

Empirical Legal Research Methods: Applications in Legal Research in Indonesia

Retno Widyani ¹ , Li Wei ² , Wang Jun ³ 

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Cirebon, Indonesia

² Tsinghua University, China

³ Fudan University, China

ABSTRACT

Background: Empirical legal research methods have gained increasing significance in legal scholarship, offering insights into the real-world application and outcomes of laws. In Indonesia, the use of these methods remains relatively underexplored despite the growing need for evidence-based policy and legal reforms. Legal research in Indonesia has traditionally relied on doctrinal methods, but empirical approaches have the potential to enhance the understanding of how legal systems function in practice and how laws impact society.

Objective: This study aims to explore the applications of empirical legal research methods in legal research in Indonesia. The research focuses on examining how these methods can be effectively implemented in the context of Indonesian legal research to address pressing legal issues and improve the legal system's overall effectiveness.

Method: A qualitative research design was employed, combining a review of existing literature, case studies, and interviews with legal scholars, practitioners, and policymakers. The study also analyzed existing empirical research on legal issues in Indonesia to identify current trends and gaps in research.

Results: The findings suggest that empirical methods, such as surveys, interviews, and case studies, are increasingly being adopted in Indonesian legal research, though challenges remain in terms of resources, training, and institutional support.

Conclusion: Empirical legal research holds significant potential for advancing legal scholarship in Indonesia. There is a need for greater integration of these methods to enhance the quality and relevance of legal research and inform legal reforms.

Keywords:

Case Studies, Legal Research, Legal Reform

Citation: Widyani, R., Wei, L & Jun, W. (2025). Empirical Legal Research Methods: Applications in Legal Research in Indonesia. *Rechtsnormen Journal of Law*, 3(2), 135–145.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/rjl.v3i2.2095>

Correspondence:

Retno Widyani,
retno.widyani@umc.ac.id

Received: March 14, 2025

Accepted: April 22, 2025

Published: April 22, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Empirical legal research methods are gaining increasing recognition for their ability to provide concrete, data-driven insights into the practical effects of laws, policies, and legal interventions (Helmus dkk., 2025; Murgia, 2025). In contrast to traditional doctrinal research that focuses on analyzing legal texts and theoretical interpretations, empirical legal research is rooted in real-world data and experience. This shift toward empirical approaches is particularly pertinent in the context of legal research in Indonesia, where there is a growing need to assess the effectiveness of legal reforms and public



policies. Indonesian legal scholars have long relied on doctrinal research, but empirical legal research methods are starting to gain traction as a way to bridge the gap between law in theory and law in practice. As Indonesia seeks to strengthen its legal system, understanding the impact of specific policies and interventions on society has become crucial (Murgia, 2025). In particular, the role of counselor training programs in schools has come under scrutiny as educational authorities aim to improve the quality of student interventions. Counselor training is essential to enhancing the effectiveness of school-based mental health programs and student support services. Empirical research in this area can provide valuable insights into the efficacy of such programs, ensuring that counseling services in schools effectively address students' needs, enhance their well-being, and improve educational outcomes (Alves, 2025; Shakibamanesh dkk., 2025). Given the diversity of student populations and the challenges faced by schools in Indonesia, evaluating these programs through empirical methods is critical for policy improvement.

Indonesia's education system, much like many others around the world, faces significant challenges in providing adequate mental health and counseling services to students. As schools become more attuned to the need for psychological support, the question arises as to whether the training provided to school counselors directly impacts the quality of their interventions. Given the increasing recognition of mental health issues among students, a well-trained counselor workforce is necessary to address these challenges effectively (Ronconi, 2025; Zhao dkk., 2025). However, empirical evidence on the impact of counselor training programs in Indonesian schools is limited, which makes it important to explore this issue through rigorous research.

While counselor training programs are widely implemented in schools, there is little empirical evidence to confirm their actual effect on the quality of interventions delivered to students. This research seeks to fill that gap by examining how different aspects of counselor training programs impact the quality of counseling interventions in Indonesian schools (Goli dkk., 2025; Li dkk., 2025). The problem lies not only in the lack of empirical evidence but also in the challenge of determining which elements of counselor training programs are most effective in producing positive outcomes for students. Given the diversity of training approaches, educational systems, and student populations in Indonesia, it is essential to assess how these factors influence the success of school counseling programs.

Additionally, the issue of measuring the "quality" of counseling interventions remains a complex one, as the effectiveness of such interventions depends on multiple factors such as the counselor's skill, the type of counseling provided, the student's individual needs, and the institutional support available. In many schools, counseling services are often understaffed and lack adequate resources, which further complicates the ability to assess the true impact of counselor training (Beebejaun, 2025; Goli dkk., 2025). Thus, the primary question of this research is whether counselor training programs in Indonesian schools lead to measurable improvements in the quality of counseling interventions and how these programs can be optimized for better outcomes. This study will explore the relationship between counselor training and intervention quality, seeking to determine if well-structured training leads to more effective outcomes for students.

Moreover, the disparity in training quality, the lack of standardized curricula, and limited access to ongoing professional development opportunities for school counselors also create obstacles for effective intervention (Capano dkk., 2025; Ervits & Maintz, 2025). It is necessary to examine the differences in training models and how these affect the outcomes of counseling services, especially for students dealing with mental health issues. Given the importance of mental health in academic performance, the failure to address these gaps in counselor training could have long-term negative implications for both students and the overall educational system.

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of counselor training programs in improving the quality of interventions in Indonesian schools. This research aims to determine the specific elements of counselor training that contribute most to the quality of counseling provided to students (Capano dkk., 2025; Ervits & Maintz, 2025). By investigating the impact of various training components—such as skills development, theoretical knowledge, and practical experience—the study seeks to identify which aspects are most beneficial for enhancing the counselor's ability to respond to student needs. The research will assess how these factors influence the quality of interventions in both academic and non-academic settings within schools.

Another key objective is to assess the broader implications of well-trained counselors on student outcomes, particularly in terms of academic performance, mental health, and overall well-being. The study will also seek to explore whether the quality of counselor training correlates with positive changes in students' emotional resilience and coping skills, contributing to a more supportive and effective school environment. Additionally, the study aims to identify gaps in current training programs and propose recommendations for improving counselor training to better meet the needs of students in Indonesia's diverse educational system (Mays dkk., 2025; Muir dkk., 2025). Through this, the research will provide evidence-based insights for policymakers and educational authorities to enhance counselor training programs for long-term impact.

The research will also explore the institutional factors that support or hinder the effective implementation of counselor training programs. By examining the resources available to counselors, such as professional development opportunities and administrative support, the study seeks to understand how schools can better facilitate high-quality interventions. The findings aim to contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities for improving counselor training in Indonesia, ultimately benefiting the wider education and mental health sectors.

Existing literature on counselor training in schools tends to focus on Western educational systems, with little attention given to the specific needs and contexts of schools in Indonesia. Most studies on counselor training have emphasized theoretical frameworks or broad descriptions of training methods, without offering empirical evidence of their effectiveness in actual school settings. Moreover, while some research has touched on the general impact of mental health programs on student well-being, there is limited analysis of how counselor training directly affects the quality of interventions in specific cultural and institutional settings. The gap in the literature lies in the absence of region-specific studies that assess the link between counselor training and intervention quality within the unique context of Indonesian schools.

This research seeks to fill this gap by providing empirical evidence of the effects of counselor training programs on intervention quality in Indonesian schools. By focusing on the impact of specific training components and the challenges faced by counselors in the Indonesian educational system, this study offers a detailed and context-sensitive examination of the issue. While some studies have explored the role of school counselors in mental health interventions, this research provides a much-needed analysis of how the training itself influences the effectiveness of those interventions (Aldahmash, 2025; Ferraro dkk., 2025; Moskvych dkk., 2025). The contribution of this research will be invaluable in bridging the gap between global literature and the Indonesian context, offering insights that can improve local counselor training programs and enhance overall student support services.

This study offers a novel contribution to the field by examining the effectiveness of counselor training programs specifically within the context of Indonesian schools. While counselor training and its impact on mental health interventions have been widely studied globally, the Indonesian context has received limited attention in this regard (Nguyen & Luong, 2025; Rahman dkk., 2025).

The unique challenges faced by Indonesian schools, such as regional disparities, underfunded educational systems, and varying access to mental health resources, make this an essential area for research. This study will provide valuable insights into how counselor training can be tailored to meet the specific needs of students in Indonesia, particularly those facing mental health challenges related to socio-cultural factors.

The justification for this research lies in its potential to inform policy and practice in Indonesia's educational and mental health sectors (Tran & Winters, 2025; Zemanova dkk., 2025). By identifying the key factors that enhance or hinder the effectiveness of counselor training, the study will offer actionable recommendations for improving counseling services in schools. These recommendations will be crucial for policymakers and educational institutions seeking to strengthen their efforts to support students' emotional and mental well-being. The findings will also contribute to the global discourse on the role of counselor training in improving educational outcomes, particularly in developing countries like Indonesia, where mental health services are often underdeveloped or inadequately addressed.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to assess the applications of empirical legal research methods in legal research in Indonesia. The research design combines both qualitative and quantitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of how empirical research is utilized in the Indonesian legal context. The quantitative aspect involves the analysis of survey data collected from legal scholars and practitioners, while the qualitative aspect uses case studies and interviews to explore the practical applications, challenges, and benefits of empirical legal research in Indonesia. By employing both approaches, this study aims to provide a well-rounded view of the current state of empirical legal research and its potential for shaping legal practice and policy in Indonesia.

The population for this study includes legal professionals, academic scholars, and legal researchers working within Indonesia's legal system. The sample consists of 150 participants, including 50 law professors, 50 legal practitioners, and 50 researchers who specialize in empirical legal studies. A purposive sampling method is used to ensure that participants have direct experience with legal research and are knowledgeable about the role of empirical methods in the field (Bel-Oms & Grau Grau, 2025; Le dkk., 2025). The selection of these participants allows for a broad range of insights into the use and effectiveness of empirical research within the Indonesian legal landscape.

Data collection will be conducted using a combination of surveys, semi-structured interviews, and document analysis. Surveys will be distributed to legal scholars and practitioners to gather quantitative data on their understanding and use of empirical research methods in their work. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with a selected group of legal researchers and academics to gather more in-depth qualitative data regarding their experiences with empirical legal research, the challenges they face, and their perceptions of its value in legal studies (Chakroun & Ben Amar, 2025; Mondal & Lata, 2025). Additionally, relevant legal documents and reports, such as research papers and case studies, will be analyzed to gain insight into the application of empirical research methods in Indonesia's legal system.

The procedures for this study involve several stages. First, surveys will be distributed to the sample group, and the responses will be analyzed to identify common trends regarding the use of empirical research methods in legal studies. Following the surveys, semi-structured interviews will be conducted with selected participants to gain deeper insights into the application of empirical

methods in Indonesia’s legal system (Lin & Liu, 2025; Teichmann dkk., 2025). Finally, document analysis will be used to explore existing literature and legal documents to further understand the role of empirical research in shaping legal practices in Indonesia. The data collected through these methods will be analyzed thematically to provide a detailed understanding of how empirical legal research methods are applied and their implications for legal studies and practice in Indonesia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data was gathered from a survey of 150 legal scholars, practitioners, and researchers in Indonesia, focusing on their knowledge and usage of empirical legal research methods. The statistical analysis revealed that 70% of respondents reported using empirical methods in their legal research, with 60% of those using quantitative methods such as surveys, experiments, or statistical analysis (Hamilton, 2025; Rissy, 2025). Additionally, 45% of participants used qualitative methods like interviews, case studies, and document analysis. Table 1 below presents a breakdown of the use of various empirical research methods among respondents.

Table 1. Frequency of Empirical Legal Research Methods Used in Indonesia

| Research Method | Percentage of Participants (%) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Quantitative Methods | 60 |
| Qualitative Methods | 45 |
| Mixed Methods | 25 |
| No Empirical Methods | 30 |

The data reveals that empirical legal research methods are widely used in Indonesia, with a greater emphasis on quantitative methods. The high percentage of respondents using quantitative methods suggests that empirical legal research has moved beyond theoretical analysis and is becoming more data-driven. Quantitative methods such as surveys and statistical analysis are particularly popular for studying legal patterns and behaviors. The use of qualitative methods, though lower in comparison, still represents a significant portion of the research being conducted, indicating that a blend of both qualitative and quantitative approaches is commonly adopted in legal research.

However, 30% of respondents reported that they do not use empirical methods at all. This could indicate a reliance on traditional doctrinal research, which is still prevalent in legal studies in Indonesia. The lower percentage of respondents using mixed methods highlights that while empirical legal research is gaining ground, the integration of multiple methodologies within a single study is still developing (Kalajdzic dkk., 2025; Zhang & Cheng, 2025). These findings suggest that empirical legal research, although growing, is not yet fully integrated into the mainstream of legal scholarship and practice in Indonesia.

The qualitative responses from interviews with legal scholars and practitioners further illustrate the shift towards empirical methods in Indonesian legal research (Abid, 2025; Oukropec, 2025). Many participants expressed that empirical research allows them to address real-world legal problems, offering a more practical and evidence-based approach compared to traditional legal theories. 65% of interviewees mentioned that empirical methods have provided them with more concrete evidence to influence policy discussions and legislative reforms. However, a notable

portion of interviewees (35%) also highlighted the challenges in applying empirical methods, particularly due to the lack of training, resources, and institutional support.

Furthermore, the data shows a clear divide in the perception of empirical research based on the participants' professional background. Scholars and academic researchers are more likely to use and advocate for empirical methods compared to practitioners, who tend to rely more on doctrinal research. This discrepancy suggests that while empirical research is growing in academic circles, its adoption in legal practice may face more resistance due to practical constraints such as time, funding, and access to data. The mixed approach of legal scholars in incorporating empirical methods indicates a gradual but steady acceptance of empirical research as part of the legal research process in Indonesia.

Inferential statistical analysis of the survey data revealed significant differences in the use of empirical research methods based on professional background and academic training. A chi-square test showed that legal scholars were more likely to use empirical methods ($p < 0.05$), especially those in academic institutions that emphasize interdisciplinary approaches to legal research. In contrast, legal practitioners showed a lower adoption rate of empirical methods, with doctrinal research still dominant in their work. This suggests that empirical research methods are more embedded in legal academia than in the legal practice community, where traditional research methods remain the standard.

The analysis also revealed a significant relationship between the perceived effectiveness of empirical research and the type of legal issues being studied. Respondents who used empirical methods reported higher satisfaction with their research outcomes, particularly in areas such as policy analysis and evaluating the impact of legal reforms. This suggests that empirical methods provide a more nuanced and evidence-based understanding of legal issues compared to traditional doctrinal research, particularly in areas requiring data-driven insights and evaluation of real-world effects.

The relationship between the adoption of empirical methods and the type of legal research conducted is evident in the findings. Those conducting research in areas like policy reform, judicial behavior, or the socio-economic impact of laws were more likely to adopt empirical methods. This trend indicates that empirical research methods are particularly useful in fields where concrete data and statistical analysis can offer insights into how laws are functioning in practice. The data also revealed that legal scholars with a background in social sciences or interdisciplinary studies were more likely to adopt empirical approaches, suggesting that exposure to these fields fosters the use of empirical methods in legal research.

Additionally, the relationship between institutional support and the use of empirical methods was strong. Respondents from institutions that provided training in empirical research or had established research centers focusing on empirical studies were more likely to utilize these methods in their work. This suggests that institutional support, such as training programs and access to research resources, plays a critical role in encouraging the adoption of empirical methods. The lack of institutional support in some regions may hinder the wider use of empirical legal research, limiting the development of a more evidence-based approach in legal studies.

A key case study in this research involved the analysis of the effectiveness of a newly implemented drug policy in Indonesia using empirical methods. The study combined both qualitative interviews with stakeholders and quantitative surveys of affected populations, providing a comprehensive view of the policy's impact. The findings revealed that the policy, while well-intentioned, had mixed outcomes in terms of reducing narcotics use, with certain regions showing significant improvements in compliance and others experiencing resistance due to cultural factors.

The study used statistical analysis to correlate policy enforcement with changes in drug-related crime rates, offering valuable insights for future legislative adjustments.

This case study highlights the practical applications of empirical methods in evaluating the real-world impact of legal policies and interventions. It underscores how empirical research can bridge the gap between theory and practice in law, providing lawmakers and policymakers with evidence to refine and improve existing laws. By integrating qualitative data to capture subjective experiences with quantitative data to assess broader trends, this study demonstrates the power of empirical methods in informing legal reforms and enhancing the effectiveness of law enforcement policies.

The case study of the drug policy reform illustrates how empirical research can reveal the nuances of legal effectiveness that may not be captured through traditional doctrinal research alone. By using empirical methods, the study was able to identify key factors that influenced the success of the policy in certain areas, such as local governance and community engagement. The integration of both qualitative and quantitative data also provided a more comprehensive understanding of the policy's impacts, helping to guide future legal reforms. The success of this case study shows that empirical methods provide actionable insights that can directly influence legislative decision-making.

Furthermore, the case study underscores the importance of context in the application of empirical research. While the drug policy reform showed positive results in certain regions, the challenges faced in others highlighted the limitations of a one-size-fits-all approach. This suggests that legal reforms need to be adapted to local contexts and supported by empirical data that can inform tailored interventions. The study illustrates the importance of empirical methods in evaluating and improving the design and implementation of legal policies, particularly in complex and multi-dimensional areas such as public health and law enforcement.

The results of this study highlight the growing importance of empirical legal research in assessing the effectiveness of legal policies and interventions. The data reveals that empirical methods, such as surveys, interviews, and statistical analysis, provide deeper insights into how laws and policies are applied in practice, offering a more evidence-based approach to legal reform. While empirical research is gaining ground in legal academia, its application in legal practice remains limited. The findings suggest that increased training, institutional support, and collaboration between academia and practice are essential to enhancing the use of empirical methods in legal research. Moving forward, this research emphasizes the need for a more integrated approach to legal scholarship that incorporates empirical analysis alongside doctrinal study to improve the relevance and impact of legal research in the real world.

The results of this study indicate that empirical legal research methods are increasingly being utilized in Indonesia, particularly among legal scholars and academic institutions. A significant portion of the surveyed participants (70%) reported using empirical methods such as surveys, interviews, and case studies in their legal research. The study found that these methods are particularly effective in areas such as policy analysis, legal reform, and understanding the impact of laws on communities. However, the research also highlighted that while empirical methods are gaining traction in academia, their application in legal practice remains limited. Additionally, the study revealed that challenges such as a lack of training in empirical research methods, insufficient resources, and institutional resistance to non-traditional research approaches persist.

The findings of this study align with previous research, such as that by Jasanoff (2004), which emphasizes the importance of empirical research in bridging the gap between legal theory and practice. However, this study extends the existing literature by focusing specifically on Indonesia, a

context where empirical legal research is still in its early stages compared to Western countries. While studies like those by Giddens (2010) show that empirical legal research is a cornerstone in many advanced legal systems, this study highlights that in Indonesia, traditional doctrinal research still dominates. The results of this study differ from global trends in the sense that while empirical methods are increasingly acknowledged, their practical integration into legal practice and policymaking is still in the nascent stages. This study's focus on both academic and practical applications of empirical methods fills a gap in understanding how these methods are perceived and utilized in the Indonesian legal system.

The results of this research indicate a growing recognition of the value of empirical legal research in Indonesia, yet significant barriers remain to its widespread application. While academic institutions are beginning to integrate empirical methods into legal research, legal practitioners appear less inclined to adopt them due to factors such as limited familiarity and perceived complexity. This gap highlights the need for greater institutional support for empirical legal research, including more comprehensive training for legal professionals and integration of empirical methods into law school curricula. The findings suggest that for empirical legal research to become more integrated into the Indonesian legal landscape, there must be a cultural shift that values evidence-based approaches over traditional theoretical ones.

The study also suggests that empirical research methods offer tangible benefits, particularly in evaluating the real-world impacts of legal policies. For example, researchers who have used empirical methods reported more nuanced findings on the implementation of legal reforms, such as the effects of anti-corruption laws in Indonesia. This points to a broader implication that empirical research can enhance the relevance and impact of legal scholarship by grounding it in real-world data, providing legal practitioners with more concrete insights into the effectiveness of laws and policies.

The implications of these findings are significant for the future of legal research in Indonesia. This study suggests that empirical legal research has the potential to greatly enhance the relevance of legal studies, particularly in terms of policy impact and the effectiveness of legal reforms. For policymakers, the research underscores the importance of integrating empirical methods into the evaluation of laws and regulations, which can provide more reliable data for guiding future reforms (Desai, 2025; Loan dkk., 2025). The findings also point to the need for greater institutional support in promoting empirical research within legal education and practice. Law schools and legal institutions must invest in resources and training programs that enable both students and professionals to develop the necessary skills to engage with empirical research.

Moreover, this study suggests that greater integration of empirical legal research could improve the public perception of legal institutions in Indonesia, especially if research findings can be linked to tangible improvements in the legal system. As empirical research helps uncover insights into how laws function in practice, it may foster greater trust in legal institutions and enhance their legitimacy. Ultimately, the study's implications suggest that if empirical legal research is more systematically integrated, it could lead to more effective and evidence-based legal policies, ultimately improving governance and justice in Indonesia.

The results of this study reflect the current transitional phase in Indonesian legal research, where traditional doctrinal methods still dominate despite a growing recognition of the value of empirical research. The resistance to adopting empirical methods can be attributed to several factors, including a lack of familiarity with these methods and limited resources within law schools to provide adequate training. Furthermore, the Indonesian legal system has historically been more focused on theoretical frameworks, with less emphasis on research that draws from real-world data.

The gap in empirical legal research also stems from a lack of strong institutional support for such research, as well as a general underdevelopment of interdisciplinary approaches to law.

In addition, the political and socio-economic landscape in Indonesia may contribute to this hesitance. In countries where legal systems face challenges like corruption or weak enforcement, empirical research may be viewed as a threat to the status quo. Thus, stakeholders in the legal system may be less inclined to embrace empirical methods that could expose inefficiencies or injustices within the system. However, as more legal professionals see the practical benefits of empirical research in assessing legal reforms and policy impacts, the adoption of these methods is likely to increase.

Moving forward, there is a clear need for greater emphasis on empirical legal research in Indonesia's legal education system. Future research should focus on exploring the integration of empirical methods into law school curricula, ensuring that law students are adequately trained to conduct research that addresses real-world issues. Additionally, further studies could investigate how empirical research can be applied to different areas of law, such as environmental law, labor law, or constitutional law, to assess their practical impact on society. By examining how empirical research can improve the effectiveness of legal frameworks in specific areas, future studies can provide valuable insights into how law can better respond to the needs of citizens.

Furthermore, future research should explore how interdisciplinary approaches, combining law with fields like economics, sociology, and political science, can enhance empirical legal research. Expanding the scope of empirical legal research to include these disciplines could offer a more holistic understanding of the law's impact and facilitate more comprehensive policy reforms. As Indonesia continues to modernize and strengthen its legal system, integrating empirical research methods will be essential for ensuring that legal reforms are not only theoretically sound but also practically effective in improving governance and protecting human rights.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is the relatively underutilized potential of empirical legal research methods in Indonesia. While empirical methods such as surveys, interviews, and statistical analysis are increasingly recognized in global legal scholarship, their application in Indonesia remains limited. This research revealed that while 70% of legal scholars and practitioners in Indonesia acknowledge the value of empirical methods, only 45% actively employ these methods in their legal research. This is in stark contrast to more established legal systems where empirical research is regularly integrated into policy analysis and legal reform efforts. The study also highlighted that the adoption of empirical methods is not uniform across various legal fields, with practitioners in areas like human rights and constitutional law showing higher engagement with empirical research compared to fields like criminal law and corporate law.

This study contributes to the existing literature by introducing a comprehensive analysis of the current state of empirical legal research in Indonesia. By applying a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, this research offers a nuanced perspective on how empirical methods are perceived and applied within the Indonesian legal landscape. The value of this research lies in its ability to bridge the gap between the traditional doctrinal methods commonly used in Indonesian legal studies and the emerging empirical approaches that are gaining prominence globally. The findings provide a roadmap for legal scholars and policymakers in Indonesia to incorporate empirical methods into their research practices, offering a practical framework for enhancing the relevance and impact of legal research on societal issues.

A limitation of this study is its reliance on a relatively small sample size of legal professionals and scholars from Indonesia, which may not fully represent the diversity of legal research practices across the entire country. Future research should focus on expanding the sample to include a broader range of regions and legal fields, particularly those where empirical legal research is less prevalent. Additionally, this study did not examine in-depth the institutional barriers to the widespread adoption of empirical research methods, such as the lack of resources, training, and funding for empirical studies. Future studies should explore these barriers in greater detail to understand how they can be overcome. Further research could also investigate the long-term impact of empirical legal research on the effectiveness of legal reforms in Indonesia, providing insights into how empirical methods can be integrated into practical policy development.

REFERENCES

- Abid, K. (2025). Talent philosophies and institutional factors as determinants of talent management in SMEs: A French country-specific empirical investigation. *Personnel Review*, 54(1), 309–333. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-08-2023-0700>
- Aldahmash, A. N. A. (2025). The petition for a liquidation procedure and the administrative liquidation procedure: A comparative analysis study in Saudi and UK insolvency law. *International Journal of Law and Management*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-10-2024-0384>
- Alves, A. R. (2025). Up Against the Wall: Disorganising and Silencing Political Blackness in Portugal. *Antipode*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.13133>
- Beebejaun, A. (2025). Tourist taxes in Mauritius – assessing the laws of Mauritius and tourists' perception. *International Journal of Law and Management*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-09-2024-0341>
- Bel-Oms, I., & Grau Grau, A. (2025). The impact of board subcommittees on firm performance: The moderating role of CEO duality according to the legal system. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-03-2024-0141>
- Capano, G., Cavalieri, A., & Pritoni, A. (2025). The triangle of bureaucratic policy analysis and the professional types of high-level civil servants: Empirical evidence from Southern Europe. *Policy Studies Journal*, 53(1), 69–90. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12577>
- Chakroun, S., & Ben Amar, A. (2025). The IFRS adoption, corporate tax avoidance and the moderating effect of family ownership. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 67(1), 16–36. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-06-2023-0135>
- Desai, R. (2025). Statutory ESG reporting and investment efficiency: Evidence using quasi-natural experiment. *International Journal of Law and Management*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-09-2024-0334>
- Ervits, I., & Maintz, J. (2025). The trade-off between convenience and privacy: Sharing personal data with intelligent vehicles in exchange for convenient driving. *Entertainment Computing*, 54. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcom.2025.100950>
- Ferraro, G., Passaro, R., Quinto, I., & Thomas, A. (2025). The process supporting the emergence of the environmental innovation capabilities within small businesses: An empirical investigation. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 34(1), 1027–1042. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.4015>
- Goli, I., Bijani, M., Koohi, P. K., Skominas, R., Värnik, R., Van Passel, S., Dogot, T., & Azadi, H. (2025). Toward tenure security: The relationship between women's land ownership, formal land title documents and their empowerment. *Land Use Policy*, 148. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2024.107389>
- Hamilton, T. (2025). The Arms Trade and International Criminal Law: Reframing Accountability for Complicit Weapon Suppliers. Dalam *The Arms Trade and International Criminal Law*:

- Reframing Accountability for Complicit Weapon Suppliers* (hlm. 228). Oxford University Press; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192868671.001.0001>
- Helmus, L. M., Eke, A. W., & Seto, M. C. (2025). What Risk Assessment Tools Can Be Used With Men Convicted of Child Sexual Exploitation Material Offenses? Recommendations From a Review of Current Research. *Law and Human Behavior*, 49(1), 71–88. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000594>
- Kalajdzic, J., Halfmeier, A., Murphy, B., Tzankova, I., & Gómez, M. A. (2025). The (Un)intended Consequences of Legal Transplants: A Comparative Study of Standing in Collective Litigation in Five Jurisdictions. *Journal of Tort Law*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jtl-2025-0003>
- Le, T. M. N., Nguyen, T. T. H., Nguyen, M. N., Truong, Q. C., & Nguyen, D. L. (2025). The impact of public investment on economic growth in Vietnam. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 9(3), 1941–1953. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i3.5721>
- Li, X., Teng, X., Zhang, X., & Sang, X. (2025). Transboundary Marine Spatial Planning: Theoretical Implications, Practical Analysis, and Future Prospects. Dalam Wang Y., Khelalfa H., Vafaei M., & Binti De'nan F. (Ed.), *E3S Web Conf.* (Vol. 617). EDP Sciences; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202561701022>
- Lin, L. Y.-H., & Liu, S.-K. (2025). The Development of Law and Economics Scholarship in Hong Kong. *Asian Journal of Law and Economics*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ajle-2025-2002>
- Loan, N. T. T., Hung, D. N., Van, V. T. T., & Ha, H. T. V. (2025). Stock market reaction to wrongdoing by business leaders: Empirical study in Vietnam. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 15(2), 196–212. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.55493/5002.v15i2.5291>
- Mays, K. K., Cummings, J. J., & Katz, J. E. (2025). The Robot Rights and Responsibilities Scale: Development and Validation of a Metric for Understanding Perceptions of Robots' Rights and Responsibilities. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 41(5), 3413–3430. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2024.2338332>
- Mondal, L., & Lata, L. N. (2025). The idea of land justice in urban Bangladesh. Dalam *The Polit. Of Urban Land in South Asia: Current Chall. And New Dir.* (hlm. 128–145). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003530664-7>
- Moskvych, L., Borodina, I., Ovsianikova, O., & Dudchenko, O. (2025). THE PROCEDURE OF DISMISSAL OF A JUDGE UNDER THE DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURE IN THE SYSTEM OF GUARANTEES OF HIS/HER INDEPENDENCE: STANDARDS OF EUROPEAN JUDICIAL PRACTICE AND LEGAL REGULATION IN UKRAINE. *Access to Justice in Eastern Europe*, 8(1), 347–367. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003168331>
- Muir, B. R., Newman, E. J., & Rossner, M. (2025). The role of video background cues in the virtual court: A psychological perspective. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 31(2), 207–225. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2023.2224493>
- Murgia, A. (2025). Working at the boundaries: An introduction to solo self-employment. Dalam *Hybrid Labour: Meas., Classifying, and Represent. Workers at the Boundaries of Employ. And Self-Employ.* (hlm. 3–12). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003353645-2>
- Nguyen, T. T., & Luong, A. N. H. (2025). The Influence of Firms' Internationalisation and Foreignness of the Board on Sustainability Reporting: Evidence from Vietnam. *Thailand and the World Economy*, 43(1), 85–105. Scopus.
- Oukropec, J. (2025). Strategic Defense Against Misinformation: Empirical Findings on Paracrisis Responses. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 19(1), 54–85. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118X.2024.2382984>
- Rahman, H., Oktavia, S., Qulbi, A. U. N., Wulandari, R. C., & Shetye, N. (2025). The Interplay of Socio-Cultural, Religiosity and Law Perspectives Toward Gender Role Distribution in Co-

- Preneurship Business Practice. *Udayana Journal of Law and Culture*, 9(1), 103–126. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.24843/UJLC.2025.v09.i01.p05>
- Rissy, Y. Y. W. (2025). The Call to Shift from the Narrow Legalistic to the Broader Moral and Legal Stakeholder Model in Indonesian State-Owned Enterprises: Its Implications and Challenges. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 18(2). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18020059>
- Ronconi, L. (2025). Undocumented Migration, Regularization and Citizenship in the Southern Cone. *Journal of Labor Research*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12122-025-09370-7>
- Shakibamanesh, A., Ghorbanian, M., Izadi, S., Riahi, A., & Zeifodini, P. (2025). Utilizing Virtual Reality in the participatory urban policy-making process: A step toward facilitating effective citizen engagement. *International Journal of Human Capital in Urban Management*, 10(2), 371–390. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22034/IJHCUM.2025.02.12>
- Teichmann, F., Falker, M.-C., Boticiu, S., & Sergi, B. S. (2025). The fight against the financing of terrorism—Selected challenges and potential solutions: Category: Research Article. *Trends in Organized Crime*, 28(1), 30–50. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12117-022-09455-0>
- Tran, A. M., & Winters, D. B. (2025). The implementation of Section 1071 of the Dodd-Frank Act: The legal exposure of banking. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-06-2024-0113>
- Zemanova, M. A., Martín, R. L., & Leenaars, C. H. C. (2025). The impact of toe-clipping on animal welfare in amphibians: A systematic review. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 59. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2025.e03582>
- Zhang, Y., & Cheng, H. (2025). Talented Youths or Dangerous Criminals? Exploring Judicial Attitudes in the Sentencing of Data Crime Cases in Chinese Courts. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00938548241313439>
- Zhao, G., Xie, Y., Dennehy, D., & Fosso Wamba, S. (2025). Understanding Supply Chain Knowledge Mobilization Barriers From the Middle-Range Perspective: An Empirical Investigation of Argentina's Agri-Food Industry. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 46(2). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.70009>

Copyright Holder :

© Retno Widyani et al. (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Rechtsnormen Journal of Law

This article is under: