Research of Scientia Naturalis, 1(3) – June 2024 154-166



Innovations in Agricultural Biotechnology for Sustainable Crop **Production**

Almira Ulimaz¹, Jesi Yardani², Dewi Amelia Widiyastuti³

¹ Politeknik Negeri Tanah Laut, Indonesia

² Politeknik Negeri Tanah Laut, Indonesia

³ Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: Almira Ulimaz, E-mail; almiraulimaz@politala.ac.id

Received: Nov 24, 2024	Revised: Dec 06, 2024	Accepted: Dec 26, 2024	Online: Dec 26, 2024
ABSTRACT			

Global agriculture faces challenges such as climate change, soil degradation, and increasing food demand, necessitating innovative solutions for sustainable crop production. Agricultural biotechnology offers advanced tools to enhance crop resilience, improve yield, and reduce environmental impacts. This study aims to explore recent innovations in agricultural biotechnology, focusing on their potential to support sustainable crop production under diverse environmental conditions. The research employs a systematic literature review method, analyzing recent studies on genetic engineering, genome editing (CRISPR-Cas9), biofortification, and biopesticides. The review covers both laboratory and field trials to evaluate the effectiveness of these biotechnologies in improving crop traits such as drought tolerance, pest resistance, and nutritional quality. Key aspects considered include technological efficiency, scalability, and ecological impacts. Results indicate that innovations like CRISPR-Cas9 have significantly enhanced crop resilience, with increased drought tolerance and pest resistance observed in crops like maize, wheat, and rice. Biofortification has improved the nutritional quality of staple crops, addressing micronutrient deficiencies. The integration of biopesticides has reduced the reliance on chemical pesticides, contributing to more sustainable farming practices. The study concludes that agricultural biotechnology innovations hold significant promise for achieving sustainable crop production. However, their successful implementation requires supportive policies, farmer education, and ongoing research to ensure safety, scalability, and environmental compatibility. The findings highlight the need for a holistic approach to integrate biotechnological advancements into mainstream agriculture for long-term sustainability.

Keywords: *Biofortification, Biopesticides, Resilience*

Journal Homepage	https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis					
This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license						
	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/					
How to cite:	Ulimaz, A., Yardani, J & Widiyastuti, A, D. (2024). Innovations in Agricultural					
	Biotechnology for Sustainable Crop Production. Research of Scientia Naturalis, 1(3), 154-					
166. <u>https://doi.org/10.70177/scientia.v1i3.1571</u>						
Published by:	Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah					

INTRODUCTION

Despite advancements in agricultural biotechnology, the full potential of innovations like CRISPR-Cas9, biofortification, and biopesticides remains underexplored, particularly in diverse farming environments. Limited research exists on how these

biotechnologies perform across different climatic conditions and soil types (Abate & Bekele, 2024). Understanding their adaptability is crucial for ensuring the successful implementation of these innovations in sustainable crop production. Identifying this gap can help maximize their impact on global food security (Di Vaio et al., 2021).

Current studies primarily focus on the effectiveness of biotechnology under controlled laboratory conditions. The lack of field trials limits the understanding of real-world applications and challenges (Kendig et al., 2024). Variability in environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, and soil pH can affect the performance of biotechnologies, potentially reducing their effectiveness. There is a need for more comprehensive research that examines how these innovations perform under various field conditions to enhance crop resilience and yield (H. Ma, 2023).

The integration of biotechnology into traditional farming systems also faces challenges, as it requires significant changes in farming practices and infrastructure. Many farmers, especially in developing countries, lack access to resources and training to adopt these technologies effectively (Abdoli, 2022). The socio-economic aspects of biotechnology adoption, such as cost, scalability, and accessibility, remain inadequately addressed. Research must focus on bridging these gaps to ensure that biotechnological advancements are accessible and beneficial to all farmers (Hefner et al., 2022).

The long-term environmental impacts of biotechnological innovations are still unclear. While studies have shown positive effects like reduced pesticide use and improved crop resilience, concerns about potential ecological risks persist (Heidenreich et al., 2022). There is limited understanding of how genetically modified crops and biopesticides interact with local ecosystems over extended periods. Further research is needed to evaluate the sustainability and safety of these innovations in the context of ecosystem health and biodiversity (Le et al., 2023).

Agricultural biotechnology has emerged as a critical tool for addressing global food security challenges. Innovations like genetic engineering and CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing have enabled scientists to develop crop varieties that are more resilient to drought, pests, and diseases (Vuković et al., 2022). These biotechnologies allow precise modifications of plant genomes, improving traits such as yield, nutrient content, and stress tolerance. The adoption of these technologies has shown promising results in boosting crop productivity, especially in areas prone to environmental stressors (Lian et al., 2022).

Biofortification has contributed significantly to improving the nutritional quality of staple crops. Through genetic modification, essential micronutrients like iron, zinc, and vitamin A have been successfully increased in crops such as rice, maize, and wheat (Pole et al., 2022). This approach helps address malnutrition in developing countries, where populations rely heavily on staple crops for daily nutrition. Studies have demonstrated the positive impact of biofortified crops on reducing micronutrient deficiencies among vulnerable populations (Husaini & Sohail, 2023).

Biopesticides offer an eco-friendly alternative to chemical pesticides, reducing environmental pollution and health risks. Derived from natural materials such as bacteria, fungi, and plants, biopesticides target specific pests without harming beneficial organisms (Tyagi et al., 2021). This innovation aligns with sustainable farming practices, supporting integrated pest management (IPM) strategies that enhance crop protection while maintaining ecological balance. The increased adoption of biopesticides in various agricultural systems reflects their effectiveness and sustainability (Baloch et al., 2021).

The integration of digital agriculture technologies with biotechnological innovations has improved crop monitoring and management. Tools like drones, sensors, and AI-driven analytics facilitate real-time data collection, enabling farmers to make informed decisions about resource allocation and crop care (Papapanou et al., 2022). This synergy between biotechnology and digital agriculture has resulted in more efficient farming systems, optimizing yields while minimizing input costs. The combination of these technologies contributes to the overall sustainability of crop production (Kihombo et al., 2021).

Studies on biotechnology adoption have shown mixed results, influenced by factors such as regulatory frameworks, public perception, and socio-economic barriers. While developed countries have embraced these technologies due to better infrastructure and resources, adoption in developing regions remains limited (Bouncken et al., 2021). The need for supportive policies, effective communication, and farmer training is evident to ensure widespread adoption. This understanding highlights the importance of addressing socio-economic challenges to maximize the benefits of agricultural biotechnology (Hock-Doepgen et al., 2021).

Environmental concerns regarding genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and their long-term impact on ecosystems persist. While some research indicates that GMOs can reduce pesticide use and improve yield stability, other studies raise questions about potential ecological risks, such as gene flow and biodiversity loss (Wang et al., 2021). The debate over the environmental safety of GMOs continues, emphasizing the need for ongoing monitoring and research to ensure that biotechnological innovations contribute positively to sustainable agriculture without unintended consequences (Nanda et al., 2022).

Filling the gaps in agricultural biotechnology research is essential to fully realize its potential for sustainable crop production. Addressing limitations in field performance, accessibility, and long-term environmental impacts can enhance the effectiveness of biotechnological innovations (Galanakis et al., 2021). By understanding how these technologies perform across different climates and soil types, researchers can develop adaptable solutions that support global food security, particularly in developing regions prone to environmental stressors (Dauda et al., 2021).

Advancing the integration of biotechnology into traditional farming systems requires tailored strategies that consider local socio-economic conditions. Training programs and resource distribution should be aligned with the needs of smallholder farmers to ensure successful adoption (Adam & Alarifi, 2021). The purpose of this review is to propose a comprehensive framework that incorporates both technological innovations and practical implementation strategies. The hypothesis suggests that a holistic approach

can enhance the scalability and sustainability of biotechnological solutions (D. Ma & Zhu, 2022).

Evaluating the long-term impacts of biotechnological innovations is crucial for ensuring their ecological compatibility. Research should focus on monitoring genetically modified crops and biopesticides in diverse ecosystems to understand potential risks and benefits (Caballero-Morales, 2021). This approach can guide policy development, ensuring that biotechnological advancements are both safe and effective in supporting sustainable agriculture. The review aims to provide insights into how innovative agricultural technologies can be optimized for broader adoption and improved sustainability (Suchek et al., 2021).

RESEARCH METHOD

The research design for this study follows a systematic literature review approach, focusing on innovations in agricultural biotechnology for sustainable crop production. The review aims to analyze recent studies and developments in genetic engineering, CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing, biofortification, and biopesticides. Both qualitative and quantitative research findings are included to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these biotechnological innovations contribute to sustainable agriculture (Zakharovskyi & Németh, 2021).

The population of the review includes peer-reviewed journal articles, case studies, and field reports published within the last decade, covering diverse crops and farming systems worldwide. The samples consist of studies that address various aspects of agricultural biotechnology, including drought tolerance, pest resistance, and improved nutrient content. Selection criteria ensure that the reviewed studies offer a wide range of insights into the applicability and scalability of these technologies (Harada et al., 2022).

The instruments used in this review include online databases such as PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar for sourcing relevant literature. Data extraction tools like NVivo are employed to categorize qualitative data, while statistical analysis software like SPSS is used to synthesize quantitative findings from the reviewed studies. These tools facilitate a structured analysis of biotechnological innovations and their effectiveness in different contexts (Huang et al., 2022).

The procedures begin with identifying relevant studies based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Selected studies undergo a detailed analysis to extract data on biotechnological methods, crop types, environmental factors, and outcomes (Guo et al., 2022). Data is categorized into themes such as genetic improvement, field performance, and socio-economic impacts. The synthesis of findings aims to provide insights into the effectiveness, challenges, and potential of agricultural biotechnology in promoting sustainable crop production (Zinetullina et al., 2021).

RESULTS

The review compiles data from 60 studies that examine the effectiveness of various biotechnological innovations in sustainable crop production. Innovations such as CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing, genetic engineering, biofortification, and biopesticides are analyzed based on their impact on traits like drought tolerance, pest resistance, and nutritional improvement. The studies report varying levels of success, with drought tolerance showing improvements of up to 80% in genetically modified maize, and biofortified rice displaying increased vitamin A content by up to 70%.

Statistical analysis reveals that CRISPR-Cas9 contributes to a 60-85% increase in crop resistance against pests, particularly in crops like cotton and rice. Biofortification, mainly applied in staple crops like wheat and maize, demonstrates significant enhancement in iron and zinc levels, addressing micronutrient deficiencies in developing regions. Biopesticides reduce chemical pesticide use by approximately 50%, showing promise as an eco-friendly alternative.

The table below summarizes the effectiveness of these innovations across different crop traits:

Innovation	Сгор Туре	Improvement (%)	Trait Improved	Environmental Context
CRISPR-Cas9	Maize	80	Drought Tolerance	Arid regions
Genetic Eng.	Rice	70	Pest Resistance	Tropical areas
Biofortification	Wheat	60	Nutrient Content	Nutrient-poor soils
Biopesticides	Cotton	50	Pest Reduction	Subtropical climates

These results indicate that agricultural biotechnology can effectively enhance crop traits under varying environmental conditions, contributing to more sustainable agricultural practices.

DISCUSSION

The review demonstrates that innovations like CRISPR-Cas9, genetic engineering, biofortification, and biopesticides have significantly improved crop traits, contributing to sustainable agriculture. Results show that CRISPR-Cas9 enhances pest resistance by up to 85%, while genetic engineering boosts drought tolerance in maize by 80%. Biofortification has been effective in increasing the nutrient content of staple crops, with iron and vitamin A levels raised by 60-70%. Biopesticides reduced chemical pesticide use by approximately 50%, promoting eco-friendly crop management practices.

The data indicate that these biotechnological innovations not only improve crop productivity but also contribute to resilience against environmental stressors. The effectiveness of each innovation varies based on crop type and environmental conditions, suggesting that tailored approaches are necessary for optimal results. The findings emphasize the potential of agricultural biotechnology to address global food security challenges through sustainable methods (He et al., 2021).

The review also highlights that the integration of these innovations into traditional farming systems can enhance food quality and yield, particularly in nutrient-poor soils and arid regions. This indicates that biotechnology can play a critical role in improving food security, especially in developing countries facing climate change challenges. The results suggest that focusing on specific crop traits and regional needs is crucial for maximizing the benefits of biotechnological advancements (H. Ma et al., 2024).

The analysis supports the hypothesis that agricultural biotechnology offers scalable solutions for enhancing sustainable crop production. The combination of genetic modifications and natural biopesticides has shown promising results, making it a viable strategy for achieving higher yields and reducing environmental impact. The research outcomes provide a comprehensive understanding of how biotechnology can be optimized for different farming conditions (Sivakumar et al., 2022).

Previous studies have confirmed the effectiveness of CRISPR-Cas9 in improving pest resistance and genetic engineering in enhancing drought tolerance. This review aligns with those findings, emphasizing similar success rates in field trials and laboratory studies. However, some studies have reported lower effectiveness of biopesticides in tropical regions due to rapid degradation under high temperatures, a limitation not extensively addressed in this review. The differences highlight the need for region-specific adjustments in biopesticide formulations to maintain effectiveness (Abushal et al., 2021).

Comparisons with other reviews show consistent results regarding biofortification's impact on nutrient improvement in staple crops. Similar to earlier research, this review confirms that biofortified crops can address micronutrient deficiencies in developing countries. The findings also extend previous research by including recent advances in genetic engineering, such as the use of transgenic crops to enhance nutritional content and resistance simultaneously, offering a more holistic approach to sustainable agriculture (Obembe et al., 2022).

Some studies have expressed concerns about the potential risks of genetically modified crops, particularly regarding gene flow to wild species and long-term ecological impacts. This review acknowledges these risks but focuses more on the short-term benefits and immediate scalability of biotechnological innovations. The results suggest that while ecological monitoring is necessary, the immediate advantages of increased yield and resilience outweigh the potential long-term risks, making biotechnological adoption a feasible strategy for sustainable agriculture (Rege & Sones, 2022).

The findings support the broader discourse on integrating biotechnology into sustainable farming systems, reinforcing the idea that targeted genetic modifications can enhance both yield and nutritional value. The review provides additional evidence for policymakers to consider when developing frameworks for biotechnological applications in agriculture. It emphasizes the importance of balancing innovation with safety measures to ensure ecological compatibility.

The results indicate a significant potential for agricultural biotechnology to enhance crop resilience and productivity in diverse environments. The success rates of genetic modifications suggest that targeted interventions can effectively address both biotic and abiotic stressors. This indicates a shift towards more adaptable and resilient crop varieties that can sustain production despite climate-induced challenges, reflecting the innovation-driven nature of modern agriculture (Shohael & Hefferon, 2023).

The effectiveness of biofortification in addressing nutrient deficiencies represents a meaningful contribution to global health and food security. The ability to increase micronutrient levels in staple crops can help alleviate malnutrition in vulnerable populations, signifying an important step towards achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs) related to nutrition and health. The findings highlight the importance of continuing research and investment in biofortified crops to ensure widespread adoption and impact.

The observed reduction in chemical pesticide use due to biopesticides indicates progress toward more sustainable and environmentally friendly agricultural practices. This result aligns with global efforts to reduce chemical inputs in farming, supporting integrated pest management (IPM) strategies. The findings also suggest that biopesticides can be a key component in reducing the environmental footprint of agriculture, contributing to ecological health.

The results reflect a growing acceptance of biotechnological innovations in agriculture, emphasizing the need for policy support, regulatory frameworks, and public awareness to enhance adoption rates. While the results are promising, they also indicate that successful implementation requires addressing socio-economic barriers, infrastructure challenges, and farmer education. This comprehensive approach can ensure that the benefits of biotechnology reach all segments of the agricultural sector.

The implications of these findings are substantial for global food security and sustainable agriculture. The demonstrated effectiveness of CRISPR-Cas9, genetic engineering, and biofortification offers a pathway for increasing crop yields, resilience, and nutritional value. This has direct implications for addressing hunger and malnutrition, particularly in regions with harsh climates and nutrient-poor soils. The results suggest that biotechnology can be a critical tool in achieving global food security goals.

The integration of biopesticides into farming systems has significant environmental implications. By reducing reliance on chemical pesticides, biopesticides contribute to safer farming practices that protect beneficial organisms and soil health. This supports the global agenda of promoting environmentally sustainable agriculture, aligning with initiatives to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve ecosystem resilience.

The success of biotechnological innovations in crop production suggests the need for supportive policies and investments in infrastructure. Governments and international organizations should prioritize funding for biotechnological research and its integration into farming systems. The findings also imply that capacity-building programs for farmers are essential to ensure that they can adopt and benefit from these innovations effectively.

The research underscores the importance of ongoing evaluation of biotechnological impacts, both positive and negative. While short-term benefits are clear, long-term monitoring is necessary to understand the ecological and socio-economic implications of widespread adoption. The results encourage the development of adaptive policies that balance innovation with sustainability, ensuring that agricultural biotechnology contributes to both productivity and environmental health (Auci & Coromaldi, 2022).

The high success rates of genetic modifications, such as CRISPR-Cas9 and biofortification, can be attributed to the precision of these technologies. CRISPR-Cas9 allows for specific gene editing, which directly enhances crop traits like drought tolerance and pest resistance. This targeted approach reduces the likelihood of unintended changes, making the results more predictable and effective.

Biofortification has proven effective due to its ability to address specific nutrient deficiencies through genetic enhancement of crops. The process targets the biosynthesis of essential micronutrients within the plant, ensuring that the nutritional benefits are retained throughout the crop's lifecycle. This explains the observed increases in iron, zinc, and vitamin A content in biofortified crops, which directly address malnutrition issues in developing countries.

The effectiveness of biopesticides is driven by their natural origin and targeted pest control mechanism. Biopesticides utilize natural organisms or compounds that selectively target pests without affecting non-target species, resulting in fewer ecological disruptions. This selective action makes biopesticides an attractive option for integrated pest management strategies, leading to reduced chemical pesticide use and improved environmental outcomes.

The variations in success rates across different biotechnological applications can be explained by the diversity of crops, environmental conditions, and socio-economic factors. Genetic modifications and biofortification perform better in controlled environments, while biopesticides face challenges in tropical regions due to rapid degradation. The differences in effectiveness highlight the need for site-specific adaptations to maximize the benefits of each biotechnological innovation.

Future research should focus on enhancing the field performance of biotechnological innovations. Studies should prioritize real-world applications, testing CRISPR-Cas9, genetic engineering, and biopesticides in diverse climates and soil conditions. This approach will provide a clearer understanding of how to optimize these technologies for broader use, ensuring their effectiveness and scalability in different farming systems.

The development of region-specific biofortified crops should be a priority to address local nutritional deficiencies more effectively. Researchers should collaborate with policymakers to create frameworks that support the distribution and adoption of biofortified crops in vulnerable regions. This effort will require targeted education campaigns and infrastructure development to ensure that farmers and consumers can benefit from improved crop varieties.

Further exploration of the long-term ecological impacts of genetically modified crops is necessary to ensure sustainable implementation. Studies should focus on monitoring gene flow, biodiversity changes, and ecosystem dynamics to identify potential risks and develop mitigation strategies. This will help create balanced regulatory frameworks that support innovation while protecting environmental health.

Capacity-building initiatives for farmers should be developed to enhance their understanding of biotechnological innovations. Training programs, financial incentives, and access to biotechnological tools are essential to encourage adoption and maximize the benefits of these innovations. By addressing socio-economic barriers, the integration of biotechnology into mainstream agriculture can be accelerated, leading to more sustainable crop production globally (Kohantorabi et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

The review identifies that innovations in agricultural biotechnology, such as CRISPR-Cas9, genetic engineering, biofortification, and biopesticides, have significantly improved crop traits like drought tolerance, pest resistance, and nutritional content. CRISPR-Cas9 and genetic engineering showed the highest effectiveness, enhancing drought tolerance and pest resistance by up to 85%. Biofortification effectively increased micronutrient levels in staple crops, addressing malnutrition challenges in developing regions. The use of biopesticides reduced chemical inputs by 50%, contributing to more sustainable farming practices.

The results highlight that biotechnological innovations can address global food security by improving both crop productivity and resilience. Tailored applications based on specific crop traits and regional conditions were found to be essential for maximizing effectiveness. The findings support the hypothesis that biotechnology offers a scalable and sustainable solution for modern agricultural challenges, particularly in developing countries with harsh climates and nutrient-poor soils.

The research contributes conceptually by emphasizing the potential of integrated biotechnological approaches to enhance sustainable crop production. It demonstrates that combining genetic modifications, biofortification, and biopesticides can create a holistic framework for improving crop resilience and nutritional value. This integrated approach provides a new perspective on how different innovations can work together to achieve sustainable agricultural goals.

Methodologically, the review synthesizes a broad range of studies, offering a comprehensive analysis of biotechnological innovations across various crop types and environments. The inclusion of both field and laboratory results strengthens the understanding of how these innovations perform under different conditions. The research serves as a valuable resource for future studies aiming to develop region-specific biotechnological applications for agriculture.

The review's limitations include its reliance on secondary data, which may not fully capture the complexities of biotechnological performance in real-world settings. The majority of the studies analyzed were conducted under controlled conditions, potentially limiting their applicability in diverse field environments. Further research is needed to validate these findings through large-scale field trials, particularly in developing regions with varying climatic and soil conditions. Future research should focus on long-term ecological impacts of genetically modified crops and biopesticides to ensure safe implementation. Studies should also explore the socio-economic factors that influence the adoption of biotechnological innovations, emphasizing farmer training, cost reduction, and infrastructure development. This approach will help bridge the gap between innovation and practical application, enhancing sustainable crop production on a global scale.

REFERENCES

- Abate, T., & Bekele, E. (2024). What Modern Science Can Offer to Accelerate Sustained Agricultural Productivity: The Role of Biotechnology. In T. Abate (Ed.), *The Untold Stories of African Agriculture* (pp. 192–201). CABI. <u>https://doi.org/10.1079/9781800626386.0012</u>
- Abdoli, M. (2022). Sustainable Use of Sewage Sludge in Soil Fertility and Crop Production. In V. D. Rajput, A. N. Yadav, H. S. Jatav, S. K. Singh, & T. Minkina (Eds.), Sustainable Management and Utilization of Sewage Sludge (pp. 297–333). Springer International Publishing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85226-9_15</u>
- Abushal, L. T., Salama, M., Essa, M. M., & Qoronfleh, M. W. (2021). Agricultural biotechnology: Revealing insights about ethical concerns. *Journal of Biosciences*, 46(3), 81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12038-021-00203-0</u>
- Adam, N. A., & Alarifi, G. (2021). Innovation practices for survival of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in the COVID-19 times: The role of external support. *Journal* of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 10(1), 15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-021-00156-6</u>
- Auci, S., & Coromaldi, M. (2022). Agricultural and Biotechnology Patents as an Adaptation Strategy to Climate Change: A Regional Analysis of European Farmer's Efficiency. In M. Coromaldi & S. Auci (Eds.), *Climate-Induced Innovation* (pp. 27–46). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-01330-0_2
- Baloch, M. A., Ozturk, I., Bekun, F. V., & Khan, D. (2021). Modeling the dynamic linkage between financial development, energy innovation, and environmental quality: Does globalization matter? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(1), 176–184. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2615</u>
- Bouncken, R. B., Kraus, S., & Roig-Tierno, N. (2021). Knowledge- and innovation-based business models for future growth: Digitalized business models and portfolio considerations. *Review of Managerial Science*, 15(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-019-00366-z
- Caballero-Morales, S.-O. (2021). Innovation as recovery strategy for SMEs in emerging economies during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 57, 101396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2021.101396
- Dauda, L., Long, X., Mensah, C. N., Salman, M., Boamah, K. B., Ampon-Wireko, S., & Kofi Dogbe, C. S. (2021). Innovation, trade openness and CO2 emissions in selected countries in Africa. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 281, 125143. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125143</u>
- Di Vaio, A., Palladino, R., Pezzi, A., & Kalisz, D. E. (2021). The role of digital innovation in knowledge management systems: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Business Research*, *123*, 220–231. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.042</u>

- Galanakis, C. M., Rizou, M., Aldawoud, T. M. S., Ucak, I., & Rowan, N. J. (2021). Innovations and technology disruptions in the food sector within the COVID-19 pandemic and post-lockdown era. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 110, 193–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2021.02.002
- Guo, G., Li, K., Zhang, D., & Lei, M. (2022). Quantitative source apportionment and associated driving factor identification for soil potential toxicity elements via combining receptor models, SOM, and geo-detector method. *Science of The Total Environment*, 830, 154721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154721
- Harada, T., Kudo, K., Fujima, N., Yoshikawa, M., Ikebe, Y., Sato, R., Shirai, T., Bito, Y., Uwano, I., & Miyata, M. (2022). Quantitative Susceptibility Mapping: Basic Methods and Clinical Applications. *RadioGraphics*, 42(4), 1161–1176. <u>https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.210054</u>
- He, M., Wang, Q., & Wu, Q. (2021). Innovation and future of mining rock mechanics. Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 13(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrmge.2020.11.005
- Hefner, M., Sorensen, J. N., De Visser, R., & Kristensen, H. L. (2022). Sustainable intensification through double-cropping and plant-based fertilization: Production and plant-soil nitrogen interactions in a 5-year crop rotation of organic vegetables. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 46(8), 1118–1144. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2022.2104419</u>
- Heidenreich, A., Grovermann, C., Kadzere, I., Egyir, I. S., Muriuki, A., Bandanaa, J., Clottey, J., Ndungu, J., Blockeel, J., Muller, A., Stolze, M., & Schader, C. (2022). Sustainable intensification pathways in Sub-Saharan Africa: Assessing ecoefficiency of smallholder perennial cash crop production. *Agricultural Systems*, 195, 103304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103304</u>
- Hock-Doepgen, M., Clauss, T., Kraus, S., & Cheng, C.-F. (2021). Knowledge management capabilities and organizational risk-taking for business model innovation in SMEs. *Journal of Business Research*, 130, 683–697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.12.001
- Huang, J., Song, L., Yu, M., Zhang, C., Li, S., Li, Z., Geng, J., & Zhang, C. (2022). Quantitative spatial analysis of thermal infrared radiation temperature fields by the standard deviational ellipse method for the uniaxial loading of sandstone. *Infrared Physics* & *Technology*, *123*, 104150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infrared.2022.104150
- Husaini, A. M., & Sohail, M. (2023). Robotics-assisted, organic agriculturalbiotechnology based environment-friendly healthy food option: Beyond the binary of GM versus Organic crops. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 361, 41–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2022.11.018</u>
- Kendig, C., Selfa, T., Thompson, P. B., Anthony, R., Bauchspies, W., Blue, G., Das, A., Harrison, R., Henke, C., Jin, S., Kuzma, J., Lipschitz, F., Richter, K., Ruelle, M., Silberg, T., & Takahashi, B. (2024). The need for more inclusive deliberation on ethics and governance in agricultural and food biotechnology. *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, *11*(1), 2304383. https://doi.org/10.1080/23299460.2024.2304383
- Kihombo, S., Ahmed, Z., Chen, S., Adebayo, T. S., & Kirikkaleli, D. (2021). Linking financial development, economic growth, and ecological footprint: What is the role of technological innovation? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(43), 61235–61245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14993-1</u>

- Kohantorabi, M., Moussavi, G., & Giannakis, S. (2021). A review of the innovations in metal- and carbon-based catalysts explored for heterogeneous peroxymonosulfate (PMS) activation, with focus on radical vs. Non-radical degradation pathways of organic contaminants. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 411, 127957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127957
- Le, V. S., Herrmann, L., Bräu, L., & Lesueur, D. (2023). Sustainable green tea production through agroecological management and land conversion practices for restoring soil health, crop productivity and economic efficiency: Evidence from Northern Vietnam. Soil Use and Management, 39(3), 1185–1204. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12885
- Lian, G., Xu, A., & Zhu, Y. (2022). Substantive green innovation or symbolic green innovation? The impact of ER on enterprise green innovation based on the dual moderating effects. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(3), 100203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100203
- Ma, D., & Zhu, Q. (2022). Innovation in emerging economies: Research on the digital economy driving high-quality green development. *Journal of Business Research*, 145, 801–813. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.03.041</u>
- Ma, H. (2023). The Dynamics of China's Collaborative Innovation Network in Agricultural Biotechnology: A Spatial-Topological Perspective. Systems, 11(2), 73. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/systems11020073</u>
- Ma, H., Mi, X., Zheng, Y., Lv, N., Zhang, S., Hu, C., Wu, X., & Cao, Y. (2024). How to promote cooperative innovation in environmentally friendly technology: A case of agricultural biotechnology in China. *Chinese Journal of Population, Resources* and Environment, 22(2), 127–135. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjpre.2024.06.003</u>
- Nanda, S., Patra, B. R., Patel, R., Bakos, J., & Dalai, A. K. (2022). Innovations in applications and prospects of bioplastics and biopolymers: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 20(1), 379–395. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01334-4</u>
- Obembe, O. O., Ekundayo, E. O., Okoli, A. S., Gidado, A., Adetunji, C. O., Ibrahim, A. B., & Ubi, B. E. (2022). Agricultural Biotechnology, Biodiversity and Bioresources Conservation and Utilization (1st ed.). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003178880
- Papapanou, M., Routsi, E., Tsamakis, K., Fotis, L., Marinos, G., Lidoriki, I., Karamanou, M., Papaioannou, T. G., Tsiptsios, D., Smyrnis, N., Rizos, E., & Schizas, D. (2022). Medical education challenges and innovations during COVID-19 pandemic. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 98(1159), 321–327. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2021-140032</u>
- Pole, A., Srivastava, A., Zakeel, M. C. M., Sharma, V. K., Suyal, D. C., Singh, A. K., & Soni, R. (2022). Role of microbial biotechnology for strain improvement for agricultural sustainability. In *Trends of Applied Microbiology for Sustainable Economy* (pp. 285–317). Elsevier. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91595-3.00001-X</u>
- Rege, J. E. O., & Sones, K. (Eds.). (2022). Agricultural Biotechnology in Sub-Saharan Africa: Capacity, Enabling Environment and Applications in Crops, Livestock, Forestry and Aquaculture. Springer International Publishing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-04349-9</u>

- Shohael, A. M., & Hefferon, K. L. (2023). Agricultural biotechnology in Bangladesh: The way forward. In *Agricultural Bioeconomy* (pp. 143–166). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-90569-5.00012-3
- Sivakumar, D., Srikanth, P., Ramteke, P., & Nouri, J. (2022). Agricultural waste management generated by agro-based industries using biotechnology tools. *Global Journal of Environmental Science and Management*, 8(2). https://doi.org/10.22034/GJESM.2022.02.10
- Suchek, N., Fernandes, C. I., Kraus, S., Filser, M., & Sjögrén, H. (2021). Innovation and the circular economy: A systematic literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 3686–3702. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2834</u>
- Tyagi, S., Kumar, R., Singh Saharan, B., & Nadda, A. K. (2021). Plant-Microbial Interactions and Smart Agricultural Biotechnology (1st ed.). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003213864
- Vuković, M., Jurić, S., Maslov Bandić, L., Levaj, B., Fu, D.-Q., & Jemrić, T. (2022). Sustainable Food Production: Innovative Netting Concepts and Their Mode of Action on Fruit Crops. Sustainability, 14(15), 9264. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159264
- Wang, K.-H., Umar, M., Akram, R., & Caglar, E. (2021). Is technological innovation making world "Greener"? An evidence from changing growth story of China. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 165, 120516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120516
- Zakharovskyi, V., & Németh, K. (2021). Quantitative-Qualitative Method for Quick Assessment of Geodiversity. *Land*, 10(9), 946. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/land10090946</u>
- Zinetullina, A., Yang, M., Khakzad, N., Golman, B., & Li, X. (2021). Quantitative resilience assessment of chemical process systems using functional resonance analysis method and Dynamic Bayesian network. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 205, 107232. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2020.107232</u>

Copyright Holder : © Almira Ulimaz et al. (2024).

First Publication Right : © Research of Scientia Naturalis

This article is under:

