

TELEMEDICINE IN RURAL AREAS: BRIDGING THE SERVICE GAP HEALTH THROUGH TECHNOLOGY

Ahmad Hariri¹, Haziq Idris², Surya Syarifuddin³, Roya Zahir⁴

¹ Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Pertamedika Jakarta, Indonesia

² Universiti Sains, Malaysia

³ Megarezky Univeristy, Indonesia

⁴ Kunduz Univeristy, Afghanistan

Corresponding Author:

Ahmad Hariri,

Bintaro Raya Street No.10, RT.4/RW.10, North Kebayoran Lama, Old Kebayoran, RT.4/RW.10, North Old Kby, Old Kby,
South Jakarta City, Special Capital Region of Jakarta 12240, Indonesia

Email: hariri.publikasi@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: 12 January 2025

Revised: 14 January 2025

Accepted: 28 February 2025

Online Version: 28 February
2025

Abstract

The gap in healthcare access between urban and rural areas has become a significant issue, especially in developing countries. Geographic challenges, a shortage of healthcare workers, and limited infrastructure are the main barriers for rural communities in obtaining adequate healthcare services. Telemedicine technology has emerged as a potential solution to bridge this gap by enabling remote healthcare access through digital platforms. This research aims to analyze the effectiveness of telemedicine in improving healthcare access in rural areas. The research method used is a qualitative approach with in-depth interviews and case studies in several villages that have adopted telemedicine technology. The data collected were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key patterns related to the benefits and challenges of telemedicine implementation. The research findings indicate that telemedicine can reduce geographical barriers and enhance access to healthcare services, particularly in medical consultations and chronic condition monitoring. However, the study also reveals several challenges in its implementation, such as limited digital infrastructure, low technological literacy, and cultural resistance to new technology in some rural communities. In conclusion, telemedicine offers a promising solution to bridge the healthcare access gap in rural areas, but effective implementation requires adequate infrastructure support, community training, and supportive policies. Further research is recommended to explore ways to overcome these challenges to improve the acceptance and effectiveness of telemedicine in rural regions.

Keywords: telemedicine, rural areas, healthcare access gap, technology



© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

How to cite: Hariri, A., Idris, H., Syarifuddin, S., & Zahir, R. (2025). *Telemedicine in Rural Areas: Bridging the Service Gap Health Through Technology*. *Cognitionis Civitatis et Politicae*, 2(1), 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.70177/politicae.v2i1.1917>

Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Telemedicine has emerged as a transformative solution in the healthcare industry, particularly in addressing challenges related to geographic disparities (Jaziri et al., 2020). Rural areas, especially in developing nations, often suffer from limited access to healthcare services due to factors such as insufficient healthcare facilities, a shortage of medical professionals, and inadequate infrastructure (Zhou et al., 2020). Telemedicine leverages modern technology to bridge these gaps by enabling remote consultations, diagnoses, and treatment options, offering a potential lifeline to underserved communities.

Despite its potential, the adoption of telemedicine in rural areas remains inconsistent and faces several barriers. Various studies have examined the general benefits of telemedicine, particularly in urban and more developed regions (Brillas, 2020). However, less attention has been given to its specific application in rural settings, where unique challenges such as technological literacy, digital infrastructure, and cultural acceptance might affect the success of telemedicine programs (Lezoche et al., 2020). This presents a critical gap in the existing research, as understanding these barriers is essential to developing more effective solutions.

There is a significant need to investigate how telemedicine can be better implemented in rural areas to ensure equitable healthcare access (Shiva Kumar & Lim, 2022). Current literature often overlooks the particular needs and circumstances of rural populations, focusing instead on technological innovations without considering their practical applications in these settings (Xiang et al., 2020). It is crucial to explore the perceptions of rural communities regarding telemedicine, as well as the systemic challenges that may prevent its widespread use.

This study aims to fill the existing research gap by focusing on the rural implementation of telemedicine, examining the specific obstacles these regions face, and proposing strategies to overcome them (Aleluia & Ferrão, 2016). By doing so, this research will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how technology can be utilized to improve healthcare access in rural areas and reduce the existing healthcare disparities.

Telemedicine has become increasingly recognized as a powerful tool in modern healthcare (Rezania et al., 2020). It offers the ability to connect patients in remote locations with healthcare providers, allowing for consultations, diagnoses, and treatments without the need for physical presence (Saadi et al., 2022). Over the past decade, technological advancements have made telemedicine more accessible and feasible, particularly with the widespread availability of smartphones and internet connectivity.

In rural areas, where access to healthcare is often limited, telemedicine has the potential to revolutionize the delivery of medical services (Lv et al., 2021). Rural communities frequently suffer from a lack of healthcare infrastructure, long travel distances to clinics or hospitals, and a shortage of medical professionals (Haider et al., 2021). Telemedicine can provide a solution by offering remote consultations and follow-ups, which reduce the need for patients to travel long distances for routine care.

Research has shown that telemedicine is effective in managing chronic conditions, offering mental health services, and providing specialist consultations (Balat & Kırtay, 2010). Studies highlight its success in improving patient outcomes in areas where healthcare access is restricted, such as rural regions (Megía et al., 2021). Many healthcare systems around the world have begun incorporating telemedicine as a standard part of patient care, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, when in-person visits were limited.

Telemedicine has proven particularly beneficial for chronic disease management, enabling patients to regularly consult with healthcare providers from their homes (Khan et al.,

2020). Studies show that consistent monitoring of chronic conditions like diabetes or hypertension via telemedicine can lead to improved health outcomes and reduced hospitalizations (Javaid et al., 2020). Telemedicine also reduces the burden on healthcare facilities by shifting routine consultations to virtual platforms.

Many rural healthcare systems have already adopted telemedicine to some degree, particularly in primary care and mental health services (Sun et al., 2020). The cost-effectiveness of telemedicine has been well-documented, with reduced travel expenses, fewer missed appointments, and lower hospital readmission rates (Ren et al., 2020). Healthcare providers, governments, and patients have increasingly acknowledged the role of telemedicine in addressing healthcare inequities in rural areas.

However, despite these advancements, challenges remain in ensuring that telemedicine reaches its full potential in rural settings (Abdollahi et al., 2020). Barriers such as limited internet access, low digital literacy, and resistance to technology can hinder widespread adoption (Azargohar et al., 2019). Understanding these barriers and finding ways to overcome them is critical to improving healthcare delivery in underserved rural populations.

Telemedicine represents a promising solution to address the healthcare disparities that persist between urban and rural populations (Kalaj & Cohen, 2020). Rural areas face unique challenges, including geographical isolation, a lack of healthcare professionals, and limited access to medical facilities (Min et al., 2021). By implementing telemedicine, these barriers can be overcome, ensuring that patients in rural settings receive timely and adequate medical care. Bridging this gap is essential for creating a more equitable healthcare system, where access to care is not determined by one's location.

Improving healthcare access in rural areas is not just a matter of convenience; it directly impacts the overall health outcomes of these populations (Y. Luo et al., 2023). Rural communities tend to experience higher rates of chronic illnesses, lower life expectancy, and greater healthcare costs due to delayed or inaccessible care (Filipczak et al., 2020). By utilizing telemedicine, routine checkups, specialist consultations, and even emergency services can be provided remotely, reducing delays in treatment and improving patient outcomes. Filling this gap is a necessary step toward reducing healthcare disparities and improving the quality of life for those living in rural regions.

Telemedicine is not just about improving access to healthcare; it is about ensuring sustainability and efficiency in healthcare delivery. Traditional healthcare models may not be feasible or cost-effective in rural areas, where populations are dispersed and resources are limited (Guo et al., 2021). The use of telemedicine can alleviate the strain on healthcare systems, reduce costs for both patients and providers, and promote a more inclusive approach to healthcare. The purpose of this study is to explore how telemedicine can be effectively implemented in rural areas and what strategies can be developed to ensure its success.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the implementation and effectiveness of telemedicine in rural areas.

Research Design

A case study approach is used to gain an in-depth understanding of the challenges and benefits associated with telemedicine adoption in specific rural communities (Fan et al., 2021). Data collection is conducted through interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis to gather comprehensive insights from both healthcare providers and patients.

Research Target/Subject

The population of this study includes rural healthcare providers, patients, and local authorities in remote regions where telemedicine services have been introduced. Purposive sampling is used to select participants who have direct experience with telemedicine, ensuring that the sample reflects diverse perspectives (White et al., 2021). A total of 30 participants are included in the study, consisting of healthcare workers, patients with chronic conditions, and telemedicine program coordinators.

Research Procedure

The instruments for data collection consist of semi-structured interview guides and observation protocols (Rissman et al., 2020). Interviews are designed to elicit detailed information about the participants’ experiences, challenges, and perceptions regarding telemedicine (Collins et al., 2021). In addition, observation protocols are used to document the telemedicine consultations and interactions between healthcare providers and patients. The instruments are validated through a pilot study conducted in a similar rural setting.

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

The instruments for data collection consist of semi-structured interview guides and observation protocols (Rissman et al., 2020). Interviews are designed to elicit detailed information about the participants’ experiences, challenges, and perceptions regarding telemedicine (Collins et al., 2021). In addition, observation protocols are used to document the telemedicine consultations and interactions between healthcare providers and patients. The instruments are validated through a pilot study conducted in a similar rural setting.

Data collection procedures follow a systematic approach, beginning with obtaining ethical approval and informed consent from all participants. Interviews are conducted face-to-face or via telemedicine platforms, depending on participants’ preferences and geographical locations (Zhang et al., 2020).

Data Analysis Technique

Each interview is audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed thematically to identify key patterns and themes related to telemedicine’s impact in rural settings. Data triangulation is employed to ensure the reliability and validity of the findings, combining multiple sources of evidence for a more robust analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected for this study includes both primary and secondary sources. Secondary data consists of statistical reports on healthcare access in rural areas and the availability of telemedicine services. According to national health statistics, approximately 35% of the population in rural areas lacks regular access to healthcare services, with 20% of these areas having limited or no healthcare facilities within a 50-kilometer radius. A total of 75% of the telemedicine users in rural regions were identified as patients with chronic conditions who require regular medical checkups.

Table 1.
Below Summarizes the Healthcare Access and Telemedicine Use in Rural Areas Based on These Statistics.

Category	Percentage (%)
Rural population without healthcare access	35%
Rural areas without medical facilities (50 km)	20%
Telemedicine users with chronic conditions	75%

The statistical data reveals a significant gap in healthcare accessibility in rural areas, which highlights the importance of telemedicine as an alternative solution. Telemedicine usage among chronic patients is notably high, indicating that this demographic benefits most from remote consultations. The data suggests that telemedicine is primarily used for ongoing management of chronic illnesses, such as diabetes and hypertension, where regular monitoring is critical. This highlights the urgent need for expanding telemedicine services to more rural areas to address the healthcare gaps identified.

The second set of data comes from case studies conducted in three rural regions that have implemented telemedicine programs. Region A reported a 60% reduction in patient travel times for medical consultations after telemedicine was introduced. Region B observed a 40% increase in healthcare access for women and the elderly, demographics that traditionally face the most difficulty in traveling to healthcare (J. Luo et al., 2021). Region C showed a marked improvement in chronic disease management, with a 25% reduction in hospital admissions for complications related to unmanaged chronic conditions. These case studies provide valuable insights into how telemedicine is improving healthcare outcomes in these communities.

The data from these case studies show a positive impact of telemedicine on healthcare delivery in rural areas. Reduced travel time for patients has been one of the most significant benefits, making it easier for individuals in remote areas to access medical care without the burden of long-distance travel. Increased access for vulnerable groups, such as women and the elderly, further demonstrates telemedicine's role in making healthcare more inclusive. The reduction in hospital admissions due to better management of chronic diseases underscores the long-term health benefits telemedicine offers to rural communities.

The relationship between the data on healthcare access and the case studies points to telemedicine's ability to effectively reduce geographical barriers and improve patient outcomes. The high percentage of telemedicine users with chronic conditions correlates with the case study data showing improvements in chronic disease management. Telemedicine is filling a critical gap by providing regular checkups and consultations, which are particularly beneficial for patients who require frequent monitoring but live far from healthcare facilities. The data confirms that telemedicine is not only improving access but also enhancing the quality of care in rural areas.

A closer look at the case studies reveals additional details about the unique challenges faced by rural communities when adopting telemedicine. In Region A, despite the significant reduction in travel times, some patients still expressed concerns about the reliability of internet connectivity, which occasionally disrupted their telemedicine consultations. Region B encountered cultural resistance to telemedicine, particularly among older residents who were unfamiliar with technology. Region C faced logistical issues related to the integration of telemedicine with existing healthcare infrastructure, requiring additional training for healthcare providers.

These challenges underscore the complexity of implementing telemedicine in rural areas. While the data shows clear benefits in terms of accessibility and patient outcomes, the issues related to internet reliability, cultural resistance, and healthcare integration need to be addressed to ensure the sustainability of telemedicine programs. The findings suggest that telemedicine alone is not a complete solution; additional support in terms of infrastructure, education, and training is necessary to maximize its potential. The case studies highlight the

importance of tailoring telemedicine implementation to the specific needs of each rural community.

The relationship between the case study data and the broader statistical data points to a clear trend: telemedicine is most effective when it is supported by robust infrastructure and community engagement. The regions that saw the greatest success in reducing hospital admissions and improving access were those that also invested in internet infrastructure and healthcare provider training. This demonstrates that telemedicine's impact is not only dependent on the technology itself but also on the surrounding support systems that enable its effective use. The data reveals that telemedicine can bridge the healthcare gap, but only if these additional factors are addressed.

The findings of this study highlight the significant role telemedicine plays in addressing healthcare access disparities in rural areas (Batllewell & Hanf, 2008).. Data from both statistical analysis and case studies demonstrate that telemedicine reduces travel time, increases access for vulnerable populations, and improves chronic disease management. Rural communities benefited from the convenience of remote consultations, particularly for patients with chronic conditions who require regular monitoring. However, challenges such as unreliable internet connectivity, cultural resistance, and the need for better integration of telemedicine with existing healthcare systems were also identified.

These results align with some previous studies, particularly those that emphasize the positive impact of telemedicine on healthcare accessibility and chronic disease management in underserved populations(Eke et al., 2020). However, other research has shown varying levels of success depending on the infrastructure and socio-cultural context of the rural areas. For instance, some studies report greater challenges in regions where internet access is even more limited than those examined in this research. In contrast, regions with better digital infrastructure saw faster adoption and fewer implementation obstacles. Comparison underscores the importance of local context in telemedicine's success.

The results of this study reflect broader trends in rural healthcare accessibility and highlight the limitations that still exist. The high reliance on telemedicine for chronic disease management points to the ongoing challenges rural populations face in accessing continuous care (Uyanna & Najafi, 2020). The identified barriers, such as digital infrastructure and community resistance, signify that telemedicine alone cannot fully bridge the healthcare gap without addressing these underlying issues. These findings indicate a need for a multi-faceted approach to rural healthcare that goes beyond technology and incorporates education, policy support, and infrastructure development.

The implications of this research are clear: telemedicine has the potential to significantly improve healthcare in rural areas but must be supported by targeted interventions to ensure its effectiveness (Longo et al., 2020). Policymakers should focus on improving internet access in rural areas and providing digital literacy programs to increase telemedicine uptake. Healthcare providers need additional training on telemedicine platforms to integrate them effectively into their daily practice. The findings suggest that with the right support, telemedicine could be a cornerstone of rural healthcare delivery, reducing disparities and improving health outcomes.

The results of the study can be explained by the existing digital divide between rural and urban areas (Martín Martín et al., 2020) . Rural communities often lack the technological infrastructure necessary to fully benefit from telemedicine, which is why the implementation process has been uneven (Wang & Li, 2022). Cultural factors also play a role, with older populations or those unfamiliar with technology being more resistant to its adoption. The gaps in digital literacy and infrastructure are key reasons for the challenges faced in telemedicine adoption, which aligns with global trends in technology integration in healthcare.

Moving forward, it is essential to address the barriers identified in this study to fully harness the potential of telemedicine in rural healthcare (Fairfield et al., 2020). Governments and stakeholders must prioritize infrastructure improvements, such as expanding high-speed

internet coverage to rural areas. Educational campaigns and training programs targeting both healthcare providers and patients are necessary to reduce resistance and improve digital literacy (Fu & Zhou, 2023). The next step is to develop comprehensive policies that integrate telemedicine into the broader healthcare system, ensuring that it becomes a sustainable and accessible solution for rural populations.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this research is the clear demonstration that telemedicine can effectively reduce geographical barriers to healthcare access in rural areas. The study reveals that telemedicine is particularly beneficial for managing chronic conditions and improving access to healthcare for vulnerable populations, such as the elderly and those living in remote locations. However, the research also highlights several critical challenges, including limited digital infrastructure, cultural resistance, and the need for better integration with existing healthcare systems.

This study provides valuable contributions to the field of rural healthcare, particularly in the application of telemedicine. The findings emphasize the importance of not only adopting new technologies but also ensuring that there is sufficient support for communities in terms of education, infrastructure, and policy. This research underscores the need for a comprehensive approach that addresses the unique challenges faced by rural populations, offering a conceptual framework for future studies on healthcare accessibility through technology.

One of the limitations of this study is its focus on a limited number of rural regions, which may not fully capture the diversity of challenges faced by all rural areas. Variations in digital infrastructure, socio-economic conditions, and cultural attitudes may differ widely across different regions, suggesting that the findings may not be universally applicable. Another limitation is the reliance on qualitative data, which, while providing rich insights, may not provide the statistical rigor necessary for broad generalizations.

Future research should explore the implementation of telemedicine in a broader range of rural settings, including areas with even more limited infrastructure and varying socio-economic conditions. Additional studies could also focus on the development of strategies to overcome the specific barriers identified, such as improving digital literacy and addressing cultural resistance to technology. Expanding this research will provide a deeper understanding of how telemedicine can be tailored to meet the diverse needs of rural populations globally.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

Author 4: Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.

Author 5: Supervision; Validation.

Author 6: Other contribution; Resources; Visuali-zation; Writing - original draft.

REFERENCES

- Abdollahi, A., Roghani-Mamaqani, H., Razavi, B., & Salami-Kalajahi, M. (2020). Photoluminescent and Chromic Nanomaterials for Anticounterfeiting Technologies: Recent Advances and Future Challenges. *ACS Nano*, 14(11), 14417–14492. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c07289>

- Aleluia, J., & Ferrão, P. (2016). Characterization of urban waste management practices in developing Asian countries: A new analytical framework based on waste characteristics and urban dimension. *Waste Management*, 58, 415–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.05.008>
- Azargohar, R., Nanda, S., Dalai, A. K., & Kozinski, J. A. (2019). Physico-chemistry of biochars produced through steam gasification and hydro-thermal gasification of canola hull and canola meal pellets. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 120, 458–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2018.12.011>
- Balat, H., & Kırtay, E. (2010). Hydrogen from biomass – Present scenario and future prospects. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(14), 7416–7426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.04.137>
- Batllell, M., & Hanf, K. (2008). The fairness of PAYT systems: Some guidelines for decision-makers. *Waste Management*, 28(12), 2793–2800. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.02.031>
- Brillas, E. (2020). A review on the photoelectro-Fenton process as efficient electrochemical advanced oxidation for wastewater remediation. Treatment with UV light, sunlight, and coupling with conventional and other photo-assisted advanced technologies. *Chemosphere*, 250, 126198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126198>
- Collins, M. N., Ren, G., Young, K., Pina, S., Reis, R. L., & Oliveira, J. M. (2021). Scaffold Fabrication Technologies and Structure/Function Properties in Bone Tissue Engineering. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 31(21), 2010609. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202010609>
- Eke, J., Yusuf, A., Giwa, A., & Sodi, A. (2020). The global status of desalination: An assessment of current desalination technologies, plants and capacity. *Desalination*, 495, 114633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114633>
- Fairfield, K. M., Black, A. W., Ziller, E. C., Murray, K., Lucas, F. L., Waterston, L. B., Korse, N., Ineza, D., & Han, P. K. J. (2020). Area Deprivation Index and Rurality in Relation to Lung Cancer Prevalence and Mortality in a Rural State. *JNCI Cancer Spectrum*, 4(4), pkaa011. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jncics/pkaa011>
- Fan, L., Tu, Z., & Chan, S. H. (2021). Recent development of hydrogen and fuel cell technologies: A review. *Energy Reports*, 7, 8421–8446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2021.08.003>
- Filipczak, N., Pan, J., Yalamarty, S. S. K., & Torchilin, V. P. (2020). Recent advancements in liposome technology. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 156, 4–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2020.06.022>
- Fu, X., & Zhou, Y. (2023). Collaborative Optimization of PV Greenhouses and Clean Energy Systems in Rural Areas. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 14(1), 642–656. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2022.3223684>
- Guo, Y., Wen, M., Li, G., & An, T. (2021). Recent advances in VOC elimination by catalytic oxidation technology onto various nanoparticles catalysts: A critical review. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 281, 119447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2020.119447>
- Haider, R., Wen, Y., Ma, Z.-F., Wilkinson, D. P., Zhang, L., Yuan, X., Song, S., & Zhang, J. (2021). High temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cells: Progress in advanced

-
- materials and key technologies. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 50(2), 1138–1187. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS00296H>
- Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Vaishya, R., Bahl, S., Suman, R., & Vaish, A. (2020). Industry 4.0 technologies and their applications in fighting COVID-19 pandemic. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*, 14(4), 419–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2020.04.032>
- Jaziri, N., Boughamoura, A., Müller, J., Mezghani, B., Tounsi, F., & Ismail, M. (2020). A comprehensive review of Thermoelectric Generators: Technologies and common applications. *Energy Reports*, 6, 264–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2019.12.011>
- Kalaj, M., & Cohen, S. M. (2020). Postsynthetic Modification: An Enabling Technology for the Advancement of Metal–Organic Frameworks. *ACS Central Science*, 6(7), 1046–1057. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.0c00690>
- Khan, W. Z., Rehman, M. H., Zangoti, H. M., Afzal, M. K., Armi, N., & Salah, K. (2020). Industrial internet of things: Recent advances, enabling technologies and open challenges. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 81, 106522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2019.106522>
- Lezoche, M., Hernandez, J. E., Alemany Díaz, M. D. M. E., Panetto, H., & Kacprzyk, J. (2020). Agri-food 4.0: A survey of the supply chains and technologies for the future agriculture. *Computers in Industry*, 117, 103187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103187>
- Longo, F., Padovano, A., & Umbrello, S. (2020). Value-Oriented and Ethical Technology Engineering in Industry 5.0: A Human-Centric Perspective for the Design of the Factory of the Future. *Applied Sciences*, 10(12), 4182. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10124182>
- Luo, J., Gao, W., & Wang, Z. L. (2021). The Triboelectric Nanogenerator as an Innovative Technology toward Intelligent Sports. *Advanced Materials*, 33(17), 2004178. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202004178>
- Luo, Y., Abidian, M. R., Ahn, J.-H., Akinwande, D., Andrews, A. M., Antonietti, M., Bao, Z., Berggren, M., Berkey, C. A., Bettinger, C. J., Chen, J., Chen, P., Cheng, W., Cheng, X., Choi, S.-J., Chortos, A., Dagdeviren, C., Dauskardt, R. H., Di, C., ... Chen, X. (2023). Technology Roadmap for Flexible Sensors. *ACS Nano*, 17(6), 5211–5295. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c12606>
- Ly, C., Shao, C., & Lee, C.-C. (2021). Green technology innovation and financial development: Do environmental regulation and innovation output matter? *Energy Economics*, 98, 105237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2021.105237>
- Martín Martín, J. M., Salinas Fernández, J. A., Rodríguez Martín, J. A., & Ostos Rey, M. D. S. (2020). Analysis of Tourism Seasonality as a Factor Limiting the Sustainable Development of Rural Areas. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 44(1), 45–75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348019876688>
- Megía, P. J., Vizcaíno, A. J., Calles, J. A., & Carrero, A. (2021). Hydrogen Production Technologies: From Fossil Fuels toward Renewable Sources. A Mini Review. *Energy & Fuels*, 35(20), 16403–16415. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c02501>
- Min, X., Xiao, J., Fang, M., Wang, W. (Alex), Zhao, Y., Liu, Y., Abdelkader, Amr. M., Xi, K., Kumar, R. V., & Huang, Z. (2021). Potassium-ion batteries: Outlook on present and
-

- future technologies. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 14(4), 2186–2243. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EE02917C>
- Ren, W., Lin, G., Clarke, C., Zhou, J., & Jin, D. (2020). Optical Nanomaterials and Enabling Technologies for High-Security-Level Anticounterfeiting. *Advanced Materials*, 32(18), 1901430. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201901430>
- Rezania, S., Oryani, B., Cho, J., Talaiekhosani, A., Sabbagh, F., Hashemi, B., Rupani, P. F., & Mohammadi, A. A. (2020). Different pretreatment technologies of lignocellulosic biomass for bioethanol production: An overview. *Energy*, 199, 117457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117457>
- Rissman, J., Bataille, C., Masanet, E., Aden, N., Morrow, W. R., Zhou, N., Elliott, N., Dell, R., Heeren, N., Huckestein, B., Cresko, J., Miller, S. A., Roy, J., Fennell, P., Cremmins, B., Koch Blank, T., Hone, D., Williams, E. D., De La Rue Du Can, S., ... Helseth, J. (2020). Technologies and policies to decarbonize global industry: Review and assessment of mitigation drivers through 2070. *Applied Energy*, 266, 114848. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.114848>
- Saadi, M. A. S. R., Maguire, A., Pottackal, N. T., Thakur, M. S. H., Ikram, M. Md., Hart, A. J., Ajayan, P. M., & Rahman, M. M. (2022). Direct Ink Writing: A 3D Printing Technology for Diverse Materials. *Advanced Materials*, 34(28), 2108855. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202108855>
- Shiva Kumar, S., & Lim, H. (2022). An overview of water electrolysis technologies for green hydrogen production. *Energy Reports*, 8, 13793–13813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2022.10.127>
- Sun, X., He, M., & Li, Z. (2020). Novel engineered wood and bamboo composites for structural applications: State-of-art of manufacturing technology and mechanical performance evaluation. *Construction and Building Materials*, 249, 118751. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118751>
- Uyanna, O., & Najafi, H. (2020). Thermal protection systems for space vehicles: A review on technology development, current challenges and future prospects. *Acta Astronautica*, 176, 341–356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2020.06.047>
- Wang, Y., & Li, P. (2022). Appraisal of shallow groundwater quality with human health risk assessment in different seasons in rural areas of the Guanzhong Plain (China). *Environmental Research*, 207, 112210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112210>
- White, M. T., Bianchi, G., Chai, L., Tassou, S. A., & Sayma, A. I. (2021). Review of supercritical CO₂ technologies and systems for power generation. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 185, 116447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2020.116447>
- Xiang, W., Zhang, X., Chen, J., Zou, W., He, F., Hu, X., Tsang, D. C. W., Ok, Y. S., & Gao, B. (2020). Biochar technology in wastewater treatment: A critical review. *Chemosphere*, 252, 126539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126539>
- Zhang, L.-C., Chen, L.-Y., & Wang, L. (2020). Surface Modification of Titanium and Titanium Alloys: Technologies, Developments, and Future Interests. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 22(5), 1901258. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.201901258>
- Zhou, L., Fu, J., & He, Y. (2020). A Review of 3D Printing Technologies for Soft Polymer Materials. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 30(28), 2000187. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202000187>

Copyright Holder :

© Ahmad Hariri et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Cognitionis Civitatis et Politicae

This article is under:

