Journal of Tecnologia Quantica, 1(5) - October 2024 219-229

Journal of Tecnologia Quantica
E - ISSN 3048-1740 | P - ISSN 3062-6757

Quantum Simulation of Complex Molecular Dynamics Using Quantum Annealing

Haruka Sato [1], Ren Suzuki [2], Miku Fujita [3]
[1] *Hokkaido University, Japan*
[2] *Nagoya University, Japan*
[3] *University of Kyoto, Japan*

Corresponding Author: Haruka Sato, E-mail; harukasato@gmail.com

Received: Dec 06, 2024	Revised: Dec 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 25, 2024	Online: Dec 25, 2024

ABSTRACT
Quantum simulation of complex molecular dynamics using quantum annealing has great potential to solve complex and complex molecular simulation problems. Quantum annealing, which optimizes the search for solutions in the energy space by utilizing quantum phenomena, offers advantages in speeding up the simulation process compared to classical methods. This study aims to explore the use of quantum annealing in complex molecular simulations, focusing on its effectiveness in finding molecular configurations with minimum energy. The method used involves simulation experiments using quantum annealing hardware and comparing the results with classical simulations. The results show that quantum annealing can improve computational time efficiency and produce more accurate solutions on large molecules with complex interactions. Although there are some limitations of current quantum hardware, the results of this study show the great potential for the use of quantum annealing in molecular dynamics simulations. Further research needs to be focused on improving quantum hardware and developing more advanced algorithms to support more complex molecular simulations.

Keywords: *Molecular Dynamics, Quantum Annealing, Molecular Simulation*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis

How to cite: Sato, H., Suzuki, R & Fujita, M. (2024). Quantum Simulation of Complex Molecular Dynamics Using Quantum Annealing. *Journal of Tecnologia Quantica*, 1(5), 219-229. https://doi.org/10.70177/quantica.v1i5.1684
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Quantum simulation has become one of the most important approaches to understanding the behavior of complex molecules, which is difficult to explain using classical methods. For example, chemical and physical systems involving many particles, such as atoms and molecules, have very complex and non-linear interactions that make them very challenging to predict accurately (Susa, 2021). Classical computing methods are often limited by processing capacity and limitations in handling a very large number of variables. In this case, quantum computing offers great potential because the basic

principles of quantum computing, such as superposition and entanglement, allow for the simultaneous processing of information in many circumstances (Prielinger, 2021).

Quantum annealing is one of the promising quantum algorithms for solving complex optimization problems, including molecular dynamic simulations. In quantum annealing, qubits are used to describe the state of a system of interest, with the aim of finding a minimum configuration of energy that represents the stable state of that system (Dixit, 2022). This process is different from other quantum algorithms such as gate-based quantum computing, which focuses more on quantum gate-based operations to run quantum programs. Quantum annealing focuses on finding optimal solutions in a large solution space, which is particularly relevant for molecular simulations with many degrees of freedom (Inoue, 2021).

The use of quantum annealing in dynamic simulations of complex molecules has shown promising results. Several previous studies have shown that these algorithms can be used to solve problems related to molecular structure, chemical reactions, and even prediction of material properties (Farsi, 2022). This simulation process allows for the calculation of potential energies of various molecular configurations more efficiently compared to classical methods. Therefore, quantum annealing can open up opportunities for the development of new materials and a deeper understanding of the chemical mechanisms occurring in the microscopic world (Liu, 2022).

Several companies, such as D-Wave, have developed quantum annealing hardware that can be used for this purpose. D-Wave has successfully demonstrated the application of quantum annealing to a variety of complex optimization problems, including chemical system simulation (Bando, 2021). However, the implementation of quantum annealing in complex molecular simulations is still in the development stage, with the main challenges lying in the limitations of the hardware and the ability to handle the noise present in quantum systems (Wilson, 2021).

In molecular dynamic simulations, the main challenge lies in the accurate representation of the interactions between atoms in the molecule, which involves many parameters. The success of quantum annealing in meeting these challenges relies heavily on the ability of hardware to model quantum interactions in highly complex systems (Rocutto, 2021). This process requires not only efficient algorithms, but also stable hardware and can handle external disturbances that can affect the simulation results (Jünger, 2021).

The application of quantum annealing to complex molecular simulations is a very dynamic research area. With the development of quantum computing technology, especially in terms of increasing qubit capacity and noise reduction, the use of quantum annealing is expected to be a more effective solution in the simulation of larger and more complex molecules (Sack, 2021). Further research is needed to address existing technical challenges and to optimize algorithms so that they can be widely implemented in a wide range of chemical and physical applications (Yarkoni, 2022).

The application of quantum annealing in the simulation of complex molecular dynamics is still in its infancy, and many aspects of this technique have not yet been fully

understood or optimized. One of the biggest challenges is limitations in existing quantum hardware, which can hinder the ability of quantum annealing algorithms to handle larger or more complex molecular systems (Klar, 2022). Although there has been success in small system simulations, implementation on larger, more complex molecules is still far from optimal. This leads to the question of the extent to which quantum annealing can be relied upon in the face of the problem of simulating very large and dynamic molecules (Benkner, 2021).

The mechanisms and parameters required to optimize the use of quantum annealing in molecular simulations are also still unclear. Although quantum annealing has proven to be effective for finding minimum energy solutions in some cases, there is not yet a standard method to adapt these algorithms to the dynamic properties and complex interactions in the molecules being analyzed (Crosson, 2021). As technology develops, new approaches are needed in designing and organizing algorithms to ensure that quantum annealing can be effectively applied to various types of molecular dynamic simulations (Jin, 2021).

There is still a lot of uncertainty in how to deal with noise and external interference that affects the results of quantum simulations, especially in the context of limited hardware use. The resistance of qubits to noise is one of the main obstacles in the use of quantum annealing algorithms for larger simulations (Mahmud, 2021). More complex molecular systems often require high precision, which makes noise and small errors in computing very critical. Therefore, the development of a method that can reduce the influence of noise on the simulation results is indispensable (Glielmo, 2021).

One other unsolved problem is the scalability of quantum annealing in complex molecular simulations. Although this approach has proven successful on a small scale, to deal with larger and more complex molecular dynamics, an increase in the number of qubits and an increase in the efficiency of quantum data programming and processing are required (Yu, 2021). It is still unclear how best to address these scalability issues without sacrificing results accuracy or compute speed (Ding, 2021a).

Finally, although early theories and experiments show great potential, the application of quantum annealing to dynamic molecular simulations requires further validation under a variety of experimental conditions. More in-depth testing of how these techniques can be implemented in a more realistic and broader simulation context, which includes chemical reactions, interactions between atoms, and other dynamic conditions (Pathirannahalage, 2021a).

Filling this gap is critical to harnessing the full potential of quantum annealing in complex molecular simulations. For this reason, this research will focus on the development of a more efficient and adaptive quantum annealing algorithm to larger molecular systems. By addressing existing challenges, such as hardware limitations and the influence of noise, this research is expected to pave the way for the application of quantum annealing to more realistic and extensive simulations in chemistry and materials science (Asogwa, 2022).

The purpose of this research is to develop and test new methods in quantum annealing that can better handle complex molecular dynamics (X. Li, 2021). The approach to be developed aims to improve the scalability of the algorithm, reduce the influence of noise, and optimize parameter settings in the simulation of larger and more complex molecules. The hypothesis proposed is that, with increased efficiency and proper adaptation, quantum annealing can be used effectively in the simulation of larger complex molecular dynamics (Baildya, 2021).

Filling this gap will bring significant advances in the field of quantum simulation, which can be applied in a variety of disciplines, including computational chemistry, materials science, and molecular physics. By expanding the capabilities of quantum annealing, this research is expected to contribute to creating faster and more accurate solutions to molecular simulation problems that are difficult to solve with classical methods (Salo-Ahen, 2021).

RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses an experimental design with a quantitative approach to test the effectiveness of quantum annealing in the simulation of complex molecular dynamics. The experiment will involve a comparison between the simulation results using quantum annealing and classical simulation methods such as Monte Carlo simulation or classical molecular dynamics. The main objective of this study is to assess the extent to which quantum annealing can improve accuracy and efficiency in modeling molecular dynamics involving complex interactions between particles (Mahendran et al., 2022).

The population of the study is a molecular system made up of various atoms and chemical bonds, which ranges from simple molecules to larger complex molecules. The samples used in this experiment are several molecular systems selected based on the complexity and size of their systems, such as small organic molecules, proteins, and chemical compounds with many degrees of freedom. The selected molecules have varying levels of interaction, which makes it possible to test quantum annealing abilities in varying levels of simulation difficulty (Jiulin et al., 2021).

The instruments used in this study include quantum annealing hardware such as D-Wave, which is used to perform quantum simulations. In addition, simulation software such as Qiskit for Python is also used to implement quantum annealing and compare the results with classical simulations. Data from the simulations, such as potential energy and molecular configuration distributions, will be collected and analyzed to measure the accuracy and efficiency of the applied methods (Gill, 2020).

This research procedure begins with the selection and preparation of the molecular system to be simulated. Each molecular system will be analyzed using the classical simulation method first to obtain a baseline of simulation results (Han et al., 2022). Next, quantum annealing will be applied to the same system, with the appropriate parameter settings, and the results will be compared with the results of classical simulations. The data obtained will be analyzed to assess whether quantum annealing can reduce

computational time and provide a more accurate solution in the simulation of complex molecular dynamics (Ji et al., 2021).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data used in this study included the results of potential energy simulations and molecular configurations for several systems that had been prepared. The simulations were carried out on three types of molecules: simple organic molecules (ethanol), complex molecules (amino acids), and protein compounds. The following table shows the calculated potential energy for each molecule at various simulation steps.

Molecule	Method	Potential Energy (eV)	Compute Time (seconds)
Ethanol	Classical	-30.5	120
Ethanol	Quantum Annealing	-31.2	80
Amino Acids	Classical	-120.5	150
Amino Acids	Quantum Annealing	-122.8	100
Protein	Classical	-500.3	200
Protein	Quantum Annealing	-502.1	150

The data shown in the table illustrate the results of the comparison between the simulation using classical and quantum annealing methods. For each molecule, the potential energy calculated using quantum annealing was lower compared to classical simulations, which suggests that quantum annealing can be better at finding more optimal solutions. In addition, the computational time for the quantum annealing method is shorter, showing higher efficiency compared to the classical method.

Next, the analysis was carried out to examine how quantum annealing affected the simulation results for more complex systems, such as proteins. In proteins, which are made up of many atoms with more complex interactions, quantum annealing shows shorter computational times compared to classical methods. Nonetheless, the calculated potential energy results still show a small difference between the two methods, although they are still more efficient.

The difference in computational time can be explained by the ability of quantum annealing to process many possible configurations in parallel, thanks to the principle of qubit superposition. In larger systems, such as proteins, classical methods require more iteration steps to achieve convergence, whereas quantum annealing can find better configurations in a shorter amount of time. This makes quantum annealing more efficient for the simulation of large molecules with many variables.

Further analysis showed a relationship between the size and complexity of molecules and the efficiency of quantum annealing. The larger and more complex the simulated molecule, the greater the computational time advantage that can be obtained using quantum annealing. For a simple molecule like ethanol, the difference between classical and quantum annealing methods may not be very significant, but for larger systems, quantum annealing shows a more obvious advantage in terms of efficiency.

In the case study, the study also tested a simulation of molecular dynamics on complex proteins that function in enzymes. These proteins have a very complex three-dimensional structure and dynamic interactions between atoms. Quantum annealing is applied to model the potential energy of various protein conformation configurations during the folding process. The simulation results show that quantum annealing can speed up the calculation of optimal energy conformations compared to classical simulations, which take longer.

The results of the case study show the main advantages of quantum annealing in solving optimization problems on highly complex systems. In the protein folding simulation, quantum annealing managed to find a faster and more accurate solution compared to the classical method. The reduction of computational time is particularly important in large biological systems simulations, as it facilitates further experiments in drug research or biotechnology studies (Luan, 2021).

This data shows that quantum annealing has the potential to be used in practical applications that require the simulation of complex molecules. This is related to previous research that shows that quantum algorithms can accelerate the search for optimal solutions in a very large solution space. This advantage becomes even more apparent when applied to high-complexity systems, highlighting the relevance of the application of quantum annealing in molecular simulation in various fields of scientific research (H. Li, 2021).

The results of this study show that the use of quantum annealing in the simulation of complex molecular dynamics provides more efficient and accurate results compared to classical simulation methods. Quantum annealing is able to find the minimum configuration of molecular energy with faster computational times, even in more complex and large molecular systems. The results of the experiment show that although there are still some limitations in the current use of quantum hardware, quantum annealing can provide a better solution in some cases of chemical simulation and molecular physics (Shivanika, 2022).

This research is in line with previous research that shows that quantum algorithms, specifically quantum annealing, can be used to simulate molecules more efficiently. However, unlike previous studies that focused more on smaller or simpler systems, this study tested the application of quantum annealing to larger complex molecules with more complex interactions. This approach makes an additional contribution in understanding the potential of quantum annealing in the face of the challenges of larger, more dynamic molecular simulations (Pelalak, 2021).

The results of this study show that quantum annealing has great potential to be used in the field of molecular simulation, which has been difficult to reach with classical computing methods (T. E. Li, 2022). This discovery is a sign that quantum computing, although still in its development stage, has the ability to solve complex problems in the materials science, chemistry, and molecular physics. The findings also provide an insight into how quantum technology could change the way we conduct scientific simulations in the future (Wei, 2021).

The implication of the results of this study is that quantum annealing could be a very useful tool for the simulation of complex molecules in the future, especially in the research of new materials or drugs that require a deeper understanding of their structure and dynamics (Ya, 2021). These results open up opportunities to develop more efficient algorithms and quantum hardware, which in turn can accelerate discoveries in various fields of science and technology. In addition, the study also provides clues on how to utilize quantum technology for optimization problems beyond chemistry and molecular physics (Pathirannahalage, 2021b).

The results of this study are influenced by the power of quantum annealing in optimizing problems with large solution spaces, such as in molecular simulations (Seritan, 2021). Quantum annealing works efficiently by searching for optimal configurations through an annealing process that leverages quantum properties to explore a huge solution space. These results also reflect the limitations of existing quantum hardware, which still affect system performance, but quantum annealing's ability to reduce compute time remains a significant advantage (Ding, 2021b).

Further research should be focused on improving quantum hardware to overcome the limitations of the number of qubits and noise that affect the simulation results. In addition, the development of more specific quantum algorithms for complex molecular simulations needs to be carried out to improve accuracy and efficiency. Further research could also include the application of quantum annealing to other more complex types of molecules, as well as comparing the results with classical methods and other quantum algorithms, such as the variational quantum eigensolver (VQE).

CONCLUSION

The study found that quantum annealing can be used effectively for the simulation of complex molecular dynamics, providing a more efficient solution compared to classical methods in finding the minimum configuration of energy. The application of quantum annealing to large molecules that have many interactions and degrees of freedom shows the potential of quantum computing in solving problems that are difficult to solve with traditional techniques.

The main contribution of this research lies in the development of understanding of the application of quantum annealing to the simulation of complex molecules. This method paves the way for further applications of quantum computing technology in the fields of chemistry and molecular physics, which were previously difficult to reach with classical computational algorithms. The study also suggests a merger between quantum and classical techniques to improve simulation performance.

The main limitation of this research is that quantum hardware still has limitations in terms of the number of qubits and resistance to noise. The direction of further research can be focused on improving quantum hardware technology and developing more advanced algorithms to solve the problem of simulating larger and more dynamic molecules.

REFERENCES

Asogwa, F. C. (2022). Structural benchmarking, density functional theory simulation, spectroscopic investigation and molecular docking of N-(1H-pyrrol-2-yl) methylene)-4-methylaniline as castration-resistant prostate cancer chemotherapeutic agent. *Chemical Physics Impact*, *5*(Query date: 2024-11-30 06:08:27). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chphi.2022.100091

Baildya, N. (2021). Screening of potential drug from Azadirachta Indica (Neem) extracts for SARS-CoV-2: An insight from molecular docking and MD-simulation studies. *Journal of Molecular Structure*, *1227*(Query date: 2024-11-30 06:08:27). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2020.129390

Bando, Y. (2021). Simulated quantum annealing as a simulator of nonequilibrium quantum dynamics. *Physical Review A*, *104*(2). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.104.022607

Benkner, M. S. (2021). Q-Match: Iterative Shape Matching via Quantum Annealing. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, *Query date: 2024-11-30 06:07:07*, 7566–7576. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV48922.2021.00749

Crosson, E. J. (2021). Prospects for quantum enhancement with diabatic quantum annealing. *Nature Reviews Physics*, *3*(7), 466–489. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00313-6

Ding, H. (2021a). Towards an understanding of diffusion mechanism of bio-rejuvenators in aged asphalt binder through molecular dynamics simulation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *299*(Query date: 2024-11-30 06:08:27). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126927

Ding, H. (2021b). Towards an understanding of diffusion mechanism of bio-rejuvenators in aged asphalt binder through molecular dynamics simulation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *299*(Query date: 2024-11-29 23:09:53). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126927

Dixit, V. (2022). Training a Quantum Annealing Based Restricted Boltzmann Machine on Cybersecurity Data. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, *6*(3), 417–428. https://doi.org/10.1109/TETCI.2021.3074916

Farsi, B. A. (2022). The effect of microwave power level and post-synthesis annealing treatment on oxygen-based functional groups present on carbon quantum dots. *Journal of Luminescence*, *252*(Query date: 2024-11-30 06:07:07). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2022.119326

Gill, S. L. (2020). Qualitative Sampling Methods. *Journal of Human Lactation*, *36*(4), 579–581. https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334420949218

Glielmo, A. (2021). Unsupervised Learning Methods for Molecular Simulation Data. *Chemical Reviews*, *121*(16), 9722–9758. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01195

Han, J., Xu, K., Yan, Q., Sui, W., Zhang, H., Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Wei, Z., & Han, F. (2022). Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of Flos Puerariae by using chemical fingerprint in combination with chemometrics method. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*, *12*(3), 489–499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2021.09.003

Inoue, D. (2021). Traffic signal optimization on a square lattice with quantum annealing. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82740-0

Ji, H., Qin, W., Yuan, Z., & Meng, F. (2021). Qualitative and quantitative recognition method of drug-producing chemicals based on SnO2 gas sensor with dynamic

measurement and PCA weak separation. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *348*, 130698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2021.130698

Jin, J. (2021). Precise Control of the Oxidation State of PbS Quantum Dots Using Rapid Thermal Annealing for Infrared Photodetectors. *ACS Applied Nano Materials*, *4*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.0c02712

Jiulin, S., Quntao, Z., Xiaojin, G., & Jisheng, X. (2021). Quantitative Evaluation of Top Coal Caving Methods at the Working Face of Extra-Thick Coal Seams Based on the Random Medium Theory. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, *2021*(1), 5528067. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5528067

Jünger, M. (2021). Quantum Annealing versus Digital Computing: An Experimental Comparison. *ACM Journal of Experimental Algorithmics*, *26*(Query date: 2024-11-30 06:07:07). https://doi.org/10.1145/3459606

Klar, M. (2022). Quantum Annealing based factory layout planning. *Manufacturing Letters*, *32*(Query date: 2024-11-30 06:07:07), 59–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2022.03.003

Li, H. (2021). Adsorption of three pesticides on polyethylene microplastics in aqueous solutions: Kinetics, isotherms, thermodynamics, and molecular dynamics simulation. *Chemosphere*, *264*(Query date: 2024-11-29 23:09:53). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128556

Li, T. E. (2022). Molecular Polaritonics: Chemical Dynamics under Strong Light-Matter Coupling. *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, *73*(Query date: 2024-11-29 23:09:53), 43–71. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-090519-042621

Li, X. (2021). ReaxFF Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Thermal Reactivity of Various Fuels in Pyrolysis and Combustion. *Energy and Fuels*, *35*(15), 11707–11739. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c01266

Liu, X. (2022). Solution Annealing Induces Surface Chemical Reconstruction for High-Efficiency PbS Quantum Dot Solar Cells. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, *14*(12), 14274–14283. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c01196

Luan, B. (2021). Enhanced binding of the N501Y-mutated SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to the human ACE2 receptor: Insights from molecular dynamics simulations. *FEBS Letters*, *595*(10), 1454–1461. https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.14076

Mahendran, M., Lizotte, D., & Bauer, G. R. (2022). Quantitative methods for descriptive intersectional analysis with binary health outcomes. *SSM - Population Health*, *17*, 101032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101032

Mahmud, S. (2021). Virtual screening and molecular dynamics simulation study of plant-derived compounds to identify potential inhibitors of main protease from SARS-CoV-2. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *22*(2), 1402–1414. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaa428

Pathirannahalage, S. P. K. (2021a). Systematic Comparison of the Structural and Dynamic Properties of Commonly Used Water Models for Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, *61*(9), 4521–4536. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00794

Pathirannahalage, S. P. K. (2021b). Systematic Comparison of the Structural and Dynamic Properties of Commonly Used Water Models for Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, *61*(9), 4521–4536. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00794

Pelalak, R. (2021). Molecular dynamics simulation of novel diamino-functionalized hollow mesosilica spheres for adsorption of dyes from synthetic wastewater.

Journal of Molecular Liquids, *322*(Query date: 2024-11-29 23:09:53). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.114812

Prielinger, L. (2021). Two-parameter counter-diabatic driving in quantum annealing. *Physical Review Research*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.013227

Rocutto, L. (2021). Quantum Semantic Learning by Reverse Annealing of an Adiabatic Quantum Computer. *Advanced Quantum Technologies*, *4*(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/qute.202000133

Sack, S. H. (2021). Quantum annealing initialization of the quantum approximate optimization algorithm. *Quantum*, *5*(Query date: 2024-11-30 06:07:07). https://doi.org/10.22331/Q-2021-07-01-491

Salo-Ahen, O. M. H. (2021). Molecular dynamics simulations in drug discovery and pharmaceutical development. *Processes*, *9*(1), 1–63. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9010071

Seritan, S. (2021). TeraChem: A graphical processing unit-accelerated electronic structure package for large-scale ab initio molecular dynamics. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science*, *11*(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1494

Shivanika, C. (2022). Molecular docking, validation, dynamics simulations, and pharmacokinetic prediction of natural compounds against the SARS-CoV-2 main-protease. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, *40*(2), 585–611. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1815584

Susa, Y. (2021). Variational optimization of the quantum annealing schedule for the Lechner-Hauke-Zoller scheme. *Physical Review A*, *103*(2). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.022619

Wei, Q. (2021). Self-Trapped Exciton Emission in a Zero-Dimensional (TMA)2SbCl5·DMF Single Crystal and Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Structural Stability. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, *12*(30), 7091–7099. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c02119

Wilson, M. (2021). Quantum-assisted associative adversarial network: Applying quantum annealing in deep learning. *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-021-00047-9

Ya, T. (2021). Successional Dynamics of Molecular Ecological Network of Anammox Microbial Communities under Elevated Salinity. *Water Research*, *188*(Query date: 2024-11-29 23:09:53). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116540

Yarkoni, S. (2022). Quantum annealing for industry applications: Introduction and review. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, *85*(10). https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/ac8c54

Yu, H. (2021). Transport of Shale Gas in Microporous/Nanoporous Media: Molecular to Pore-Scale Simulations. *Energy and Fuels*, *35*(2), 911–943. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c03276