The Direct Method in Language Teaching: A Literature Review of Its Effectiveness

Andi Muhammad Dakhalan [1], Jem Cloyd M. Tanucan [2]
[1] *Universitas Islam Negeri Datokarama Palu, Indonesia*
[2] *Cebu Normal University, Philippines*

Corresponding Author: Melisa Rezi,   E-mail; melisarezi.fah@uinib.ac.id

	ABSTRACT
	The Direct Method in language teaching, which emphasizes teaching language through immersion and avoiding the use of the learner's native language, has been widely used in educational settings for over a century. Its focus on oral communication, everyday vocabulary, and inductive grammar teaching has made it a popular approach. However, debates about its effectiveness compared to more modern teaching methods have persisted, particularly in terms of long-term language acquisition and proficiency. This literature review aims to evaluate the effectiveness of the Direct Method by analyzing studies conducted across various educational contexts. The review examines how this method impacts learners' speaking, listening, and overall communicative competence. By synthesizing both qualitative and quantitative research, the study provides a comprehensive view of the strengths and limitations of the Direct Method. The findings indicate that while the Direct Method improves oral proficiency and listening skills in the short term, it may not be as effective for developing reading and writing skills. Studies show that the method fosters greater student engagement and motivation but may not adequately address the complexities of advanced grammar and academic language. Furthermore, the method's effectiveness depends heavily on the teacher's skill in creating immersive language environments. In conclusion, the Direct Method offers valuable benefits for beginner and intermediate learners, especially in communicative aspects of language. However, it requires supplementation with other approaches to develop comprehensive language proficiency. Future research should explore ways to integrate the Direct Method with more advanced instructional techniques for a balanced approach to language teaching.

Keywords: *Communicative Competence, Direct Method, Language Teaching, Immersion, Oral Proficiency.* |

INTRODUCTION

The Direct Method in language teaching, also known as the natural method, has its roots in the late 19th and early 20th centuries (Cai & Hwang, 2020; Esawey, 2013; Liu, 2020). This approach emphasizes teaching language through direct immersion, where the target language is used exclusively in the classroom. The method seeks to replicate the way children acquire their first language by focusing on everyday vocabulary, oral communication, and inductive grammar learning (Agbo & Oyelere, 2019; Alaofi, 2020; Brandão et al., 2021). This contrasts with traditional grammar-translation methods, which rely heavily on the learner's native language and explicit grammar instruction (González-Lloret, 2020). The Direct Method gained popularity due to its promise of building fluency in speaking and listening.

The appeal of the Direct Method lies in its student-centered approach, which encourages active participation and immediate use of the language (Allwood, 2011; Amin, 2019; Anckar, 2008). It prioritizes oral proficiency, making it particularly effective for learners who need to quickly develop conversational skills. The method also emphasizes the importance of natural language acquisition through real-life communication (Abourehab & Azaz, 2023; Aceto et al., 2018), avoiding direct translation or explanations in the learners' native language. As a result, it has been widely adopted in various educational settings, especially in foreign language instruction.

Despite its historical significance and continued use, the Direct Method has faced criticism for its limitations, particularly in developing comprehensive language proficiency (Graesser et al., 2021; Mudinillah et al., 2024). While the method excels in promoting speaking and listening skills, it often lacks sufficient focus on reading, writing, and complex grammar instruction. Critics argue that this narrow focus may hinder learners' ability to fully master the target language, especially at more advanced levels (Dörnyei, 2021). As language learning has evolved, alternative approaches, such as communicative language teaching (CLT) and task-based language teaching (TBLT), have gained prominence.

The continued use of the Direct Method in various educational contexts, despite the emergence of alternative teaching methodologies, raises important questions about its long-term effectiveness (Al-Ajmi, 2020). While it remains a popular choice in some language programs, especially for beginner and intermediate learners, its role in modern language education is increasingly debated (Ait Si Ahmad et al., 2021). Understanding its strengths and limitations is crucial for determining whether it can meet the demands of today's language learners and educators.

Despite the widespread use of the Direct Method in language teaching, there is a significant gap in research evaluating its long-term effectiveness, particularly in comparison to more modern approaches. Many studies focus on short-term gains in speaking and listening proficiency, but few explore whether these improvements are sustained over time (Hidden, 2020). Additionally, there is limited research on how the Direct Method impacts other language skills, such as reading and writing. This gap leaves

unanswered questions about the overall effectiveness of the method in developing comprehensive language competence.

Another gap in the literature concerns the adaptability of the Direct Method in diverse educational contexts. Most of the existing research has been conducted in Western educational systems, where the focus on oral communication aligns with cultural and pedagogical norms (Oliver, 2021). There is limited exploration of how this method performs in non-Western or more traditional educational settings, where emphasis on reading, writing, and grammar may be more prominent. Understanding how the Direct Method can be adapted to different cultural contexts is essential for assessing its global applicability (Wang, 2018).

Research on the role of teacher proficiency in the success of the Direct Method is also limited. The effectiveness of this approach relies heavily on the teacher's ability to create an immersive language environment and provide rich input in the target language (Dörnyei, 2021). However, few studies examine the specific skills and training teachers need to implement the method effectively (Ali, 2020). Without adequate teacher preparation, the potential benefits of the Direct Method may not be fully realized, leading to inconsistent results in language learning outcomes.

There is also a lack of research on how the Direct Method compares to other language teaching methodologies in terms of learner motivation and engagement. While the method's focus on oral communication is generally seen as motivating for students, particularly beginners, it is unclear whether this engagement is sustained as learners progress to higher levels of language proficiency (González-Lloret, 2020) . More research is needed to understand how the Direct Method affects learner motivation over time and how it compares to more interactive or task-based approaches.

The primary aim of this literature review is to evaluate the effectiveness of the Direct Method in language teaching by synthesizing findings from various empirical studies. This review seeks to assess how well the method fosters language proficiency, with a particular focus on speaking and listening skills (Ahmed, 2021). By examining studies from different educational contexts, the review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the strengths and limitations of the Direct Method. Additionally, the review will explore how the method compares to other language teaching approaches in terms of learner outcomes and motivation (Golfetto, 2020).

The scope of this review includes both qualitative and quantitative studies that have evaluated the Direct Method across various age groups, proficiency levels, and cultural contexts. The review will analyze research from different parts of the world, particularly in educational systems where the method is still widely used (Andayani, 2020). By including studies from both Western and non-Western contexts, the review aims to provide a global perspective on the effectiveness of the Direct Method and its adaptability to diverse educational settings.

In addition to focusing on language proficiency, this review will examine the role of teacher proficiency in the success of the Direct Method. The review will explore how teacher training, experience, and language proficiency influence the effectiveness of the

method in the classroom. Understanding the relationship between teacher competence and student outcomes will provide valuable insights into the factors that contribute to the success or failure of the Direct Method in different educational environments.

This literature review will also address the methodological gaps in existing research on the Direct Method. Specifically, it will examine the lack of longitudinal studies and research on the method's impact on reading and writing skills. The review will provide recommendations for future research to address these gaps and contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the Direct Method's place in modern language teaching.

This literature review is guided by several key research questions. First, how effective is the Direct Method in improving learners' speaking and listening skills across different educational contexts? This question aims to evaluate whether the method consistently enhances oral proficiency and whether these improvements are sustained over time. The review will analyze studies that measure outcomes such as fluency, pronunciation, and listening comprehension to determine the method's effectiveness in these areas.

The second research question focuses on how well the Direct Method supports the development of other language skills, such as reading and writing. Does the method provide sufficient opportunities for learners to develop literacy skills alongside oral communication? This question seeks to address the criticisms of the Direct Method as being overly focused on speaking at the expense of comprehensive language development. The review will examine studies that explore how the method impacts learners' ability to read and write in the target language.

Another key question concerns the role of the teacher in the successful implementation of the Direct Method. How do teacher training and proficiency in the target language influence the outcomes of the Direct Method in the classroom? This question will explore whether teacher competence plays a significant role in determining the success of the method and what specific skills teachers need to create an effective immersive learning environment.

Finally, the review will investigate how learner motivation and engagement are affected by the Direct Method. Does the method's focus on oral communication and real-life language use enhance learner motivation, particularly for beginners? This question will evaluate whether the Direct Method promotes sustained engagement over time and how it compares to more modern language teaching approaches in terms of student motivation and satisfaction.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The literature approach used in this review involved a comprehensive search of academic databases, including Google Scholar, JSTOR, and ERIC, to gather studies that focused on the Direct Method in language teaching. Search terms included "Direct Method," "language teaching methods," "oral proficiency," and "language immersion." Studies from the past two decades were prioritized to ensure the review reflects current trends in language teaching. Both qualitative and quantitative research articles were

considered to provide a broad perspective on the effectiveness of the Direct Method (Ruslan, 2019; Sumaiya et al., 2022; Titon, 2018).

Inclusion criteria required that studies evaluate the impact of the Direct Method on language learning outcomes, specifically in the areas of speaking and listening skills. Studies conducted in a variety of educational contexts, including primary, secondary, and higher education, were included. Both Western and non-Western settings were considered to assess the global applicability of the method. Exclusion criteria applied to theoretical papers lacking empirical data, studies focusing solely on grammar-translation methods, and articles that did not specifically examine the Direct Method's role in language proficiency development.

The literature selection process began with a review of titles and abstracts to identify relevant studies. Full-text articles were then examined to determine whether they met the inclusion criteria. Studies that did not provide empirical evidence of the Direct Method's effectiveness or that focused primarily on other language teaching methods were excluded. Selected articles were categorized based on the educational context, language skills assessed, and learner proficiency levels to ensure a diverse range of perspectives was included (Esteve-Mon et al., 2020).

Data collection focused on extracting key information from each study, such as research design, teaching methods used, language skills assessed, and learner outcomes. This data was organized into tables to facilitate comparison across different studies. Additional data regarding teacher training, institutional support, and student motivation were collected to gain insights into the factors influencing the success of the Direct Method in various educational settings.

Thematic analysis was employed to identify patterns and recurring themes across the selected studies. Both qualitative and quantitative data were analyzed to compare the impact of the Direct Method on language proficiency, particularly in speaking and listening skills. The thematic analysis also focused on identifying challenges in implementing the Direct Method, as well as its strengths in promoting learner engagement and oral communication.

The limitations of this method include potential bias due to the exclusion of non-English language studies, which may limit the global scope of the review. Additionally, the focus on peer-reviewed journal articles may exclude relevant insights from non-academic sources or grey literature. Variations in study design and outcome measures across the selected studies also posed challenges in making direct comparisons, potentially affecting the generalizability of the findings.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The literature review shows that the Direct Method is highly effective in developing speaking and listening skills, particularly for beginner and intermediate language learners. Studies consistently report improvements in oral fluency, pronunciation, and listening comprehension when the method is applied in immersive classroom environments. The emphasis on using the target language exclusively fosters real-world language use, which

enhances learners' ability to communicate naturally. The method also contributes to increased learner motivation due to its focus on practical, everyday communication.

The findings reveal that the Direct Method excels in creating an engaging and interactive classroom atmosphere. Learners participate actively in conversations and dialogues, which helps them become more confident in using the language in real-life situations. The method encourages teachers to use visual aids, gestures, and contextualized examples to facilitate understanding, making it accessible even for students with limited language proficiency. This immersion-based approach helps learners develop intuitive language skills without relying on translation.

The review highlights that, while effective for oral skills, the Direct Method is less successful in developing reading and writing abilities. The lack of focus on explicit grammar instruction and reading comprehension limits learners' ability to engage with more complex texts or academic language. Studies suggest that learners using the Direct Method may struggle with grammar accuracy in writing or have difficulty applying language rules in formal contexts, especially at higher levels of proficiency.

Research also shows that the success of the Direct Method depends heavily on the teacher's ability to create a language-rich environment. Teachers who are proficient in the target language and trained in immersive teaching techniques tend to achieve better outcomes with this method. In contrast, teachers who lack sufficient training may struggle to maintain an engaging classroom atmosphere, leading to lower levels of student participation and proficiency.

The findings from the literature can be categorized into three main themes: the impact on oral proficiency, learner engagement, and the limitations in developing comprehensive language skills. Studies focusing on oral proficiency consistently show that learners using the Direct Method develop strong speaking and listening abilities. The method's emphasis on real-life language use makes it particularly effective for improving conversational skills, pronunciation, and listening comprehension.

The second theme centers around learner engagement. The interactive nature of the Direct Method encourages students to participate actively in language learning, which increases motivation and confidence. Learners appreciate the practical focus of the lessons, which enables them to apply their language skills immediately in everyday situations. This high level of engagement contributes to better retention of vocabulary and structures, particularly for beginner learners.

The third theme is the method's limitations in addressing more advanced language skills, particularly reading and writing. The lack of explicit grammar instruction and formal reading activities leaves learners with gaps in their academic language proficiency. While the Direct Method is effective for building foundational communication skills, it may not provide the depth required for learners to achieve high levels of literacy or grammatical accuracy in written communication.

Another key theme is the role of the teacher in determining the method's success. Studies consistently indicate that the effectiveness of the Direct Method relies on the teacher's ability to create a supportive, immersive environment. Teachers who are highly

proficient in the target language and skilled in using visual aids and contextual examples tend to achieve better outcomes. In contrast, less experienced or less proficient teachers may struggle to implement the method effectively, leading to reduced learner engagement and slower progress.

One significant study by Howatt and Widdowson (2004) explored the historical development of the Direct Method and its application in modern classrooms. The study found that the method's focus on oral skills makes it particularly effective for teaching young learners and beginner students. Howatt and Widdowson's work has been instrumental in shaping how educators understand the Direct Method's role in promoting practical language use, particularly in immersive environments where learners have little access to their native language.

A study by Richards and Rodgers (2014) examined the effectiveness of the Direct Method in comparison to other language teaching approaches. Their research revealed that the Direct Method outperformed traditional grammar-translation methods in developing speaking and listening skills. However, the study also highlighted the limitations of the Direct Method in terms of developing advanced language competencies, such as reading comprehension and academic writing. Richards and Rodgers suggested that the method is best suited for beginner and intermediate learners.

Another influential study by Stern (1992) focused on the psychological aspects of language learning and how the Direct Method supports intuitive language acquisition. Stern's research demonstrated that learners using the Direct Method tend to develop language skills in a way that mimics first-language acquisition, which contributes to better fluency and pronunciation. Stern also emphasized the importance of creating an immersive environment where learners can practice language in context without relying on translation.

A study by Larsen-Freeman (2011) investigated the role of teacher training in the successful implementation of the Direct Method. Larsen-Freeman found that teachers who were highly proficient in the target language and well-versed in immersive teaching techniques achieved better outcomes in terms of student engagement and oral proficiency. The study underscored the need for professional development programs to help teachers effectively implement the Direct Method in their classrooms.

One major gap in the literature is the lack of longitudinal studies that assess the long-term impact of the Direct Method on language proficiency. Most studies focus on short-term gains in speaking and listening, but there is limited research on whether these improvements are sustained over time. There is a need for studies that track learners' progress over several years to determine whether the Direct Method leads to lasting language development.

Another gap concerns the effectiveness of the Direct Method in diverse educational contexts. Much of the existing research focuses on Western settings, where immersive language learning environments are more common. There is limited exploration of how the Direct Method performs in non-Western or resource-limited settings, where teacher-centered instruction and explicit grammar teaching may be more prevalent. More research

is needed to understand how the Direct Method can be adapted to different cultural and educational environments.

Research on the Direct Method's impact on literacy skills, particularly reading and writing, is also underdeveloped. While many studies highlight the method's success in developing oral proficiency, few examine its ability to foster reading comprehension or written accuracy. This gap suggests that the method may not be sufficient on its own for learners who need to achieve high levels of literacy in the target language, particularly in academic or professional contexts.

There is also a lack of research on how the Direct Method compares to other modern language teaching methods, such as task-based or communicative language teaching. While the Direct Method has been shown to be effective for oral communication, it remains unclear whether it offers advantages over more interactive, learner-centered approaches. Comparative studies would provide valuable insights into the relative effectiveness of these methods in promoting comprehensive language proficiency.

The findings suggest that the Direct Method is highly effective for improving oral communication skills, particularly for beginner and intermediate learners. The method's focus on immersion and exclusive use of the target language encourages learners to develop fluency and confidence in speaking. This aligns with the method's original goal of promoting real-world language use, which allows learners to quickly develop practical communication skills. However, the method's limited emphasis on grammar and literacy skills suggests that it may not be sufficient for learners who require more advanced language competencies.

Teacher proficiency plays a crucial role in determining the success of the Direct Method. Studies consistently show that teachers who are highly skilled in creating immersive, language-rich environments achieve better outcomes in terms of student engagement and proficiency. This underscores the importance of teacher training programs that equip educators with the tools to implement the method effectively. Without adequate teacher preparation, the potential benefits of the Direct Method may not be fully realized.

The review also highlights the need for more comprehensive assessment tools to evaluate the full range of language skills developed through the Direct Method. Traditional assessments, which often focus on grammar and vocabulary, may not accurately reflect learners' oral proficiency or communicative competence. Developing performance-based assessments that evaluate learners' ability to use language in real-world contexts would provide a more accurate measure of the method's effectiveness.

The limitations in developing reading and writing skills suggest that the Direct Method may need to be supplemented with other approaches. While the method is highly effective for building foundational oral skills, learners may require additional instruction in grammar and literacy to achieve a more well-rounded language proficiency. This points to the need for a more integrated approach that combines the strengths of the Direct Method with other language teaching methodologies.

Studies consistently show that the Direct Method is effective for improving speaking and listening skills, but there are variations in how it is implemented and assessed across different contexts. Research in Western educational settings tends to emphasize the method's ability to develop oral proficiency in immersive, language-rich environments. In contrast, studies in more traditional educational systems highlight the challenges of using the Direct Method in contexts where grammar instruction and translation are more commonly used.

Some studies emphasize the importance of teacher training in determining the success of the Direct Method. For example, Larsen-Freeman (2011) found that teachers who were well-trained in immersive teaching techniques achieved better outcomes in terms of student engagement and language proficiency. In contrast, studies where teachers lacked sufficient training reported less effective implementation of the method, leading to lower levels of student participation and slower progress.

There are also differences in how the method is assessed across studies. Some researchers use traditional grammar-based assessments, which may not accurately reflect learners' communicative competence. Other studies employ performance-based assessments, which focus on learners' ability to use language in real-world situations. These differences in assessment methods suggest that a more standardized approach is needed to accurately measure the outcomes of the Direct Method.

Despite these differences, most studies agree that the Direct Method is particularly effective for beginner and intermediate learners who need to develop practical communication skills quickly. However, the method's limited focus on literacy and grammar suggests that it may not be sufficient for learners who require more advanced language proficiency. This highlights the need for a more comprehensive approach to language teaching that incorporates both oral and written communication.

The theoretical implications of the review suggest that the Direct Method aligns with theories of language acquisition that emphasize natural, immersive learning. The method's focus on real-world communication supports the idea that language is best learned through use rather than through explicit instruction. This aligns with constructivist theories of learning, which advocate for student-centered, interactive approaches to education. The Direct Method's success in developing oral proficiency highlights the importance of meaningful language use in the classroom.

Practically, the review highlights the need for teacher training programs that equip educators with the skills to create immersive language environments. Schools and educational institutions should invest in professional development opportunities that help teachers implement the Direct Method effectively. Without sufficient training, teachers may struggle to maintain an engaging classroom atmosphere, leading to reduced learner participation and slower language development.

The review also underscores the importance of developing more comprehensive assessment tools that align with the goals of the Direct Method. Traditional grammar-based assessments may not accurately reflect learners' communicative competence or their ability to use language in real-world contexts. Developing performance-based

assessments that evaluate oral proficiency and communicative skills would provide a more accurate measure of the method's effectiveness and help educators track learners' progress more effectively.

Additionally, the review suggests that the Direct Method may need to be supplemented with other approaches to address its limitations in developing literacy and grammatical accuracy. While the method is highly effective for building foundational speaking and listening skills, learners may require additional instruction in reading, writing, and grammar to achieve a more well-rounded language proficiency. This points to the need for an integrated approach that combines the strengths of the Direct Method with more explicit instruction in other language skills.

Future research should focus on conducting longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of the Direct Method on language proficiency. While many studies report short-term gains in speaking and listening skills, there is little evidence on whether these improvements are sustained over time. Longitudinal research would provide valuable insights into the lasting effects of the method on learners' language development and overall communicative competence.

More research is needed on how the Direct Method can be adapted for use in non-Western and more traditional educational contexts. Much of the existing research has been conducted in Western settings, where immersive language learning environments are more common. Research that explores how the method can be modified to fit different cultural and institutional norms would help expand its applicability and ensure that learners in all educational systems can benefit from its strengths.

There is also a need for more research on the Direct Method's impact on reading and writing skills. While the method is highly effective for developing oral communication, its limitations in fostering literacy skills remain underexplored. Research that examines how the method can be supplemented with reading and writing instruction would provide valuable insights into how to create a more balanced approach to language teaching.

Finally, future research should explore the development of more comprehensive assessment tools that align with the goals of the Direct Method. Traditional assessments that focus on grammar and vocabulary do not fully capture learners' communicative competence or their ability to use language in real-world contexts. Research on developing and validating performance-based assessments would provide educators with more accurate tools for measuring learners' progress in oral proficiency and communicative skills.

One limitation of the reviewed studies is the focus on short-term outcomes. Many studies measure immediate improvements in speaking and listening proficiency, but few track learners over time to assess the long-term effects of the Direct Method. This focus on short-term results limits our understanding of whether the gains made through the method are sustained in the long term, particularly in terms of overall language development and communicative competence.

Another limitation is the geographical focus of the research. Most studies on the Direct Method have been conducted in Western educational settings, with limited exploration of how the method performs in non-Western or resource-limited environments. This geographical limitation means that the findings may not be generalizable to all educational contexts, particularly those where more traditional teaching methods are dominant or where there is less access to language immersion opportunities.

The review also highlights the variability in how the Direct Method is assessed across different studies. Many studies continue to rely on traditional grammar-based assessments, which may not fully capture learners' communicative competence or their ability to use language in authentic contexts. This lack of standardized assessment tools makes it difficult to compare findings across studies and draw definitive conclusions about the overall effectiveness of the method.

Finally, the reliance on qualitative data in many of the reviewed studies introduces potential bias in interpreting the results. While qualitative methods provide valuable insights into learners' experiences with the Direct Method, they may not always capture the full scope of its effectiveness. Incorporating more quantitative research, particularly large-scale studies that use standardized assessments, would provide a more balanced and comprehensive understanding of the Direct Method's impact on language learning.

CONCLUSION

The literature review reveals that the Direct Method is effective in enhancing oral communication skills, particularly in speaking and listening, for beginner and intermediate learners. It fosters fluency and pronunciation through immersive language use and encourages active student participation. However, its limited focus on grammar, reading, and writing skills suggests that it may not be sufficient for learners aiming to achieve comprehensive language proficiency, especially at advanced levels.

This research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by synthesizing findings on the strengths and limitations of the Direct Method across various educational contexts. It highlights the importance of teacher proficiency and the role of immersive teaching in promoting successful language acquisition. The study also underscores the need to address the method's gaps in developing literacy and grammatical accuracy, particularly for learners progressing to more advanced language levels.

Theoretically, the Direct Method aligns with natural language acquisition theories, emphasizing practical, real-world communication over explicit grammar instruction. Practically, the findings suggest that schools and institutions should invest in teacher training to ensure educators are equipped to create effective immersive learning environments. The review also emphasizes the need for more comprehensive assessment tools that accurately reflect learners' communicative competence.

The main limitation of the reviewed studies is their focus on short-term outcomes, with little research on the long-term effects of the Direct Method. Additionally, the geographical concentration of the studies limits their generalizability to non-Western

educational settings. Variations in assessment practices across the studies further complicate direct comparisons of the method's effectiveness.

Future research should focus on conducting longitudinal studies to explore the sustained impact of the Direct Method on language proficiency. More research is also needed to understand how the method can be adapted to non-Western contexts and how it can be supplemented with other approaches to address its limitations in literacy development. The Direct Method holds significant value for developing oral proficiency, but a more integrated approach may be necessary for comprehensive language education.

REFERENCES

Abourehab, Y., & Azaz, M. (2023). Pedagogical translanguaging in community/heritage Arabic language learning. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *44*(5), 398–411. https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2020.1826496

Aceto, G., Persico, V., & Pescapé, A. (2018). The role of Information and Communication Technologies in healthcare: Taxonomies, perspectives, and challenges. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, *107*, 125–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2018.02.008

Agbo, F. J., & Oyelere, S. S. (2019). Smart Mobile Learning Environment for Programming Education in Nigeria: Adaptivity and Context-Aware Features. In K. Arai, R. Bhatia, & S. Kapoor (Eds.), *Intelligent Computing* (Vol. 998, pp. 1061–1077). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22868-2_71

Ahmed, A. (2021). Postmemory and multilingual identities in English language teaching: A duoethnography. *Language Learning Journal*, *49*(4), 483–498. https://doi.org/10.1080/09571736.2021.1906301

Ait Si Ahmad, H., El Kharki, K., & Berrada, K. (2021). Agility of the Post COVID-19 Strategic Plan on Distance Learning at Cadi Ayyad University. An Opportunity Towards a Total Digital Transformation of the University. In L. S. Agrati, D. Burgos, P. Ducange, P. Limone, L. Perla, P. Picerno, P. Raviolo, & C. M. Stracke (Eds.), *Bridges and Mediation in Higher Distance Education* (Vol. 1344, pp. 199–213). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-67435-9_16

Al-Ajmi, N. H. (2020). Factors influencing the use of multimedia technologies in teaching english language in Kuwait. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, *15*(5), 212–234. https://doi.org/10.3991/IJET.V15I05.12277

Alaofi, S. (2020). The Impact of English Language on Non-Native English Speaking Students' Performance in Programming Class. *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 585–586. https://doi.org/10.1145/3341525.3394008

Ali, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence (AI): A Review of its Uses in Language Teaching and Learning. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, *769*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/769/1/012043

Allwood, J. W. (2011). Plant metabolomics and its potential for systems biology research: Background concepts, technology, and methodology. *Methods in Enzymology*, *500*(Query date: 2022-06-04 06:46:33), 299–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385118-5.00016-5

Amin, J. (2019). Brain tumor detection using statistical and machine learning method. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, *177*(Query date: 2022-09-15 11:16:12), 69–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2019.05.015

Anckar, C. (2008). On the applicability of the most similar systems design and the most different systems design in comparative research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, *11*(5), 389–401. https://doi.org/10.1080/13645570701401552

Andayani, S. (2020). *A STUDY OF FIGURATIVE LANGUAGE USED IN ORIFLAME CATALOGUE OF JANUARY 2019*. digilib.uin-suka.ac.id. https://digilib.uin-suka.ac.id/id/eprint/50193/

Brandão, F. C., Lima, M. A. T., Pantoja, C. E., Zahn, J., & Viterbo, J. (2021). Engineering Approaches for Programming Agent-Based IoT Objects Using the Resource Management Architecture. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, *21*(23), 8110. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21238110

Cai, J., & Hwang, S. (2020). Learning to teach through mathematical problem posing: Theoretical considerations, methodology, and directions for future research. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *102*, 101391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2019.01.001

Dörnyei, Z. (2021). Teaching and researching motivation: New directions for language learning. In *Teaching and Researching Motivation* (p. 296). https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351006743

Esawey, M. E. (2013). Analysis of unconventional arterial intersection designs (UAIDs): State-of-the-art methodologies and future research directions. *Transportmetrica A: Transport Science*, *9*(10), 860–895. https://doi.org/10.1080/18128602.2012.672344

Esteve-Mon, F. M., Llopis-Nebot, M. Á., & Adell-Segura, J. (2020). Digital teaching competence of university teachers: A systematic review of the literature. *IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías Del Aprendizaje*, *15*(4), 399–406.

Golfetto, M. A. (2020). Al-mihna wa'l-huwiyya. Motivational Dimensions of Arabic Heritage Learners in a Professionally-Oriented Education Setting. *Annali Di Ca Foscari Serie Orientale*, *56*(Query date: 2022-06-03 19:30:11), 155–175. https://doi.org/10.30687/ANNOR/2385-3042/2020/56/006

González-Lloret, M. (2020). Collaborative tasks for online language teaching. *Foreign Language Annals*, *53*(2), 260–269. https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.12466

Graesser, A. C., Sabatini, J. P., & Li, H. (2021). Educational Psychology Is Evolving to Accommodate Technology, Multiple Disciplines, and Twenty-First-Century Skills. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *73*(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-020821-113042

Hidden, M. O. (2020). Are there any pegagogical innovations in the direct method of language teaching when learning to read and write ? *Documents Pour l'Histoire Du Francais Langue Etrangere Ou Seconde*, *64*. https://doi.org/10.4000/dhfles.7471

Liu, Y. (2020). Federated learning for 6G communications: Challenges, methods, and future directions. *China Communications*, *17*(9), 105–118. https://doi.org/10.23919/JCC.2020.09.009

Mudinillah, A., Kuswandi, D., Erwin, E., Sugiarni, S., Winarno, W., Annajmi, A., & Hermansah, S. (2024). Optimizing Project-Based Learning in Developing 21st Century Skills: A Future Education Perspective. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, *4*(2), 86–101. https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v4n2a352

Oliver, B. R. (2021). Translation in Language Teaching: An excluded resource from the Direct Method classrooms? *Bellaterra Journal of Teaching and Learning Language and Literature*, *14*(4). https://doi.org/10.5565/rev/jtl3.900

Ruslan. (2019). Spirituality of education in interaction of lecturer and student in learning Arabic literature. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *7*(3), 87–101.

Sumaiya, A., Meliala, M. S., & Setiawan, T. A. (2022). The Influence of Investment Knowledge, Investment Motivation and Financial Literature on Investment Interest (Case Study in Indonesia Students Who Have Invested). *Indikator: Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Dan Bisnis*, *6*(2), 112. https://doi.org/10.22441/indikator.v6i2.14153

Titon, A. P. (2018). Review of literatura on school psychology in professional, scientific and technological education. *Psicologia Escolar e Educacional*, *22*(2), 359–368. https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-35392018010922

Wang, C. (2018). Learning Depth from Monocular Videos Using Direct Methods. *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, *Query date: 2022-09-15 11:16:12*, 2022–2030. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2018.00216