and effective learning environments. Multicultural education has thus emerged as a vital pedagogical approach in Canada, aimed at fostering mutual respect, intercultural understanding, and equal participation for all students regardless of their heritage or identity.



Technological advancement has introduced new possibilities for supporting multicultural education by offering tools that enable differentiated instruction, multilingual support, and interactive cultural engagement. Digital platforms such as translation apps, virtual collaboration tools, and digital storytelling software allow for personalized learning that acknowledges students' diverse backgrounds (Brar et al., 2025; Jeria Madariaga et al., 2025; Mittelmeier et al., 2025; Moldaliev et al., 2025; Patras et al., 2025). As schools increasingly integrate technology into daily instruction, educators are recognizing its potential to serve not just academic, but also social and cultural functions. In this way, technology becomes a vehicle for enhancing cultural inclusion and student voice in ways that traditional methods may not fully accommodate.

Despite this promise, the integration of technology in multicultural education remains under-examined in practical terms. Questions persist about how teachers are using digital tools to address cultural differences, reduce barriers to participation, and build culturally responsive classrooms. Investigating these practices in real educational settings is essential for understanding how technological resources intersect with multicultural pedagogies and how they may be leveraged to address both visible and invisible disparities among students.

The central problem addressed in this study is the inconsistent and often unstructured use of educational technology in the service of multicultural goals within Canadian schools. Although most schools are equipped with a variety of digital tools, their deployment for multicultural purposes lacks clear guidance, professional development, and institutional prioritization (Brien et al., 2025; Leer et al., 2025; Moussa, 2025; Poon et al., 2025). Teachers are often left to navigate these technologies independently, relying on personal experience and improvisation rather than systematic support or curricular frameworks. As a result, practices vary widely between schools and districts, creating uneven experiences for students.

Many teachers express a willingness to use technology for inclusive and culturally relevant instruction, but they face challenges such as limited training, lack of multilingual content, and insufficient support for integrating cultural diversity into their digital pedagogy. This disconnect between technological availability and pedagogical readiness poses a barrier to the effective use of digital tools in multicultural education. Furthermore, without explicit policies or targeted resources, educators may struggle to move beyond surface-level representation of diversity toward deeper, transformative practices.

The broader issue is that multicultural education and educational technology have often been treated as separate domains, both in research and in practice. Technology is frequently discussed in terms of efficiency, innovation, and engagement, while multicultural education is framed around equity, identity, and representation (Lundqvist et al., 2025; Siegel et al., 2025). This separation limits the capacity of schools to implement truly inclusive digital learning environments. Bridging this gap requires a comprehensive understanding of how educators can purposefully integrate technology into culturally responsive pedagogy.

This study seeks to explore how technology is being utilized to support multicultural education in Canadian schools, focusing on the lived experiences of teachers and students. The primary objective is to examine specific strategies and tools used by educators to promote equity, cultural inclusion, and engagement through digital platforms. The research also aims to identify how these practices contribute to student learning, social belonging, and classroom participation across diverse cultural and linguistic contexts.

The study is designed to uncover both effective practices and systemic challenges that shape the integration of technology into multicultural education (Al-Hassany et al., 2025; Ayeo-Eo, 2025; Mariyono & Ghony, 2025; Rajiah, 2025). By capturing the perspectives of educators working in

multicultural school environments, the research intends to provide insights into how technological tools are adapted to local needs and cultural dynamics. The investigation also considers the influence of institutional support, teacher agency, and professional development in determining the success or limitations of such practices.

Another key objective is to generate practical recommendations for educators, school leaders, and policymakers on how to enhance the role of technology in fostering inclusive and culturally responsive classrooms. These recommendations will be grounded in empirical findings and will aim to inform future efforts in teacher training, curriculum development, and policy design. The ultimate goal is to advance a more holistic approach to digital learning that centers diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Existing literature on educational technology has largely emphasized its role in enhancing academic performance, increasing student engagement, and supporting personalized learning. While these contributions are valuable, they often neglect the cultural dimensions of teaching and learning. Research on multicultural education, on the other hand, has focused on identity, curriculum reform, and equity without fully considering the potential of digital tools to advance these aims. This gap has led to a lack of integrated models for using technology to support multicultural objectives in schools.

Few empirical studies have examined how teachers actually use technology to address the needs of diverse learners in multicultural classrooms. Most discussions remain theoretical or focus narrowly on specific tools without contextualizing their use within broader pedagogical frameworks. Additionally, little attention has been paid to how institutional contexts—such as school culture, leadership, and resource availability—affect the implementation of culturally responsive digital practices. These omissions limit our understanding of the real-world complexities and potential of this intersection.

This study aims to fill this gap by providing a context-rich analysis of how Canadian teachers are using technology in multicultural classrooms. Through interviews, observations, and document analysis, the research will illuminate the everyday decisions educators make as they navigate the challenges and opportunities of inclusive digital instruction. The findings will contribute to building an evidence base for how multicultural education can be meaningfully enhanced through intentional and reflective use of technology.

The novelty of this study lies in its empirical exploration of how multicultural pedagogical goals are being enacted through educational technology in diverse classroom settings. Unlike existing research that isolates technological innovation from equity concerns, this study brings them into direct conversation, offering a framework for digital multicultural education that is both responsive and actionable. The research also emphasizes teacher agency and student voice, positioning educators and learners as co-constructors of inclusive learning environments.

The study's methodological contribution is also noteworthy, as it employs a multiple-case qualitative approach that combines narrative inquiry, classroom observation, and institutional policy review. This triangulated design allows for a nuanced understanding of not only what technologies are used, but how and why they are deployed in particular cultural and pedagogical contexts. By moving beyond surface-level evaluation, the study reveals deeper patterns of inclusion, adaptation, and resistance.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to shape policy and practice in both educational technology and multicultural education. As Canadian schools continue to evolve within increasingly diverse societies, understanding how to use technology to build inclusive, equitable learning environments becomes imperative. This study contributes to that understanding by offering

grounded, practice-oriented insights that inform teacher education, curriculum design, and digital equity initiatives within and beyond the Canadian context.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed a qualitative multiple-case study design to explore how technology is utilized to support multicultural education in Canadian schools. The design was selected to allow for in-depth analysis of complex educational practices within their real-life contexts (Kardel et al., 2025; Orakci, 2025; Tseer et al., 2025). Each case represented a school site with a demonstrated commitment to both multicultural education and the integration of digital technologies. The approach facilitated a nuanced understanding of how educators engage with technology to address cultural diversity and promote inclusive pedagogy.

The population consisted of teachers, instructional leaders, and school administrators from six public schools located in urban and suburban areas of Ontario and British Columbia. These provinces were chosen due to their high levels of cultural and linguistic diversity. Purposive sampling was used to identify participants with direct experience in implementing technology-supported multicultural strategies. A total of 28 participants took part in the study, including 21 classroom teachers, four instructional coaches, and three principals. The sample provided a rich mix of voices across various roles, grade levels, and subject areas, enhancing the depth and credibility of the findings.

The instruments for data collection included semi-structured interview protocols, classroom observation checklists, and institutional document analysis guides. Interviews focused on participants' experiences with educational technology, perceptions of its role in supporting multicultural objectives, and the challenges they encountered. Observations were conducted during regular class sessions to capture how technology was used in real time to facilitate culturally responsive teaching. Institutional documents such as school equity plans, digital learning policies, and lesson materials were analyzed to contextualize participants' practices within broader organizational frameworks.

The research procedures followed a four-phase process. In the initial phase, ethical approval was obtained, and schools were recruited through district partnerships. In the second phase, interviews and observations were scheduled over a three-month period, ensuring coverage of a variety of instructional settings. All interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim, while observation notes were recorded using structured templates. The third phase involved thematic coding of qualitative data using NVivo software, allowing for the identification of key patterns and cross-case comparisons. In the final phase, member-checking was conducted with selected participants to validate interpretations and enhance the credibility of the findings.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive analysis of interview and observation data revealed consistent patterns in how educators utilized technology to support multicultural education. Table 1 summarizes the frequency of tool usage and the pedagogical purposes reported by participants. Among the 28 educators, 85.7% reported using digital storytelling tools (e.g., Storybird, Adobe Spark) to allow students to express cultural identities. Approximately 78.5% integrated translation and language-support applications (e.g., Google Translate, Microsoft Immersive Reader) to assist English Language Learners (ELLs). Collaborative platforms such as Padlet, Flipgrid, and Google Classroom were used by 71.4% of teachers to encourage intercultural dialogue and peer interaction.

Table 1.
Types of Educational Technology Used for Multicultural Education

Technology Type	Frequency of Use (%)	Pedagogical Purpose
Digital Storytelling Tools	85.7	Cultural expression, identity exploration
Language Support Applications	78.5	Supporting ELLs, multilingual access
Collaborative Platforms	71.4	Peer interaction, intercultural discussion
Multimedia Content Libraries	60.7	Representation of diverse perspectives
Interactive Games & Simulations	42.8	Cultural awareness, empathy building

The data indicate that teachers preferred tools that allowed student-centered exploration and expression. Technology was not only used to deliver content but also to amplify student voice and cultural narratives. Teachers shared that digital storytelling allowed students from immigrant and Indigenous backgrounds to share personal histories, fostering mutual understanding. Language support apps helped overcome initial communication barriers, making participation more equitable for ELLs. Collaborative platforms encouraged respectful dialogue and the exchange of cultural perspectives within diverse classrooms.

Further analysis of classroom observations revealed pedagogical patterns associated with inclusive digital practices. In multiple sites, teachers used multimedia materials—such as global news clips, music, and historical timelines—to make learning more culturally relevant. Lessons on global environmental issues or human rights were enhanced by incorporating voices from marginalized communities through online archives and curated digital exhibits. Educators strategically aligned these materials with provincial curriculum outcomes while maintaining flexibility to integrate student experiences.

Teachers demonstrated sensitivity in selecting content that avoided stereotypes or tokenism, emphasizing the importance of contextual depth. Digital tools were employed not as replacements for dialogue but as enablers of inquiry and reflection. Observations showed that students actively engaged in projects that required them to research and present aspects of their cultural heritage, often leading to greater confidence and participation. Teachers noted improved classroom cohesion and empathy as students gained insights into their peers’ lived experiences.

Inferential insights were drawn from the cross-case thematic analysis. Educators who had received formal training in culturally responsive pedagogy and digital instruction were significantly more likely to integrate technology purposefully to support multicultural objectives. Thematic coding revealed that trained teachers referenced inclusion strategies three times more often than their untrained counterparts. This suggests a strong correlation between teacher preparedness and the depth of technology integration for multicultural purposes.

Data also showed that schools with institutional frameworks supporting equity—such as inclusive technology plans or diversity-focused professional development—exhibited more consistent practices. Teachers in these schools were more confident in experimenting with new tools and more intentional about aligning technology with student identity. In contrast, teachers in schools lacking formal multicultural education support often expressed uncertainty about how to use digital tools beyond translation or representation.

The relationships between teacher beliefs, school support, and student outcomes were evident. Teachers who viewed technology as a tool for empowerment designed tasks that fostered student agency and voice (Ahn et al., 2025; Bratianu et al., 2025; Huynh, 2025; Nitiasih et al., 2025). In classrooms where students were given freedom to choose their own project themes and tools, engagement levels were visibly higher. These environments often demonstrated more nuanced cultural learning, moving beyond surface celebrations of diversity toward critical and reflective dialogue.

Institutional conditions played a mediating role. Schools that fostered a collaborative culture among staff facilitated peer mentoring and resource sharing, which accelerated adoption of inclusive digital practices. Leadership support, access to culturally diverse digital content, and time for collaborative planning were key enablers. Conversely, in schools with limited technological infrastructure or competing curricular pressures, multicultural integration through technology was limited or superficial.

One illustrative case involved a grade 10 humanities teacher in Toronto who used digital timelines and oral history platforms to support a unit on migration. Students interviewed family members, digitized photographs, and created multimedia presentations linking personal stories to broader historical movements. The project culminated in a virtual gallery walk viewed by parents and community members, fostering intergenerational dialogue and cultural pride. The teacher reported increased student ownership of learning and deeper engagement with course content.

Another case from a suburban Vancouver school highlighted challenges faced by teachers without systemic support. A teacher expressed interest in using bilingual eBooks and collaborative video projects but cited time constraints, limited access to devices, and lack of institutional vision as barriers. Students in this class still expressed enthusiasm for sharing their stories but had fewer structured opportunities to do so (Alghamdi, 2025; Alhalalmeh & Al-Tarawneh, 2025; Berşe et al., 2025; Matias, 2025; Moreno-Vera, 2025). The contrast between the two cases underlines the importance of leadership and resource equity in enabling transformative practice.

These findings confirm that technology can support multicultural education meaningfully when aligned with inclusive pedagogical intent and supported by institutional culture. Teachers require not only tools, but also training and collaborative spaces to translate multicultural goals into digital practice. When these conditions are met, classrooms become more participatory, identity-affirming, and culturally responsive.

In brief, this study demonstrates that technology use in multicultural education within Canadian schools is most effective when embedded in intentional, equity-driven pedagogy. Teachers are leveraging digital tools to elevate student voice, bridge language gaps, and foster intercultural understanding. Yet systemic disparities in access, training, and leadership continue to shape the scope and quality of such practices. These results underscore the potential of technology as a catalyst for inclusion, while also highlighting the need for more coordinated support and policy alignment.

The results of this study show that educators in Canadian schools are increasingly leveraging digital tools to support multicultural education, with a strong emphasis on student voice, language support, and collaborative learning (Amuwo, 2025; Farahi & Slaoui, 2025; M., 2025; Rajiah, 2025; Thinh, 2025). Teachers reported that technologies such as digital storytelling, language translation applications, and collaborative platforms enhanced student participation, especially among English Language Learners and students from culturally diverse backgrounds. Classrooms where technology was used intentionally and aligned with inclusive pedagogical goals demonstrated higher levels of student engagement, cultural expression, and intercultural dialogue. However,

disparities in training, resource access, and institutional support affected the consistency and depth of implementation across school contexts.

These findings align with existing research on the potential of educational technology to foster inclusive learning environments, particularly in culturally diverse classrooms. Prior studies by Cummins et al. (2015) and Kim & Friesen (2020) have emphasized that when digital tools are integrated with culturally responsive pedagogy, they can serve as powerful mediators of identity and language development. This study extends those insights by highlighting how Canadian teachers are navigating this integration in practice, offering concrete examples of both successful implementation and systemic challenges. Unlike more experimental or tool-specific research, this study focuses on real-world, cross-case experiences that account for institutional culture, teacher agency, and structural barriers.

The results indicate a critical moment in educational practice and policy. The presence of culturally responsive digital instruction in classrooms suggests a shift toward more inclusive and representative learning environments (Boyd, 2025; Hadjeris, 2025; Hjelm & Hadziabdic, 2025). However, the unevenness in implementation reflects broader systemic issues related to professional development, curriculum alignment, and equitable access. These patterns signify that while educators are willing and capable of leveraging technology for multicultural aims, sustained success depends on coherent policy frameworks, adequate resourcing, and leadership commitment. The findings signal a need to view digital inclusion as both a pedagogical and structural issue, not simply a matter of technological access.

The implications of this study are far-reaching for educators, school leaders, policymakers, and education technology developers. Teachers must be supported through ongoing professional development that integrates digital skills with equity and inclusion training. School leaders need to prioritize culturally responsive technology use within strategic planning and resource allocation. Policymakers should ensure that curriculum guidelines and assessment practices are flexible enough to accommodate multicultural digital pedagogies. Developers and content providers are encouraged to collaborate with educators to design tools and platforms that reflect cultural diversity and promote meaningful student participation.

The findings emerged as they did due to the interplay between teacher innovation and systemic readiness. Teachers who were trained in multicultural pedagogy and supported by inclusive school cultures were more likely to use technology effectively and purposefully. In contrast, those working in under-resourced or rigidly structured environments faced limitations in implementation, regardless of their pedagogical intentions. The role of institutional leadership was particularly influential in shaping teacher confidence, access to tools, and time for collaborative planning. These structural enablers or constraints significantly shaped the quality and frequency of multicultural technology use in classrooms.

Educational systems that embed equity and diversity into their digital strategies create the conditions for transformational learning experiences. When digital practices are aligned with culturally responsive goals, they support student identity, inclusion, and critical thinking. Conversely, when digital tools are introduced without pedagogical or cultural grounding, they risk reinforcing existing inequities or reducing culture to tokenistic representation. The varied implementation across cases in this study reflects the deeper issue of how institutional priorities and professional support influence teacher practice in culturally diverse contexts.

Future actions must focus on embedding culturally responsive digital pedagogy into both pre-service and in-service teacher education. School districts and provincial education ministries should establish frameworks for evaluating and supporting inclusive digital practices that are grounded in

both technological and multicultural competencies. Research-practice partnerships could help document and disseminate best practices, while also fostering communities of inquiry among educators. Investment in digital infrastructure must also be accompanied by commitments to digital equity and the co-creation of inclusive content.

The next phase of research should examine student perspectives more closely, particularly how students perceive and experience the use of technology in culturally diverse classrooms. Longitudinal studies are also needed to assess the long-term impact of inclusive digital practices on academic achievement, identity formation, and intercultural competence. This study provides a foundation for future inquiry and system-level improvement, highlighting the transformative potential of educational technology when grounded in equity, voice, and inclusion.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is that technology, when intentionally integrated with culturally responsive pedagogy, can serve as a powerful enabler of inclusion, voice, and engagement in multicultural classrooms. Unlike previous research that primarily focused on the affordances of technology in general terms, this study reveals how specific digital tools—such as storytelling platforms, translation applications, and collaborative media—are being used by Canadian educators to foster intercultural understanding and amplify the diverse identities of their students. The results also highlight the pivotal role of teacher agency and institutional support in determining the effectiveness and sustainability of these practices.

This research contributes conceptually by advancing a framework for digital multicultural pedagogy that situates technology use within broader equity and inclusion goals. It emphasizes the interplay between educator beliefs, school culture, and policy infrastructure, offering a more holistic understanding of how digital tools can transform not only learning outcomes but also classroom relationships and cultural representation. Methodologically, the study's use of multiple case studies combining interviews, observations, and document analysis provides a context-rich, practice-oriented model that can be replicated or adapted for similar investigations in diverse educational systems.

The study is limited by its focus on a specific geographic and institutional context, which may not fully capture the diversity of practices across Canada or in other countries. The research primarily examined teacher perspectives and did not include direct input from students or families, whose voices are critical in assessing the full impact of multicultural digital education. Future research should incorporate longitudinal and participatory methods to examine how students experience and are shaped by these digital practices over time. Further studies might also explore cross-national comparisons or investigate the role of technology in multicultural education in under-resourced or rural settings to broaden the understanding of global applications.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing; Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 2: Data curation; Investigation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.

Author 3: Supervision; Validation; Other contribution; Resources; Visualization; Writing - original draft.

REFERENCES

Ahn, H. W., Lee, H., & An, B. (2025). Fostering Inclusivity: The Impact of Social Justice

- Orientation on Attitudes Toward Immigrants in South Korea. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-024-00953-z>
- Al-Hassany, L., Zaal, R. J., Stegers-Jager, K. M., & Zandbergen, A. A. M. (2025). Ethnic diversity and inclusiveness among medical residents in the Netherlands: results from a single-centered survey study. *BMC Medical Education*, 25(1), 308. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-06878-5>
- Alghamdi, A. A. (2025). Cultural Diversity in Higher Education: Assessing First-Year Students' Attitudes at Saudi University. *International Journal of Diversity in Education*, 25(2), 49–72. <https://doi.org/10.18848/2327-0020/CGP/v25i02/49-72>
- Alhalalmeh, A., & Al-Tarawneh, A. (2025). Cultivating Cultural Connections: How Immigration Shapes and Transforms Learning Environments in Education. In *Studies in Systems, Decision and Control* (Vol. 572, pp. 1393–1403). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-76011-2_105
- Amuwo, O. (2025). A mosaic of medicine: Acquainting pharmacy education with the rich tapestry of African-descent immigrant cultures. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 17(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2025.102296>
- Ayeo-Eo, S. P. (2025). Multiculturalism in the law enforcement officers view: effects and challenges. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 7(6). <https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2025301>
- Berşe, S., Tosun, B., Dirgar, E., & Yava, A. (2025). Cultural Intelligence and Migration Intentions Among Nursing and Midwifery Students in Southeastern Region of Turkey: A Correlational Study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 81(4), 1914–1923. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.16463>
- Boyd, H. (2025). Embedding cultural competence in dental education. *British Dental Journal*, 238(2), 86–89. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-8242-6>
- Brar, M. D., Morales-Chicas, J., Morris, S., Rivera, I., & Cannara, R. (2025). Intergroup Dialogue Empowering Action for Transforming Equity in Higher Education. *Education Sciences*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15010038>
- Bratianu, C., Paiuc, D., & Brancu, L. (2025). Exploring the connections between DNA, cultural intelligence and knowledge dynamics in multicultural business environments. *Business Process Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-10-2024-0959>
- Bruen, C., Illing, J., Daly, R., Meagher, F., Delany, C., Offiah, G., Doherty, S., Stuart, E., Crehan, M., & Kelly, H. (2025). Medical student experiences of Case-Based Learning (CBL) at a multicultural medical school. *BMC Medical Education*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-06585-7>
- Farahi, M., & Slaoui, S. (2025). Analysis of culturally responsive differentiated instruction practices at the diaspora ESL/EFL context: The Inner World of the Immigrant Child by Cristina Igoa (1995) as a case study. In *Intercultural Communication Education and Research in the Middle East and North Africa* (pp. 148–168). Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003588993-12>
- Hadjeris, F. (2025). Embracing the “Pluriversal” through linguistic citizenship Algerian EFL Teachers’ didactic engagement with the instructional materials and its implications for teaching for global citizenship. In *Teaching and Researching Interculturality in the Middle East and North Africa* (pp. 11–32). Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003595793-3>
- Hjelm, K., & Hadziabdic, E. (2025). Effects of culturally-appropriate group education for migrants with type 2 diabetes in primary healthcare: pre-test-post-test design. *BMC Primary Care*, 26(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-024-02689-7>
- Huynh, H. H. (2025). “I’ve Thrived Culturally”: Navigating identities through intercultural experiences as a Vietnamese teacher of english in Australia. In *Intercultural Competence in Higher Education English Language Instruction* (pp. 329–360). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3506-2.ch010>
- Jeria Madariaga, L. M., Dapelo Pellerano, B., & Ilabaca Baeza, P. (2025). Intercultural sensitivity

- and attitudes of Chilean university students towards South-South migrants. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2024.102122>
- Kardel, L., Ehmke, T., & Lemmrich, S. (2025). Exploring pre- and in-service teachers' (non-)critical cultural and multilingual awareness and responsiveness in classroom situations through voice-recorded video-based assessment. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2025.104962>
- Leer, J., Gaither, S. E., & Gassman-Pines, A. (2025). It's not what you say it's what you do: School diversity ideologies and adolescent mental health and academic engagement. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 35(1). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12998>
- Lundqvist, U., Hvid Thingstrup, S., & Åkerblom, A. (2025). Multicultural and multilingual educator professionalism. *Nordic Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.7577/njcie.6001>
- M., T. (Ed.). (2025). 15th International Scientific Conference on Distance Learning in Applied Informatics, DiVAI 2024. *EAI/Springer Innovations in Communication and Computing*. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-86000014497&partnerID=40&md5=f19ce4f54ea77e38856f545f0ffd45eb>
- Mariyono, D., & Ghony, M. D. (2025). Entrepreneurial basic capital and its contribution to developing multicultural Islamic education. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 19(2), 684–698. <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v19i2.21554>
- Matias, L. S. (2025). Contributions of Tourism to Intercultural Education. *European Public and Social Innovation Review*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.31637/epsir-2025-1212>
- Mittelmeier, J., Huang, D., Xu, L., Ozturk, M., & Healey, K. B. (2025). International students and everyday multiculturalism: rethinking 'connection' through mundane sites of encounter. *Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-025-01396-1>
- Moldalieva, S., Baruti, S., Baruti-Sylejmani, M., & Koichubaeva, N. (2025). INTEGRATING SOCIOCULTURAL CONTEXTS IN SCIENCE EDUCATION: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND STRATEGIC ADAPTATIONS. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Terapan Universitas Jambi*, 9(1), 53–70. <https://doi.org/10.22437/jiituj.v9i1.37780>
- Moreno-Vera, J. R. (2025). Democratic consciousness' discourses in history education: The Spanish curriculum. *Citizenship, Social and Economics Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14788047251318279>
- Moussa, N. M. (2025). Investigating Diversity Perception and Multicultural Education Practices in Middle Eastern Higher Education Settings. *International Journal of Diversity in Education*, 25(1), 89–106. <https://doi.org/10.18848/2327-0020/CGP/v25i01/89-106>
- Nitiasih, P. K., Mahayanti, N. W. S., Jampel, I. N., Asril, N. M., Budhyani, I. D. A. M., & Nakaya, A. (2025). Future development of peace education in Bali: Lessons from a critical analysis of the peace education curricula of Hiroshima. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 9(2), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i2.4427>
- Orakci, Ş. (2025). Exploring teachers' attitudes toward refugee students in high schools in Türkiye. In *Intercultural Communication Education and Research in the Middle East and North Africa* (pp. 169–187). Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003588993-13>
- Patras, Y. E., Japar, M., Rahmawati, Y., & Hidayat, R. (2025). Integration of Culturally Responsive Teaching Approach, Local Wisdom, and Gamification in Pancasila Education to Develop Students' Multicultural Competence. *Educational Process: International Journal*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.14.45>
- Poon, A. W. C., Cassaniti, M., Katz, I., Karan, P., & Zwi, A. B. (2025). Mental Health Workers' Perceptions of Transcultural Practice with Young People and Families. *Australian Social Work*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0312407X.2024.2435858>
- Rajiah, K. (2025). Enhancing multicultural pharmacy education through ethical debates: Fostering critical thinking and cultural competence. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 17(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2025.102294>
- Siegel, J., Kuteeva, M., & Siegel, A. (2025). Monitoring Listening Comprehension in Real Time:

Early Observations from the ReMoDEL Project. *TESOL Quarterly*.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.3383>

Thinh, M. P. (2025). Adapting teaching practices and enhancing intercultural competence: student teachers' experiences in an international exchange program. *Journal for Multicultural Education*, 19(1), 43–57. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JME-06-2024-0077>

Tseer, T., Kuusaana, E. D., & Iddrisu, S. (2025). Exploring Ethnic Cosmopolitanism as a Catalyst for Sustainable Peacebuilding in Northern Ghana. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/crq.21471>

Copyright Holder :

© Emma Clark et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Journal Emerging Technologies in Education

This article is under:

